

Topic#11

Diagonalizability

Recall: Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ with $\dim(V) < \infty$.

T diagonalizable $\Leftrightarrow \exists$ o.b. β of eigenvectors of T

\therefore diagonalizability requires existence of e-vectors

Questions: when “such” β exist?

1°. is there any test?

2°. if exists, is there any way to find it out?

Thm. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ with $\dim(V) = n$. If T has n distinct eigenvalues, then T is diagonalizable.

Pf. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be n distinct eigenvalues of T . For each λ_i , let v_i be an eigenvector associated with λ_i . Let

$$\beta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}.$$

Claim: β is linearly independent. (see the pf later)

$$\because \dim(V) = n = \# \beta$$

$\therefore \beta$ is a basis for V . So β is an o.b. for V consisting entirely of eigenvectors of T . Then T is diagonalizable. \square

Claim is based on:

Lemma. A set of eigenvectors associated with distinct eigenvalues of T is linearly independent.

Pf.: Induction on $k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \#$ of such set S .

$k = 1$: $S = \{v_1\}$, $0 \neq v_1$ is an eigenvector associated with an eigenvalue λ . Obvious to see $S = \{v_1\}$ is l. indep.

Assume “true” for $k \geq 1$, to show “true” for $k + 1$.

Let $S \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{v_1, \dots, v_{k+1}\}$

where v_i is λ_i -eVector and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k+1}$ distinct.

To show: S l. indep.

Let $\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i v_i = 0$. Apply $T - \lambda_{k+1}I$ to it, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i (T v_i - \lambda_{k+1} v_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i (\lambda_i v_i - \lambda_{k+1} v_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k a_i (\lambda_i - \lambda_{k+1}) v_i. \end{aligned}$$

$\because \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ l. indep.

$$\therefore a_1(\lambda_1 - \lambda_{k+1}) = \dots = a_k(\lambda_k - \lambda_{k+1}) = 0$$

$\because \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k+1}$ distinct

$$\therefore a_1 = \dots = a_k = 0.$$

Plug to $\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i v_i = 0$, then $a_{k+1} v_{k+1} = 0$

$$\therefore a_{k+1} = 0 \ (v_{k+1} \neq 0).$$



Warning: The converse of Thm is false:

i.e. “if T is diagonalizable then T has n distinct e.-Value”

NOT TRUE

e.g. $I_V \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ ($\dim(V) = n$):

- diagonalizable $[I_V]_\beta = I_n$
- only one e.-value=1, $I_V(v) = 1 \cdot v$

Let us find Necessary Conditions.

Observe: Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ with $\dim(V) = n$, then

1°. T has at most n eigenvalues.

2°. If T is diagonalizable, i.e. \exists o.b. β s.t.

$$[T]_{\beta} = D = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} (\lambda_i \in \mathbb{F}),$$

then the c.p. of T is given by

$$f(t) = \det(D - tI_n) = (-1)^n (t - \lambda_1)(t - \lambda_2) \cdots (t - \lambda_n),$$

and hence it is **necessary** to require there are exactly n eigenvalues counting their multiplicity!

Any other necessary conditions for diagonalizable T ?

Goal: need compare “multiplicity of λ ” to $\dim N(T - \lambda I)$!!!

Def. $f(t) \in P(\mathbb{F})$ **splits** over \mathbb{F} if $\exists c$ & a_1, \dots, a_n (not necessarily distinct) in \mathbb{F} such that

$$f(t) = c(t - a_1) \cdots (t - a_n).$$

e.g. if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, then any $f(t) \in P(\mathbb{C})$ splits over \mathbb{C}

e.g. if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$, then not all $f(t) \in P(\mathbb{R})$ can split over \mathbb{R} , e.g.
 $f(t) = t^2 + 1$.

Prop. The c.p. of a diagonalizable $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ over \mathbb{F} must split over \mathbb{F} .

Pf. See the previous observation. □

Observe: If the c.p. $f(t)$ splits, i.e.

$$f(t) = c(t - \lambda_1) \cdots (t - \lambda_n),$$

(note: $c = (-1)^n$ for c.p.), then after renaming λ_i we may also rewrite the above as:

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= c(t - \lambda_1)^{m_1} (t - \lambda_2)^{m_2} \cdots (t - \lambda_k)^{m_k} \\ \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k &: \text{distinct in } \mathbb{F} \ (k \leq n) \\ m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k &\geq 1 : m_1 + \cdots + m_k = n \end{aligned}$$

Def.: Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ be an eigenvalue of $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ with c.p. $f(t)$. Then, the algebraic multiplicity (a.m.) of λ is defined to be the largest positive integer k for which $(t - \lambda)^k$ is a factor of $f(t)$.

e.g. Let m_λ denote the a.m. of λ , then $m_{\lambda_i} = m_i$.

Consider the following issue: If c.p.

$$f(t) = (-1)^n (t - \lambda_1)^{m_1} (t - \lambda_2)^{m_2} \cdots (t - \lambda_k)^{m_k},$$

$\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$: distinct eigenvalues, $m_i = \text{a.m. of } \lambda_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$,

then can we know anything on

$$N(T - \lambda_i I_V)$$

in particular, on its dim (**geometric multiplicity of λ_i**)?

We will show:

1°. $1 \leq \dim N(T - \lambda I_V) \leq m_\lambda$

2°. If T is diagonalizable, then both (i) $f_T(t)$ splits, and (ii) $\dim N(T - \lambda_i I_V) = m_{\lambda_i}, 1 \leq i \leq k$, are satisfied. Moreover, **the converse is also TRUE!**

Def. Let λ be an eigenvalue of $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$.

$$E_\lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{v \in V : T(v) = \lambda v\} = N(T - \lambda I_V),$$

is called the **eigenspace** of T associated with $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$. Thus E_λ consists of all λ -eVectors together with the zero vector.

Lemma. $1 \leq \dim(E_\lambda) \leq m_\lambda$.

Proof. Note that E_λ is a subspace of V containing at least one nonzero vector (an eigenvector associated with $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$), then

$$1 \leq \dim(E_\lambda) \leq \dim(V) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} n.$$

Let $p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \dim(E_\lambda)$, and $\{v_1, \dots, v_p\}$ be an o.b. for E_λ .

Extend $\{v_1, \dots, v_p\}$ to o.b. $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_p, v_{p+1}, \dots, v_n\}$ for V .

Note: For $i = 1, \dots, p$,

$$0 \neq v_i \in E_\lambda = N(T - \lambda I), \text{ i.e., } T(v_i) = \lambda v_i.$$

$$\therefore A \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} [T]_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda I_p & \vdots & B \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & \vdots & C \end{pmatrix}_{n \times n} \quad \text{for some } B \text{ and } C$$

(Get directly from

$$[T]_\beta = ([T(v_i)]_\beta | \cdots | [T(v_p)]_\beta | [T(v_{p+1})]_\beta | \cdots | [T(v_n)]_\beta))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \text{c.p. of } T : f(t) &= \det(A - tI_n) = \det \begin{pmatrix} (\lambda - t)I_p & \vdots & B \\ \cdots & \cdot & \cdots \\ 0 & \vdots & C - tI_{n-p} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det((\lambda - t)I_p) \cdot \det(C - tI_{n-p}) \\ &= (\lambda - t)^p \cdot \underline{g(t)}, \quad \text{for some } g \in P_{n-p}(\mathbb{F}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \dim(E_\lambda) = p \leq m_\lambda = \text{algebraic multiplicity of } \lambda.$$



The next goal: Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, $\dim(V) = n$ with c.p.

$$f(t) = (-1)^n (t - \lambda_1)^{m_1} \cdots (t - \lambda_k)^{m_k}$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$: distinct, and $m_1 + \dots + m_k = n$.

We know:

$$1 \leq \dim(E_{\lambda_i}) \leq m_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

to show the BIGGEST Thm of this topic:

Thm. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ with $\dim(V) < \infty$. Assume that the c.p. of T splits over \mathbb{F} and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ are all the distinct eigenvalues of T . Then,

(a) T is diagonalizable **iff**

$$m_{\lambda_i} = \dim(E_{\lambda_i}) \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, k;$$

(b) If T is diagonalizable and β_i is an o.b. for E_{λ_i} ($1 \leq i \leq k$), then

$\beta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \beta_1 \cup \beta_2 \cup \dots \cup \beta_k$ is an o.b. for V consisting of e-vectors of T .

An example of (b) of the Thm will be presented later (the Example.3)

Lemma: Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ with $\dim(V) < \infty$,
 $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ be distinct eigenvalues of T ,
 S_1, \dots, S_k be (finite) l. indep. subsets of $E_{\lambda_1}, \dots, E_{\lambda_k}$, resp.
Then,

$$S \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_k \subset V \quad \text{is l. indep.}$$

Pf of Lemma: Set $n_i = \#S_i$ and $S_i = \{v_{i1}, \dots, v_{in_i}\} \subset E_{\lambda_i}$.

Then, $S = \cup_{i=1}^k S_i = \{v_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq n_i\}$.

To show S is l. indep., let $\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} a_{ij} v_{ij} = 0$,

rewrite it as $0 = \sum_{i=1}^k w_i$, where each $w_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} a_{ij} v_{ij} \in E_{\lambda_i}$.

Claim: $w_1 = \dots = w_k = 0$.

If claim is true, then $0 = \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} a_{ij} v_{ij}$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$).

Note, S_i is l. indep. for each i ,

hence all $a_{ij} = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq n_i$). Thus S is l.indep. □

Pf of Claim: Otherwise, some w_i is nonzero.

Remove those zero vectors in $\sum_{i=1}^k w_i$, and renumber w_i , we have

$$w_1 + \dots + w_m = 0 \text{ (each } w_i \in E_{\lambda_i} \text{ is nonzero),}$$

For $1 \leq i \leq m$, by definition, w_i is an e-vector of λ_i .

So, this is a contradiction to “a set of eigenvectors of distinct e-values must be l. indep.” □□

Pf of the Thm. Let $n = \dim(V)$, $m_i = m_{\lambda_i}$, $d_i = \dim(E_{\lambda_i})$, $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Pf of (a): " \Rightarrow " Assume: T is diagonalizable.

V has an o.b. β of e-vectors of T , set $\beta_i = \beta \cap E_{\lambda_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$.

We see $\#\beta_i \leq d_i \leq m_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$), then

$$n = \#\beta = \sum_{i=1}^k \#\beta_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^k d_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^k m_i = n.$$

The second equality is from 'disjoint' of β_i

$\therefore \sum_{i=1}^k (m_i - d_i) = 0$ (note: $m_i - d_i \geq 0$ for each i)

$\therefore m_i = d_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$.

" \Leftarrow " Assume: $m_i = d_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$).

Let β_i be an o.b. for E_{λ_i} , set $\beta = \beta_1 \cup \dots \cup \beta_k$.

Note: β is l. indep. and

$$\#\beta = \sum_{i=1}^k \#\beta_i = \sum_{i=1}^k \dim(E_{\lambda_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^k d_i = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i = n.$$

$\therefore \dim(V) = n \therefore \beta$ is an o.b. for V consisting of eigenvectors of T .

$\therefore T$ is diagonalizable.

Pf of (b): direct consequence of the proof of “ \Leftarrow ” in (a). □

Sum. Test for Diagonalization:

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ with $\dim(V) = n$.

Then, T is diagonalizable **iff**

1°. The c.p. of T splits

2°. For each eigenvalue λ of T ,

$$\underbrace{m_\lambda}_{\text{algebraic multiplicity of } \lambda} = \underbrace{\dim(E_\lambda)}_{\text{geometric multiplicity of } \lambda}$$

Note: $\dim(E_\lambda) = \text{nullity}(T - \lambda I) = n - \text{rank}(T - \lambda I)$.

Remark: For 2°, if $m_\lambda = 1$, then 2° always holds true, because in this case

$$1 \leq \dim(E_\lambda) \leq m_\lambda = 1, \text{ then } m_\lambda = \dim(E_\lambda).$$

Example 1. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{3 \times 3}(\mathbb{R})$.

Determine its diagonalizability.

$$\begin{aligned} 1^\circ. f_A(t) &= \det(A - tI_3) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 3-t & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3-t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4-t \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \dots = -(t-4)(t-3)^2. \end{aligned}$$

\therefore The c.p. $f_A(t)$ of A splits.

$2^\circ. \lambda_1 = 4, m_{\lambda_1} = 1, \therefore$ 2nd condition is satisfied for λ_1 .
 $\lambda_2 = 3, m_{\lambda_2} = 2$.

$$A - \lambda_1 I_3 = A - 3I_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with rank} = 2.$$

$$\therefore \dim(E_{\lambda_2}) = 3 - 2 = 1 < 2 = m_{\lambda_2}$$

\therefore 2nd condition fails for λ_2 .

Therefore A is NOT diagonalizable.



Example 2. Let $T : P_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow P_2(\mathbb{R})$,

$$f \mapsto T(f), \quad T(f(x)) = f(1) + f'(0)x + (f'(0) + f''(0))x^2.$$

(1) Note $T \in \mathcal{L}(P_2(\mathbb{R}))$.

Let $\alpha = \{1, x, x^2\}$: s.o.b. Compute

$$T(1) = 1$$

$$T(x) = 1 + 1 \cdot x + (1 + 0)x^2 = 1 + x + x^2$$

$$T(x^2) = 1 + 0 \cdot x + (0 + 2)x^2 = 1 + 2x^2$$

$$\therefore [T]_{\alpha} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \right).$$



(2) Test diagonalization for T :

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Let } f_T(t) &= \det([T] - tI_3) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1-t & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1-t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2-t \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \dots = -(t-1)^2(t-2)^1.\end{aligned}$$

$\therefore f_T(t)$ splits.

$$\lambda_1 = 1 : m_{\lambda_1} = 2, [T]_{\alpha} - \lambda_1 I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with rank} = 1.$$

$$\therefore \dim(E_{\lambda_1}) = 3 - 1 = 2 = m_{\lambda_1}.$$

$$\lambda_2 = 2, m_{\lambda_2} = 1 = \dim(E_{\lambda_2}).$$

Therefore T is diagonalizable.



(3) Goal: Find an o.b. β of $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ consisting of e-vectors of T

$$\text{so that } [T]_{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Idea: $0 \neq v \in E_{\lambda} = N(T - \lambda I) \Leftrightarrow 0 \neq [v]_{\alpha} \in N([T]_{\alpha} - \lambda I)$.

Specifically,

$\lambda_1 = 1$:

$$N([T]_{\alpha} - I_3) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \right\}$$

has an ordered basis $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$.

$\therefore \beta_1 = \{1, -x + x^2\}$ is an ordered basis for E_{λ_1} .

$\lambda_2 = 2 :$

$$N([T]_\alpha - 2 \cdot I_3) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \right\}$$

has an ordered basis $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$.

$\therefore \beta_2 := \{1 + x^2\}$ is an ordered basis for E_{λ_2} .

Then, $\beta = \{1, -x + x^2, 1 + x^2\}$ is an o.b. for $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ consisting of only e-vectors of T such that

$$[T]_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$



Sum.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{T} & V \\ \downarrow \Phi_\alpha & & \downarrow \Phi_\alpha \\ \mathbb{F}^n & \xrightarrow{[T]_\alpha} & \mathbb{F}^n \end{array}$$

1°. Given diagonalizable $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, work on the e-vector/e-value problem on $[T]_\alpha$ with a suitable choice of o.b. α for V . Thus, we are able to find an o.b. γ for \mathbb{F}^n consisting of e-vectors of $[T]_\alpha$.

2°. Define

$$\beta \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \Phi_\alpha^{-1}(\gamma),$$

then β is an o.b. for V of eigenvectors of T ($\because \Phi_\alpha : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ is an isomorphism), so that $[T]_\beta$ is a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries given by the corresponding e-values.

Example 3: Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$. Assume that A is diagonalizable. Then, $f_A(t)$ splits. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$ be distinct e-values. Let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$ be o.b.'s for e-spaces $E_{\lambda_1}, \dots, E_{\lambda_k}$, resp. Note

$$m_{\lambda_i} = \dim(E_{\lambda_i}), \quad n = \sum_{i=1}^k m_{\lambda_i}.$$

$\gamma \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2 \cup \dots \cup \gamma_k$: o.b. for \mathbb{F}^n of eigenvectors of A .

$$\therefore [L_A]_{\gamma} = \left(\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & \lambda_1 & & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & & \lambda_1 & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & \lambda_k \\ & & & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & & & \lambda_k \\ & & & & & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & & & & & \lambda_k \end{array} \right) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} D.$$

On the other hand, from Topic#9 (page 6),

$$Q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\underbrace{[\] \cdots [\]}_{\gamma_1} \mid \underbrace{[\] \cdots [\]}_{\gamma_2} \mid \cdots \mid \underbrace{[\] \cdots [\]}_{\gamma_k}) \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F}).$$

$$(\#\gamma_k = \dim(E_{\lambda_k}) = m_k, \quad \sum \#\gamma_k = n)$$

$$[L_A]_{\gamma} = Q^{-1}AQ. \quad (Q = [I]_{\gamma}^{\text{s.o.b.}} \text{ changing } \gamma\text{-coord. to s.o.b. coord.})$$

$$\therefore Q^{-1}AQ = D, \text{ i.e. } A = QDQ^{-1}.$$

It is then easier to compute A^n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) as

$$A^n = QD^nQ^{-1}.$$

(Only need to compute λ_i^n for $1 \leq i \leq k$)