

Lecture 22:

Recall:

Conjugate gradient method

Goal: Minimize a quadratic functional.

$$\vec{x}_* = \underset{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\operatorname{argmin}} \varphi(\vec{x}) \quad ; \quad \varphi(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \vec{x}^T A \vec{x} - \vec{b}^T \vec{x}$$

where $A =$ symmetric positive definite matrix in $M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Recall: $\nabla \varphi(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x} - \vec{b}$ and $\underbrace{\varphi''(\vec{x})}_{\text{Hessian}} = A$

Minimizer \vec{x}^* of $\varphi(\vec{x})$ satisfies $A\vec{x}^* = \vec{b} \iff \left(\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)$

Strategy: Given a current approximation \vec{x}_k , find a new approximation

by : $\vec{x}_{k+1} = \vec{x}_k + \alpha_k \vec{p}_k$ $\left(\begin{array}{l} \vec{p}_k = \text{search direction} \\ \alpha_k = \text{time step} \end{array} \right)$

But we want to choose: time step α_k to be the optimal
and search direction such that $\vec{p}_i \cdot A \vec{p}_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$.

Motivation:

For $A \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$, if \vec{x}^* = sol of $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$.

Ideally, we want to find \vec{p}_1 such that the direction allows us to move directly to \vec{x}_* .

$$\therefore \vec{p}_1 \parallel \vec{x}^* - \vec{x}_1 \Rightarrow \vec{p}_1 = c(\vec{x}^* - \vec{x}_1)$$

But: $A\vec{p}_1 = \underset{\uparrow \mathbb{R}}{c} (A \underbrace{\vec{x}_x}_{\vec{b}} - A\vec{x}_1) = \underset{\uparrow \mathbb{R}}{c} (\vec{b} - A\vec{x}_1)$

$$A \vec{p}_1 \cdot \vec{p}_0 = c \underbrace{(\vec{b} - A\vec{x}_1)}_{-\nabla \varphi(\vec{x}_1)} \cdot \vec{p}_0 = -c \nabla \varphi(\vec{x}_0 + \alpha_0 \vec{p}_0) \cdot \vec{p}_0$$

$$= -c \left. \frac{d}{d\alpha} \right|_{\alpha=\alpha_0} \varphi(\vec{x}_0 + \alpha \vec{p}_0) = 0$$

$$\therefore \vec{A} \vec{p}_1 \cdot \vec{p}_0 = 0$$

Get convergence in JUST 2 steps!!

Summary: Find search ^① directions \vec{p}_j ($j=0, 1, 2, \dots$) such that ^② $\vec{p}_j^T A \vec{p}_k = 0$ for $j \neq k$ and find optimal time step α_j .

Definition: We say that the set of directions $\{\vec{p}_j\}_{j=0}^{k-1}$ is a conjugate set of directions (with respect to A) if:

$$\vec{p}_j^T A \vec{p}_i = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i, j \leq k-1 \text{ and } i \neq j.$$

Remark: $\because A$ is symmetric

$$\therefore \vec{p}_j^T A \vec{p}_i = p_i^T A^T \vec{p}_j = \vec{p}_i^T A \vec{p}_j$$

Notation: $W_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{span}\{\vec{p}_0, \vec{p}_1, \dots, \vec{p}_{k-1}\}$

Choice of time step α_k (Given $\vec{p}_0, \vec{p}_1, \dots, \vec{p}_k$)

Optimal α_k :

$$\varphi(\vec{x}_k + \alpha \vec{p}_k) = \varphi(\vec{x}_k) + \alpha \nabla \varphi(\vec{x}_k) \cdot \vec{p}_k + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \vec{p}_k^T A \vec{p}_k$$

(Taylor expansion)

Minimum attained at $\alpha = \frac{-\nabla \varphi(\vec{x}_k) \cdot \vec{p}_k}{\vec{p}_k^T A \vec{p}_k}$

\therefore we choose $\alpha_k = \frac{-\vec{r}_k \cdot \vec{p}_k}{\langle \vec{p}_k, \vec{p}_k \rangle_A}$

where $\vec{r}_k = A \vec{x}_k - \vec{b}$ and $\langle \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle_A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \vec{u} \cdot A \vec{v}$.

Choice of the search directions \vec{p}_j ($j=0,1,2,\dots$)

Suppose $\vec{p}_0, \vec{p}_1, \dots, \vec{p}_{k-1}$ are known. Then, we proceed to find the search direction \vec{p}_k in the form:

$$\vec{p}_k = -\vec{r}_k - \beta_{k-1} \vec{p}_{k-1} \quad \text{where} \quad \beta_{k-1} = -\frac{\vec{p}_{k-1}^T A \vec{r}_k}{\vec{p}_{k-1}^T A \vec{p}_{k-1}}$$

$$\Rightarrow A \vec{p}_k = -A \vec{r}_k - \beta_{k-1} A \vec{p}_{k-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{p}_{k-1}^T A \vec{p}_k = -\vec{p}_{k-1}^T A \vec{r}_k - \beta_{k-1} \vec{p}_{k-1}^T A \vec{p}_{k-1}$$

$$\overset{0}{\Rightarrow} \beta_{k-1} = -\frac{\vec{p}_{k-1}^T A \vec{r}_k}{\vec{p}_{k-1}^T A \vec{p}_{k-1}}$$

Conjugate gradient method (Solve: $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$)

Given $\vec{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\vec{p}_0 = -\vec{r}_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -(A\vec{x}_0 - \vec{b})$, find \vec{x}_k and \vec{p}_k ($k=1, 2, \dots$) such that:

$$(a) \quad \vec{x}_{k+1} = \vec{x}_k + \alpha_k \vec{p}_k$$
$$(b) \quad \alpha_k = - \frac{\vec{r}_k \cdot \vec{p}_k}{\langle \vec{p}_k, \vec{p}_k \rangle_A}$$

$\left(= \frac{\vec{r}_k \cdot \vec{r}_k}{\langle \vec{p}_k, \vec{p}_k \rangle_A} \right) \quad \vec{r}_k = A\vec{x}_k - \vec{b}$

$$\langle \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle_A = \vec{u} \cdot A\vec{v}$$

$$(c) \quad \vec{p}_{k+1} = -\vec{r}_{k+1} - \beta_k \vec{p}_k$$

$$(d) \quad \beta_k = - \frac{\langle \vec{r}_{k+1}, \vec{p}_k \rangle_A}{\langle \vec{p}_k, \vec{p}_k \rangle_A} \quad \left(= \frac{-\vec{r}_{k+1} \cdot \vec{r}_{k+1}}{\vec{r}_k \cdot \vec{r}_k} \right)$$

(Check)

↓
Iteration converges in less than n iterations.

$$(\vec{r}_i \cdot \vec{r}_j = 0 \quad \forall i \neq j)$$

Example: Consider the linear system: $\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}}_A \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{\vec{b}}.$

Exact solution is: $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix}.$

Start with $\vec{x}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$

Then: $\vec{p}_0 = -\vec{r}_0 = \vec{b} - A\vec{x}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$

$$\alpha_0 = \frac{-\vec{r}_0 \cdot \vec{p}_0}{\langle \vec{p}_0, \vec{p}_0 \rangle_A} = \frac{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}}{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot A \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} = \frac{1}{2} \quad (\text{optimal step size})$$

$$\vec{x}_1 = \vec{x}_0 + \alpha_0 \vec{p}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\vec{r}_1 = A\vec{x}_1 - \vec{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\beta_0 = -\frac{\langle \vec{r}_1, \vec{p}_0 \rangle_A}{\langle \vec{p}_0, \vec{p}_0 \rangle_A} = -\frac{1}{4}.$$

$$\vec{p}_1 = -\vec{r}_1 - \beta_0 \vec{p}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Second step: With $\vec{x}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\vec{p}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$,

$$\alpha_1 = - \frac{\vec{r}_1 \cdot \vec{p}_1}{\langle \vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_1 \rangle_A} = \frac{2}{3} \quad (\text{Optimal step size})$$

$$\vec{x}_2 = \vec{x}_1 + \alpha_1 \vec{p}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\vec{r}_2 = A\vec{x}_2 - \vec{b} = \vec{0} \quad (\text{exact solution}).$$

Remark: For 2×2 linear system, conjugate gradient method converges in 2 iterations

For $n \times n$ linear system, conjugate gradient method converges in at most n iterations (usually faster!!)

Next: We need to show: with the above recursive scheme to obtain $\{\vec{p}_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$;

(i) $\{\vec{p}_j\}$ are conjugate to each others.

(ii) $\vec{r}_i \cdot \vec{r}_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$.

$$(\vec{r}_i = A\vec{x}_i - \vec{b})$$

If the above are true, then we have:

Theorem: Consider the iterative scheme:

$$\vec{x}_{k+1} = \vec{x}_k + \alpha_k \vec{p}_k \quad (k=0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

Suppose $\{\vec{r}_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ are orthogonal to each others ($\vec{r}_i \cdot \vec{r}_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$)

Then, the iterative scheme converges to the sol $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ in less than \wedge n iterations.
or equal to

Proof: Suppose $\vec{r}_0, \vec{r}_1, \dots, \vec{r}_n$ are all non-zero.

Then: $\{\vec{r}_0, \dots, \vec{r}_n\}$ form an orthogonal $(\vec{r}_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A\vec{x}_i - \vec{b})$

and lin. independent set in \mathbb{R}^n . Contradiction,
with $n+1$ elements

$$\therefore \vec{r}_i = \vec{0} \text{ for some } i \leq n.$$

$$\therefore A\vec{x}_i - \vec{b} = \vec{0} \text{ for some } i \leq n.$$

$$\therefore \vec{x}_i = \text{sol of } A\vec{x} = \vec{b} \text{ for some } i \leq n.$$

Theorem: In the conjugate gradient method,

(i) $\vec{r}_i \cdot \vec{r}_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$

(ii) $\langle \vec{p}_i, \vec{p}_j \rangle_A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \vec{p}_i \cdot A \vec{p}_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$.

Lemma: $\text{Span} \{ \vec{p}_0, \dots, \vec{p}_{k-1} \} = \text{Span} \{ \vec{r}_0, \dots, \vec{r}_{k-1} \}$
 $= \text{Span} \{ \vec{r}_0, A \vec{r}_0, \dots, A^{k-1} \vec{r}_0 \}$

Proof: (i) and (ii) are true for $i, j \leq 1$

($\vec{r}_0 \cdot \vec{r}_1 = 0$ because $0 = \frac{d}{d\alpha} \Big|_{\alpha=\alpha_0} \varphi(\vec{x}_0 + \alpha \vec{p}_0) = \underbrace{\nabla \varphi(\vec{x}_0 + \alpha_0 \vec{p}_0)}_{\substack{= (A \vec{x}_1 - \vec{b}) \\ \vec{r}_1}} \cdot \underbrace{\vec{p}_0}_{\substack{= -\vec{r}_0}} = 0$)

$\langle \vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_0 \rangle_A = 0$ follows from the definition)

Suppose the statement is true for $i, j \leq k$. For $k+1$,

$$\therefore \text{Span} \{ \vec{p}_0, \dots, \vec{p}_j \} = \text{Span} \{ \vec{r}_0, \dots, \vec{r}_j \}$$

$$\therefore \text{we get } \vec{r}_k \cdot \vec{p}_j = 0 \text{ for } j=0, 1, 2, \dots, k-1 \quad (\text{By induction hypothesis})$$

$$\text{Span} \{ \vec{r}_0, \dots, \vec{r}_j \}$$

$$\text{Now, } \vec{r}_{k+1} = \vec{r}_k + \alpha_k A \vec{p}_k$$

$$\left(\therefore \begin{pmatrix} A \vec{x}_{k+1} \\ -\vec{b} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A \vec{x}_k \\ -\vec{b} \end{pmatrix} + \alpha_k A \vec{p}_k \right)$$

0 (induction hypothesis)

$$\langle \vec{p}_k, \vec{p}_j \rangle_A$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{r}_{k+1} \cdot \vec{p}_j = \vec{r}_k \cdot \vec{p}_j + \alpha_k A \vec{p}_k \cdot \vec{p}_j = 0 \text{ for } j=0, 1, 2, \dots, k-1$$

$$\text{Also, } 0 = \frac{d}{d\alpha} \bigg|_{\alpha=\alpha_k} \varphi(\vec{x}_k + \alpha \vec{p}_k) = \nabla \varphi(\vec{x}_k + \alpha \vec{p}_k) \cdot \vec{p}_k$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} A \vec{x}_{k+1} \\ -\vec{b} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \vec{r}_{k+1}$$

All together, we get $\vec{r}_{k+1} \cdot \vec{p}_j = 0$ for $j=0, 1, 2, \dots, k$

$$\therefore \text{Span}\{\vec{p}_0, \dots, \vec{p}_k\} = \text{Span}\{\vec{r}_0, \dots, \vec{r}_k\}$$

$$\therefore \vec{r}_{k+1} \cdot \vec{r}_j = 0 \text{ for } j=0, 1, 2, \dots, k$$

\therefore (i) is true for the case $k+1$.

To show (ii) for the case $k+1$ (given the induction hypothesis),

note that: $\vec{r}_{j+1} = \vec{r}_j + \alpha_j A \vec{p}_j \Rightarrow A \vec{p}_j \in \text{Span}\{\vec{r}_j, \vec{r}_{j+1}\}$

$$\therefore \vec{r}_{k+1} \cdot A \vec{p}_j = \underbrace{\langle \vec{r}_{k+1}, \vec{p}_j \rangle}_\text{Span}\{\vec{r}_j, \vec{r}_{j+1}\} = 0 \text{ for } j=0, 1, 2, \dots, k-1$$

$$\text{Now, } \vec{p}_{k+1} \cdot A \vec{p}_j = - \cancel{\vec{r}_{k+1}} \cdot \cancel{A \vec{p}_j} - \beta_k \cancel{\vec{p}_k} \cdot \cancel{A \vec{p}_j}$$

$$\langle \vec{p}_{k+1}, \vec{p}_j \rangle_A = 0 \quad \text{for } j=0, 1, 2, \dots, k-1$$

Also, $\langle \vec{p}_{k+1}, \vec{p}_k \rangle_A = 0$ by definition.

$$\therefore \langle \vec{p}_{k+1}, \vec{p}_j \rangle_A = 0 \quad \text{for } j=0, 1, 2, \dots, k$$

$$\therefore \langle \vec{p}_i, \vec{p}_j \rangle_A = 0 \quad \text{for } i, j \leq k+1.$$

By M.I., the theorem is generally true!!