

## Midterm Examination

**Answer four questions. Do not do all five.** Notations in Lecture Notes are in effect.

1. Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a measure space.

(a) Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}$ . Show that

$$\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B) - \mu(A \cap B).$$

**Solution**

$$\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A \cup (B \setminus A)) = \mu(A) + \mu(B \setminus A) = \mu(A) + \mu(B) - \mu(A \cap B).$$

(b) Let  $A_k \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $k \geq 1$ . Assume that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_k) < \infty$ . Show that the set

$$E = \{x \in X : x \text{ belongs to infinitely many } A_k\},$$

is a null set. You may assume  $E$  to be measurable.

**Solution** Since  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_k) < \infty$ , we have  $\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \mu(A_k) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$A \subset \bigcup_{k \geq n} A_k$$

and so

$$\mu(A) \leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \mu(A_k).$$

Taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\mu(A) = 0$ .

This result is called Borel-Cantelli lemma.

2. (a) Let  $f, g$  be two measurable functions on a measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{M})$ . Show that the sum  $f + g$  and the product  $h = fg$  are measurable.

**Solution** It suffices to show

$$(f + g)^{-1}(a, \infty) = \bigcup_{\substack{t+s>a \\ t,s \in \mathbb{Q}}} f^{-1}(t, \infty) \cap g^{-1}(s, \infty)$$

Let's consider a point  $x$  satisfying  $(f + g)(x) > a$ . We can always choose two rational numbers  $t$  and  $s$  such that  $f(x) > t, g(x) > s$  and  $t + s > a$ . It follows that  $x \in f^{-1}(t, \infty) \cap g^{-1}(s, \infty)$ , and we have one side inclusion. The other side inclusion is immediate.

From

$$fg = \frac{1}{4} [(f + g)^2 - (f - g)^2],$$

we conclude that  $fg$  is measurable.

- (b) Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous and injective. Show that  $f(B) \in \mathcal{B}$  for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , where  $\mathcal{B}$  stands for the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Solution** Let  $\mathcal{F} := \{E \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}} : f^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{B}\}$ . We first show that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra:

- Since  $f^{-1}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R} \in \mathcal{B}$ , we have  $\mathbb{R} \in \mathcal{F}$ ;
- If  $E \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $f^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{B}$ , whence  $f^{-1}(\mathbb{R} \setminus E) = \mathbb{R} \setminus f^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{B}$ , which shows  $\mathbb{R} \setminus E \in \mathcal{F}$ ;
- If  $E_i \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $f^{-1}(E_i) \in \mathcal{B}$  for all  $i$ , whence  $f^{-1}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}(E_i) \in \mathcal{B}$ , which shows  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Since  $f$  is continuous,  $\mathcal{F}$  contains all open sets in  $\mathbb{R}$ . As  $\mathcal{B}$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing all open sets in  $\mathbb{R}$ , we have  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ . Consequently, for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , we have  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ , whence  $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{B}$ .

3. (a) State the dominated convergence theorem without proof.

**Solution** Let  $f, f_k, k \geq 1$ , be extended real-valued measurable in  $X$  satisfying  $f_k \rightarrow f$  a.e. and  $|f_k| \leq g$  a.e. for some integrable  $g$ . Then  $f$  is integrable and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int |f_k - f| d\mu = 0.$$

- (b) State Egorov's theorem without proof.

**Solution** Let  $f, f_k, k \geq 1$ , be extended real-valued measurable functions in  $X$  which are finite a.e.. Suppose that  $\mu(X)$  is finite and  $f_k \rightarrow f$  a.e. as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a measurable  $A, \mu(A) < \varepsilon$ , such that  $f_k \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $X \setminus A$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

- (c) Let  $f$  be an integrable function on a measure space  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ . Show that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a number  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\int_E |f| d\mu < \varepsilon$$

for each  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $\mu(E) < \delta$ .

**Solution.** Assume on the contrary there is some  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and  $E_j, \mu(E_n) \leq 2^{-n}$ , such that  $\int_{E_n} |f| d\mu \geq \varepsilon_0$ . Let  $A_n = \bigcup_{j \geq n} E_j$ . Then

$$\mu(A_n) \leq \sum_{j \geq n} \mu(E_j) \leq \sum_{j \geq n} \frac{1}{2^j} = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}.$$

Let  $A = \bigcap_n A_n$ . As  $\{A_n\}$  is descending and  $\mu(A_1)$  is finite,

$$\mu(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) = 0,$$

that is,  $A$  is of measure zero. On the other hand, we have  $|f| \chi_{A_n} \leq |f|$ , by the dominated convergence theorem we have

$$\int_A |f| d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A_n} |f| d\mu \geq \varepsilon_0 > 0,$$

contradiction holds.

4. Let  $E$  be a Lebesgue measurable subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

- (a) Suppose that  $\Phi$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Is it true that  $\Phi(E)$  is always Lebesgue measurable? Prove this or give a counter-example (and prove that it is a counter-example).

**Solution.**

The answer is no. To construct a counter example, let  $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 2]$  be the function given by lecture notes Ch3 section 3.2. i.e.  $h(x) := x + g(x)$  where  $g$  is the Cantor function. Define  $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\Phi(x) := \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x < 0 \\ h(x) & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ x + 1 & \text{if } 1 < x. \end{cases}$$

Using the property of  $h$ , we see that  $\Phi$  is an injective and continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Denoting the Cantor set by  $\mathcal{C}$ , we have  $\mathcal{L}(\Phi(\mathcal{C})) = \mathcal{L}(h(\mathcal{C})) = 1$  by the property of  $h$ . Therefore, by lecture notes Ch3 Proposition 3.3, there exists some non-measurable  $A \subseteq \Phi(\mathcal{C})$ . Since  $\Phi$  is injective,  $E := \Phi^{-1}(A)$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{C}$ . As  $\mathcal{C}$  is of measure zero,  $E$  is a measurable set, while  $\Phi(E) = A$  is not measurable.

- (b) Suppose that

$$|\Phi(y) - \Phi(x)| \leq L|x - y|, \quad \forall x, y \in E,$$

for some positive constant  $L$ . Show that  $\Phi(E)$  is Lebesgue measurable.

**Solution.** Assume that  $E$  is compact first. As the image of a compact set under a continuous map is again compact and so is Borel, we see that  $\mathcal{L}^n(E)$  is also compact, hence measurable. Next, let  $E$  be a bounded measurable set. By inner regularity we can find a set  $F \subset E$  which is the countable union of compact sets satisfying  $\mathcal{L}^n(E \setminus F) = 0$ . Hence the set  $N = E \setminus F$  is null and  $\Phi(E) = \Phi(F) \cup \Phi(N)$ . We have  $\Phi(F) = \bigcup_j \Phi(K_j)$  where  $K_j$  are compact, so  $\Phi(F)$  is Borel (hence measurable). Therefore, things boil down to show that the image of a null set under a Lipschitz map is a null set. This is the key point, and the proof is not difficult. Finally, we can write a measurable set as the countable union of bounded, measurable sets.

5. Let  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  be two measures on a measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{M})$ . Define

$$\mu(E) = \inf\{\mu_1(E \cap F) + \mu_2(E \setminus F) : F \in \mathcal{M}\}$$

for  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ . Prove that  $\mu$  is a measure on  $(X, \mathcal{M})$ .

**Solution.** Plainly  $\mu$  is a nonnegative function on  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ . Let  $\{E_k\}$  be a countable collection of mutually disjoint sets in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Writing  $E := \bigcup_k E_k$ , we would like to show that

$$\mu(E) = \sum_k \mu(E_k).$$

On the one hand, given  $F_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k \mu(E_k) &= \sum_k \inf\{\mu_1(E_k \setminus F) + \mu_2(E_k \cap F) : F \in \mathcal{M}\} \\ &\leq \sum_k [\mu_1(E_k \setminus F_0) + \mu_2(E_k \cap F_0)] = \mu_1(E \setminus F_0) + \mu_2(E \cap F_0), \end{aligned}$$

whence  $\sum_k \mu(E_k) \leq \mu(E)$  by taking inf over  $F_0 \in \mathcal{M}$  on the R.H.S.

To get the reverse inequality, let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be fixed. For each  $k$ , there exists  $F_k \in \mathcal{M}$  such that

$$\mu_1(E_k \setminus F_k) + \mu_2(E_k \cap F_k) \leq \mu(E_k) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k}$$

Let  $F := \bigcup_k (E_k \cap F_k)$ . Note that  $F \subseteq E$  and  $E \setminus F = \bigcup_k (E_k \setminus F_k)$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(E) &\leq \mu_1(E \setminus F) + \mu_2(E \cap F) \\ &= \sum_k \mu_1(E_k \setminus F_k) + \sum_k \mu_2(E_k \cap F_k) \\ &= \sum_k [\mu_1(E_k \setminus F_k) + \mu_2(E_k \cap F_k)] \\ &\leq \sum_k \mu(E_k) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, we finish the proof.