THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG Department of Mathematics MATH4240 - Stochastic Processes - 2024/25 Term 2

Chapter II Stationary Distributions

1 SD and its computations

• Recall that for a MC $\{X_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$,

$$\vec{\pi}_{n+1} = \vec{\pi}_n P, \quad \vec{\pi}_n = \vec{\pi}_0 P^n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$
 (1)

where $\vec{\pi}_n$, $n \ge 0$, denote the p.d.f. of X_n .

• Consider a MC with P and S (for instance, $S = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, N\}$ with N finite or infinite). $\vec{\pi} := [\pi(0), \pi(1), \dots, \pi(N)]$, or denoted by $\pi(x), x \in S$, is called a **stationary distribution** for P if

- (i) $\vec{\pi}$ is a distribution, i.e., $\pi(x) \ge 0, \forall x \in S$, and $\sum_{x \in S} \pi(x) = 1$.
- (ii) $\vec{\pi}$ is stationary: $\vec{\pi}P = \vec{\pi}$, i.e.,

$$\sum_{x \in S} \pi(x) P(x, y) = \pi(y), \quad \forall y \in S.$$
(2)

Here, (ii) means that if the chain starts from the distribution $\vec{\pi}$, then all X_n , $n \ge 1$ have the same distributions as $\vec{\pi}$.

- We have to notice:
 - (a) Given an initial distribution $\vec{\pi}_0$, if the limit distribution exists, i.e., $\lim_{n\to\infty} \vec{\pi}_0 P^n$ exists, denoted by $\vec{\pi}$, then $\vec{\pi}$ satisfies

$$\vec{\pi} = \left(\lim_{n \to \infty} \vec{\pi}_0 P^{n-1}\right) \cdot P = \vec{\pi} P,\tag{3}$$

i.e., the limit distribution $\vec{\pi}$ is stationary and hence $\vec{\pi}$ is a SD. Moreover, if $\vec{\pi} = \vec{\pi}P$ has a unique distribution solution then the limit distribution is independent of the initial distribution.

(b) If

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P^n = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\pi} \\ \vec{\pi} \\ \vdots \\ \vec{\pi} \end{pmatrix}$$
(4)

for some distribution $\vec{\pi}$, then the limit distribution exists and is independent of the initial distribution. We will discuss the long-term behavior of P^n in the last subsection.

• In case S is finite, we have some general conditions to assure the existence and uniqueness. In fact, let P be a Markov matrix with finite state space S. Assume

- (i) the left 1-eigenvector (which must exist; *why?*) can be chosen to have all nonnegative entries.
- (ii) 1 is a simple eigenvalue.
- (iii) all other eigenvalues: $|\lambda_i| < 1$.

Then P has a unique SD $\vec{\pi}$, and (4) holds true. In particular, if for some n, P^n has all entries strictly positive, then three conditions above can be satisfied and the conclusion is true for the chain.

In the future lecture, we will show that an irreducible MC with finite state space must have a unique SD (but (4) may NOT hold true!).

• In the general situation that S is finite or infinite, we will discuss the existence and uniqueness of SD later on.

- Computation issues on SD, as well as the limit of P^n if it exists:
 - In case S is finite and P is irreducible, apply Row Operators to $P^T I$ to get the upper diagonal form.
 - In case S is finite and P is reducible, apply the State Decomposition, for instance, $S = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup S_T$, re-write P as the canonical form, and then try to find the limit of P^n as $n \to \infty$, if it exists. See the tutorial and exercises for examples.
 - In case S is infinite, see the lectures for two additional examples:
 - (a) Find SD of an irreducible birth and death chain.
 - (b) Find SD of a telephone exchange model with new calls satisfying the Poisson distribution (or a general queuing chain model with the service given by the rule that each person at the beginning of a unit time has the probability q to be served and leave the waiting line by the end of the unit time).

2 Average number of visits

• Given a MC with S and P, let $N_n(y)$ be the NO of visits to y in n-steps (i.e., during times $m = 1, 2, \dots, n$). We are interested in determining

$$\frac{N_n(y)}{n}, \quad \frac{E_x(N_n(y))}{n}, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$
(5)

Note:

(i) $\frac{N_n(y)}{n}$ is a r.v., denoting the proportion of the first n units of time that the chain visits y, and the limit of $\frac{N_n(y)}{n}$ as $n \to \infty$ (if exists) means the average NO of visits to y (per unit time) or the frequency that the chain visits y. We can compute $N_n(y)$ as

$$N_n(y) = \sum_{m=1}^n 1_y(X_m).$$
 (6)

(ii) $\frac{E_x(N_n(y))}{n}$ is the **expected value of** $\frac{N_n(y)}{n}$ for a chain starting from x, and hence its limit value (if exists) means the **expected average NO of visits to** y (per **unit time)** or the **expected frequency** that the chain visits y. We can compute $E_x(N_n(y))$ as

$$E_x(N_n(y)) = \sum_{m=1}^{n} P^m(x, y).$$
 (7)

Thus, to determine $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{E_x(N_n(y))}{n}$ is equivalent to determine

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{m=1}^{n} P^m(x, y)}{n}.$$
(8)

Note that it could occur that the above limit exists but $\lim_{n\to\infty} P^n(x,y)$ may not exist!

• In case y is transient, it is direct to see

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} N_n(y) = N(y) < \infty \text{ with prob } 1, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} E_x(N_n(y)) = \frac{\rho_{xy}}{1 - \rho_{yy}} < \infty, \tag{9}$$

and hence

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{N_n(y)}{n} = 0 \text{ with prob } 1, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{E_x(N_n(y))}{n} = 0.$$
 (10)

This means that in the long run, the average NO of visits to a transient state is zero, and its expected value is also zero.

• In case y is recurrent, we can show the following result. For simplicity we consider an irreducible recurrent MC only. Then, for any $y \in S$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{N_n(y)}{n} = \frac{1}{m_y} \text{ with prob } 1, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{E_x(N_n(y))}{n} = \frac{1}{m_y}, \quad x \in S,$$
(11)

where $m_y := E_y(T_y)$ denotes the **mean return time to** y for a chain starting from y. m_y can be understood to be the **mean waiting time**. Thus, two limits mean that the visit frequency and the waiting time are reciprocal to each other!!! It is heuristically obvious; see the lectures for the rigorous proof.

3 Waiting time and existence of stationary distribution

• $0 < m_x := E_x(T_x) \leq \infty$ for a recurrent state x. Note: If x is recurrent, then $P_x(T_x = \infty) = 0$ and $P_x(T_x < \infty) = 1$, so there is $k_0 \geq 1$ such that $P_x(T_x = k_0) > 0$, hence $m_x = E_x(T_x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k P_x(T_x = k) \geq k_0 P_x(T_x = k_0) > 0$.

• A recurrent state x is called **positive recurrent** if $(0 <)m_x < \infty$, and **null recurrent** if $m_x = \infty$. Thus, a positive recurrent state comes back in finite waiting time, and a null recurrent state comes back very rarely.

• We can also discuss communications between positive recurrent states. In fact, one can prove that *if a positive recurrent state x leads to y then y is also a positive recurrent state.*

Recall that an irreducible MC with finite state space is recurrent. One can further show that an irreducible MC with finite state space does not admit any null recurrent state, and hence it is positive recurrent.

Recall that given S and P, we have the state decomposition

$$S = S_R \cup S_T = (\bigcup_{i=1}^k C_i) \cup S_T, \tag{12}$$

where k can be finite or infinite. Then, for each i, C_i is either positive recurrent or null recurrent. Moreover, if C_i is finite, then C_i must be positive recurrent.

• The waiting time m_x of a recurrent state x, or the frequency $1/m_x$ of the chain visiting x, would be connected with the stationary solution of the chain. In fact, one can show that an irreducible positive recurrent MC has a unique stationary distribution $\vec{\pi}$, given by

$$\pi(x) = \frac{1}{m_x} \in (0, 1), \quad x \in S.$$
(13)

Notice that the theorem gives us a way to find the value of waiting time m_x of any state x. Here are a few immediate consequences:

- (a) An irreducible MC with finite state space has a unique SD $\vec{\pi}$ with $\pi(x) = 1/m_x$, $x \in S$.
- (b) We may further show that if a general MC has no positive recurrent state (i.e., any state is either null recurrent or transient), then the chain has no SD. Therefore, for an irreducible MC, it has a SD if and only if it is positive recurrent. Exercise: Apply it to determine if a general irreducible birth and death chain is either positive recurrent, or null recurrent, or transient; see the criterion discussed in the lecture.
- (c) Let C be an irreducible closed set of positive recurrent states. Then, the chain has

a unique SD $\vec{\pi}$ concentrated on C:

$$\pi(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{m_x} & \text{if } x \in C, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(14)

Moreover, if $\{C_i\}_{i=1}^N$ is a collection of irreducible closed set consisting of positive recurrent states, then $\pi := \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i \pi_i$ is a SD of the chain for any $0 \le \lambda_i \le 1$ with $\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i = 1$, where each π_i is the unique SD concentrated on C_i .

4 Periodicity

• Recall that it could occur that the chain admits a SD but $\lim P^n$ does not exist (hence the long-term behavior of the chain seems unclear!). For instance,

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{15}$$

The SD exists, given by $\vec{\pi} = [1/2, 1/2]$. For such P you can compute

$$P^{2m} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P^{2m+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (16)

Thus, $\lim P^n$ does not exist, but you can still determine the long-term behavior of the chain in the following way

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} P^{2m} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lim_{m \to \infty} P^{2m+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (17)

We can discuss such property by using the periodicity of the chain.

• The **period** d_x of a state $x \in S$ is defined by

$$d_x = g.c.d. \{ n \ge 1 : P^n(x, x) > 0 \}.$$
(18)

Note that d_x is a positive integer with $1 \le d_x \le \min\{n \ge 1 : P^n(x, x) > 0\}$. If P(x, x) > 0 then $d_x = 1$.

For the chain with P given by (15),

$$\{n \ge 1 : P^n(0,0) > 0\} = \{2,4,6,\cdots\} = \{n \ge 1 : P^n(1,1) > 0\}.$$
 (19)

Thus,

$$d_0 = d_1 = 2. (20)$$

• For an irreducible MC, $A_x := \{n \ge 1 : P^n(x, x) > 0\}$ must be non-empty, thus $d_x = \text{g.c.d.} A_x$ is finite, and it further holds that all states have the same period $d \ge 1$ (see

the lecture for the proof), called the period of the irreducible MC. If d = 1, the chain is said to be **aperiodic**.

• We can make connection between the **long-term behavior of** $P^n(x, y)$ and SD $\vec{\pi}$ in the following way (the proof was omitted in the lecture; please refer to the textbook). Consider an irreducible positive recurrent MC. We know such chain must have a SD, denoted by $\vec{\pi}$. Then, we have

(a) if the chain is aperiodic, then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P^n(x, y) = \pi(y), \tag{21}$$

for any $x, y \in S$.

(b) if the chain the periodic with period $d \ge 2$, then for any $x, y \in S$, there exists an integer

$$r \in \{0, 1, 2, \cdots, d-1\},\$$

generally depending on x, y, such that

$$P^n(x,y) = 0 \tag{22}$$

for all n except that $n = md + r \ (m \ge 0$ is an integer) for which

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} P^{md+r}(x, y) = d\pi(y).$$
(23)

This result tells that in case $d \ge 2$, we are able to determine the limits of subsequences

$$P^{md}, P^{md+1}, \cdots, P^{md+(d-1)}$$
 (24)

as $m \to \infty$. Precisely, for any given x, y,

$$P^{md}(x,y), \quad P^{md+1}(x,y), \cdots, P^{md+(d-1)}(x,y)$$
 (25)

are zeros except that exactly one of them tends to $d\pi(y)$ as $m \to \infty$.

—End, Updated on March 17—