

## Solution to MATH5011 homework 9

- (1) Consider  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with the Lebesgue measure,  $0 < p < \infty$ . Show that  $\|f + g\|_p \leq \|f\|_p + \|g\|_p$  holds  $\forall f, g$  implies that  $p \geq 1$ . Hint: For  $0 < p < 1$ ,  $x^p + y^p \geq (x + y)^p$ .

**Solution.** Recall that in fact we have, for  $x, y \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{cases} x^p + y^p \geq (x + y)^p, & 0 < p < 1, \\ x^p + y^p = (x + y)^p, & p = 1, \\ x^p + y^p \leq (x + y)^p, & 1 < p < \infty. \end{cases}$$

Pick any  $a, b \geq 0$  and define  $f, g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} a, & x \in [0, 1]^n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} b, & x \in [2, 3]^n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Simple calculations show that  $\|f\|_p = a$ ,  $\|g\|_p = b$  and  $\|f + g\|_p = (a^p + b^p)^{1/p}$ . Now the hypothesis implies  $a^p + b^p \geq (a + b)^p$ . Hence,  $p \geq 1$ .

- (2) Consider  $L^p(\mu)$ ,  $0 < p < 1$ . Then  $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$ ,  $q < 0$ .

- (a) Prove that  $\|fg\|_1 \geq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q$ .
- (b)  $f_1, f_2 \geq 0$ .  $\|f + g\|_p \geq \|f\|_p + \|g\|_p$ .
- (c)  $d(f, g) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|f - g\|_p^p$  defines a metric on  $L^p(\mu)$ .

**Solution.**

- (a) Assume that  $g > 0$  everywhere first. Applying Hölder's inequality with conjugate

exponents  $\tilde{p} = \frac{1}{p}$  and  $\tilde{q} = \frac{1}{1-p} = \frac{\tilde{p}}{\tilde{p}-1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\| |f|^p \|_1 &= \| |fg|^{1/\tilde{p}} |g|^{-1/\tilde{p}} \|_1 \\
&\leq \| |fg|^{1/p} \|_{\tilde{p}} \| |g|^{-1/p} \|_{\tilde{q}} \\
&= \| fg \|_1^{1/\tilde{p}} \| |g|^{-1/(\tilde{p}-1)} \|_1^{(\tilde{p}-1)/\tilde{p}} \\
&= \| fg \|_1^p \| |g|^{-p/(1-p)} \|_1^{1-p}, \text{ so} \\
\| |f|^p \|_1^{1/p} &\leq \| fg \|_1 \| |g|^{-p/(1-p)} \|_1^{1/p-1} \\
&= \| fg \|_1 \| |g|^q \|_1^{-1/q}, \text{ or} \\
\| f \|_p &\leq \| fg \|_1 \| g \|_q^{-1}, \text{ that is} \\
\| fg \|_1 &\geq \| f \|_p \| g \|_q.
\end{aligned}$$

For a general  $g \geq 0$ , apply the result to  $g_\varepsilon = g + \varepsilon$  first and then let  $g_\varepsilon$  tend to  $g$ .

(b) Without loss of generality, we can assume  $\|f + g\|_p \neq 0$ . Using part (a), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f + g\|_p^p &= \int (f + g)^p d\mu \\
&= \int f(f + g)^{p-1} d\mu + \int g(f + g)^{p-1} d\mu \\
&\geq (\|f\|_p + \|g\|_p) \left( \int (f + g)^{(p-1)\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)} d\mu \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \\
&= (\|f\|_p + \|g\|_p) \|f + g\|_p^{p-1}, \text{ so} \\
\|f + g\|_p &\geq \|f\|_p + \|g\|_p.
\end{aligned}$$

(c) The fact that for  $x, y \geq 0$  and  $0 < p < 1$ ,

$$(x + y)^p \leq x^p + y^p$$

implies

$$\int |f + g|^p d\mu \leq \int |f|^p d\mu + \int |g|^p d\mu.$$

Hence,  $d(f, g) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|f - g\|_p^p$  defines a metric on  $L^p(\mu)$ .

(3) Let  $X$  be a metric space consisting of infinitely many elements and  $\mu$  a Borel measure on  $X$  such that  $\mu(B) > 0$  on any metric ball (i.e.  $B = \{x : d(x, x_0) < \rho\}$  for some  $x_0 \in X$  and  $\rho > 0$ ). Show that  $L^\infty(\mu)$  is non-separable.

Suggestion: Find disjoint balls  $B_{r_j}(x_j)$  and consider  $\chi_{B_{r_j}(x_j)}$ .

**Solution.** Standard argument.

- (4) Show that  $L^1(\mu)' = L^\infty(\mu)$  provided  $(X, \mathfrak{M}, \mu)$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, i.e.,  $\exists X_j, \mu(X_j) < \infty$ , such that  $X = \bigcup X_j$ .

Hint: First assume  $\mu(X) < \infty$ . Show that  $\exists g \in L^q(\mu), \forall q > 1$ , such that

$$\Lambda f = \int fg d\mu, \quad \forall f \in L^p, p > 1.$$

Next show that  $g \in L^\infty(\mu)$  by proving the set  $\{x : |g(x)| \geq M + \varepsilon\}$  has measure zero  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ . Here  $M = \|\Lambda\|$ .

**Solution.**

Step 1.  $\mu(X) < \infty$ .

In this case, Hölder's inequality implies that a continuous linear functional  $\Lambda$  on  $L^1(X)$  has a restriction to  $L^p(X)$  which is again continuous since

$$|\Lambda f| \leq \|\Lambda\| \|f\|_1 \leq \|\Lambda\| \mu(X)^{1/q} \|f\|_p \tag{1}$$

for all  $p \geq 1$ . By the proof for  $p > 1$  in the lecture notes, we have the existence of a unique  $v_p \in L^q(X)$  such that  $\Lambda f = \int v_p f d\mu$  for all  $f \in L^p(X)$ . Moreover, since  $L^r(X) \subset L^p(X)$  for  $r \geq p$  (by Hölder's inequality) the uniqueness of  $v_p$  implies that  $v_p$  is, in fact, independent of  $p$ , i.e. this function (which we now call  $v$ ) is in every  $L^r(X)$ -space for  $1 < r < \infty$ .

If we now pick some conjugate exponents  $q$  and  $p$  with  $p > 1$  and choose  $f = |v|^{q-2}\bar{v}$  in (1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int |v|^q d\mu &= \Lambda f \\ &\leq \|\Lambda\| \mu(X)^{1/q} \left( \int |v|^{(q-1)p} d\mu \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \|\Lambda\| \mu(X)^{1/q} \|v\|_q^{q-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $\|v\|_q \leq \|\Lambda\| \mu(X)^{1/q}$  for all  $q < \infty$ . We claim that  $v \in L^\infty(X)$ ; in fact  $\|v\|_\infty \leq \|\Lambda\|$ . Suppose that  $\mu(\{x \in X : |v(x)| > \|\Lambda\| + \varepsilon\}) = M > 0$ . Then  $\|v\|_q \geq (\|\Lambda\| + \varepsilon)M^{1/q}$ , which exceeds  $\|\Lambda\| \mu(X)^{1/q}$  if  $q$  is big enough. Thus

$v \in L^\infty(X)$  and  $\Lambda f = \int v f d\mu$  for all  $f \in L^p(X)$  for any  $p > 1$ . If  $f \in L^1(X)$  is given, then  $\int |v||f| d\mu < \infty$ . Replacing  $f$  by  $f^k = f\chi_{\{|f(x)| \leq k\}}$ , we note that  $|f^k| \leq |f|$  and  $f^k(x) \rightarrow f(x)$  pointwise as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ; hence, by dominated convergence,  $f^k \rightarrow f$  in  $L^1(X)$  and  $v f^k \rightarrow v f$  in  $L^1(X)$ . Thus

$$\Lambda f = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda f^k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int v f^k d\mu = \int v f d\mu.$$

Step 2.  $\mu(X) = \infty$ .

The previous conclusion can be extended to the case that  $\mu(X) = \infty$  but  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -finite. Then

$$X = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} X_j$$

with  $\mu(X_j)$  finite and with  $X_j \cap X_k$  empty whenever  $j \neq k$ . Any  $L^1(X)$  function  $f$  can be written as

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_j(x)$$

where  $f_j = \chi_j f$  and  $\chi_j$  is the characteristic function of  $X_j$ .  $f_j \mapsto \Lambda f_j$  is then an element of  $L^1(X_j)'$ , and hence there is a function  $v_j \in L^\infty(X_j)$  such that  $\Lambda f_j = \int_{X_j} v_j f_j d\mu = \int_{X_j} v_j f d\mu$ . The important point is that each  $v_j$  is bounded in  $L^\infty(X_j)$  by the *same*  $\|\Lambda\|$ . Moreover, the function  $v$ , defined on all of  $X$  by  $v(x) = v_j(x)$  for  $x \in X_j$ , is clearly measurable and bounded by  $\|\Lambda\|$ . Thus, we have  $\Lambda f = \int_X v f d\mu$  by the countable additivity of the measure  $\mu$ .

If there exist  $v, w \in L^\infty(X)$  such that

$$\Lambda f = \int_X v f d\mu = \int_X w f d\mu, \quad \forall f \in L^1(X),$$

then

$$\int_X (v - w) f d\mu = 0, \quad \forall f \in L^1(X).$$

Suppose, on the contrary, that  $(v - w) > 0$  on some  $A \subset \mathfrak{M}$  with  $0 < \mu(A) < \infty$ . By taking  $f = \chi_A$  one arrives at a contradiction. Thus, given  $\Lambda \in L^1(X)$  there corresponds a unique  $v \in L^\infty(X)$ .

- (5) (a) For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $\|f\|_p, \|g\|_p \leq R$ , prove that

$$\int \| |f|^p - |g|^p \| d\mu \leq 2pR^{p-1} \|f - g\|_p.$$

- (b) Deduce that the map  $f \mapsto |f|^p$  from  $L^p(\mu)$  to  $L^1(\mu)$  is continuous.

Hint: Try  $|x^p - y^p| \leq p|x - y|(x^{p-1} + y^{p-1})$ .

**Solution.**

- (a) Notice that  $|x^p - y^p| \leq p|x - y|(x^{p-1} + y^{p-1})$ , which follows from the mean value theorem applying to  $h(x) = x^p$ . Then it follows easily from Hölder's inequality that

$$\int \| |f|^p - |g|^p \| d\mu \leq 2pR^{p-1} \|f - g\|_p.$$

- (b) This is a direct consequence of (a).

- (6) Optional. Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be the collection of all sets  $E$  in the unit interval  $[0, 1]$  such that either  $E$  or its complement is at most countable. Let  $\mu$  be the counting measure on this  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{M}$ . If  $g(x) = x$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ , show that  $g$  is not  $\mathfrak{M}$ -measurable, although the mapping

$$f \mapsto \sum x f(x) = \int f g d\mu$$

makes sense for every  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  and defines a bounded linear functional on  $L^1(\mu)$ . Thus  $(L^1)^* \neq L^\infty$  in this situation.

**Solution.**  $g$  is not  $\mathfrak{M}$ -measurable because  $g^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right) \notin \mathfrak{M}$ . The functional  $\Lambda f = \sum x f(x)$  is clearly linear. To see that it is bounded, if  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ , then  $f$  is non-zero on an at most countable set  $\{x_i\}$  and by integrability,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |f(x_i)| < \infty.$$

Thus  $\Lambda f$  is well defined as  $g$  is a bounded function. Hence the operator is bounded.

- (7) Optional. Let  $L^\infty = L^\infty(m)$ , where  $m$  is Lebesgue measure on  $I = [0, 1]$ . Show that there is a bounded linear functional  $\Lambda \neq 0$  on  $L^\infty$  that is 0 on  $C(I)$ , and therefore there is no  $g \in L^1(m)$  that satisfies  $\Lambda f = \int_I f g dm$  for every  $f \in L^\infty$ . Thus  $(L^\infty)^* \neq L^1$ .

**Solution.** Method 1. For any  $x \in I$  take  $\Lambda_x f = g(x_+) - g(x_-)$  for all  $f$  such that  $f = g$  a.e. for some function  $g$  such that the two one-sided limits  $g(x_+)$  and  $g(x_-)$  both exist. Then

$\|\Lambda_x - \Lambda_y\| \geq 1$  for  $x \neq y$ . With reference to the question, we can just take  $x = 1/2$ .

Method 2. Consider  $\chi_{[0, \frac{1}{2}]} \in L^\infty \setminus C(I)$ , as  $C(I)$  is closed subspace in  $L^\infty$ , by consequence of Hahn-Banach Theorem (thm 3.11 in p.38 of lecture notes on functional analysis.), there is non-zero bounded linear functional  $\Lambda$  on  $L^\infty$  which is zero on  $C(I)$ .

If there is  $g \in L^1(I)$  that satisfies  $\Lambda f = \int_I fg \, d\mu$  for every  $f \in L^\infty$ ,

$$\Lambda f = \int_I fg \, d\mu = 0, \forall f \in C(I) \Rightarrow g = 0.$$

we have  $\Lambda = 0$  which is impossible.

(8) Prove Brezis-Lieb lemma for  $0 < p \leq 1$ .

Hint: Use  $|a + b|^p \leq |a|^p + |b|^p$  in this range.

**Solution.** Taking  $g_n = f_n - f$  as  $a$  and  $f$  as  $b$ ,

$$||f + g_n|^p - |g_n|^p| \leq |f|^p,$$

or,

$$-|f|^p \leq |f + g_n|^p - |g_n|^p \leq |f|^p.$$

we have

$$-2|f|^p \leq |f + g_n|^p - |g_n|^p - |f|^p \leq 0$$

which implies

$$||f + g_n|^p - |g_n|^p - |f|^p| \leq 2|f|^p,$$

and result follows from Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem.

(9) Let  $f_n, f \in L^p(\mu)$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $f_n \rightarrow f$  a.e.,  $\|f_n\|_p \rightarrow \|f\|_p$ . Show that  $\|f_n - f\|_p \rightarrow 0$ .

**Solution.** Using the Brezis-Lieb lemma for  $0 < p < \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n - f\|_p^p &= \int_X |f_n - f|^p \, d\mu \\ &\leq \int_X (|f_n - f|^p - (|f_n|^p - |f|^p)) \, d\mu + \int_X (|f_n|^p - |f|^p) \, d\mu \\ &\leq \int_X ||f_n - f|^p - (|f_n|^p - |f|^p)| \, d\mu + \left( \|f_n\|_p^p - \|f\|_p^p \right) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

- (10) Suppose  $\mu$  is a positive measure on  $X$ ,  $\mu(X) < \infty$ ,  $f_n \in L^1(\mu)$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ,  $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$  a.e., and there exists  $p > 1$  and  $C < \infty$  such that  $\int_X |f_n|^p d\mu < C$  for all  $n$ . Prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f - f_n| d\mu = 0.$$

Hint:  $\{f_n\}$  is uniformly integrable.

**Solution.** By Vitali's convergence Theorem, it suffices to prove that  $\{f_n\}$  is uniformly integrable. Let  $q$  be conjugate to  $p$ . By Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E |f_n| d\mu &\leq \|f_n\|_p \{\mu(E)\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq C^{\frac{1}{p}} \{\mu(E)\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

for any measurable  $E$ . Now the result follows easily.

- (11) We have the following version of Vitali's convergence theorem. Let  $\{f_n\} \subset L^p(\mu)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Then  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^p$ -norm if and only if

- (i)  $\{f_n\}$  converges to  $f$  in measure,
- (ii)  $\{|f_n|^p\}$  is uniformly integrable, and
- (iii)  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a measurable  $E$ ,  $\mu(E) < \infty$ , such that  $\int_{X \setminus E} |f_n|^p d\mu < \varepsilon$ ,  $\forall n$ .

I found this statement from PlanetMath. Prove or disprove it.

**Solution.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By (iii), there exists a set  $E$  of finite measure (WLOG assume positive measure) such that

$$\int_{\tilde{E}} |f_n|^p < \varepsilon.$$

Since  $\{f_n\}$  converges to  $f$  in measure, there is a subsequence  $\{f_{n_k}\}$  which converges to  $f$  pointwisely a.e.. By Fatou's Lemma,

$$\int_{\tilde{E}} |f|^p < \varepsilon.$$

By (ii), there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that whenever  $\mu(A) < \delta$ ,

$$\int_A |f_n|^p < \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}};$$

WLOG, by choosing a smaller  $\delta$ , we may assume further whenever  $\mu(A) < \delta$

$$\int_A |f|^p < \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

because there is a subsequence  $\{f_{n_k}\}$  which converges to  $f$  pointwisely a.e. and we can apply Fatou's Lemma, By (i), there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq N$

$$\mu\{x \in E : |(f_n - f)(x)|^p \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu(E)}\} < \delta.$$

Now, for  $n \geq N$ , define  $A_n = \{x \in E : |(f_n - f)(x)|^p \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu(E)}\}$  and  $B_n = E \setminus A_n$ , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int |f_n - f|^p &= \int_{\bar{E}} |f_n - f|^p + \int_E |f_n - f|^p \\ &< 2^p \varepsilon + \int_{A_n} |f_n - f|^p + \int_{B_n} |f_n - f|^p \\ &< 2^p \varepsilon + \left( \int_{A_n} |f_n|^p + \int_{A_n} |f|^p \right)^p + \varepsilon \\ &< 2^p \varepsilon + 2^p \varepsilon + \varepsilon = (2^{p+1} + 1)\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

- (12) Let  $\{x_n\}$  be bounded in some normed space  $X$ . Suppose for  $Y$  dense in  $X'$ ,  $\Lambda x_n \rightarrow \Lambda x$ ,  $\forall \Lambda \in Y$  for some  $x$ . Deduce that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ .

**Solution.** Since  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded, there exists  $M > 0$  such that  $\|x_n\| \leq M$ . Write  $M_1 = \max\{M, \|x\|\}$ .

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\Lambda \in X'$ , choose  $\Lambda_1 \in Y$  such that  $\|\Lambda - \Lambda_1\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3M_1}$  and choose  $N$  large such that  $|\Lambda x_n - \Lambda x| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\Lambda x_n - \Lambda x| &= |\Lambda x_n - \Lambda_1 x_n| + |\Lambda_1 x_n - \Lambda_1 x| + |\Lambda_1 x - \Lambda x| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3M_1} M + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3M_1} \|x\| \\ &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

- (13) Consider  $f_n(x) = n^{1/p} \chi(nx)$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  for  $p > 1$  but not for  $p = 1$ . Here  $\chi = \chi_{[0,1]}$ .

**Solution.** For  $1 < p < \infty$ , let  $q$  be the conjugate exponent and let  $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R})$ . By Hölder's



inequality and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n g \, dx &= \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} n^{1/p} g(x) \, dx \\
 &\leq \left( \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} (n^{1/p})^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} |g(x)|^q \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
 &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{[0, \frac{1}{n}]} |g(x)|^q \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
 &\rightarrow 0
 \end{aligned}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence,  $f_n \rightarrow 0$ .

For  $p = 1$ , take  $g \equiv 1$  in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n g \, dx = n \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} dx = 1.$$

Hence,  $f_n \not\rightarrow 0$ .

- (14) Let  $\{f_n\}$  be bounded in  $L^p(\mu)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ . Prove that if  $f_n \rightarrow f$  a.e., then  $f_n \rightarrow f$ . Is this result still true when  $p = 1$ ?

**Solution.** It suffices to show that for any  $g \in L^q(\mu)$ ,

$$\int (f_n - f)g \, d\mu \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By Prop 4.14 the density theorem, we may consider the case where  $g$  is a simple function with finite support. Let  $E$  be a finite measure set such that  $g = 0$  outside  $E$  and  $M > 0$  be bound of  $g$ . By a previous problem,  $\{f_n, f\}$  is uniformly integrable, for all  $\varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ , s.t. for any  $A$  measurable s.t  $\mu(A) < \delta$ ,

$$\int_A |h| \, d\mu < \varepsilon, h = f_n \text{ or } f.$$

By Egorov's Theorem, there is a measurable  $B$  s.t  $\mu(E \setminus B) < \delta$  and  $f_n$  converges uniformly

to  $f$  on  $B$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int (f_n - f)gd\mu \right| &= \left| \int_E (f_n - f)gd\mu \right| \\
&= \left| \int_{E \setminus B} (f_n - f)gd\mu \right| + \left| \int_B (f_n - f)gd\mu \right| \\
&< 2M\varepsilon + \left| \int_B (f_n - f)gd\mu \right| \\
&< (2M + 1)\varepsilon, \text{ for large } n.
\end{aligned}$$

An alternate approach is, using the  $L^p$ -boundedness, a subsequence of  $f_n$  weakly converges to some  $g \in L^p(\mu)$ . Then a convex combination of this subsequence converges strongly to  $g$ . Hence it has a subsequence converges pointwisely to  $g$ . On the other hand, the whole sequence converges pointwisely to  $f$ . So  $g = f$ . We have shown that every weakly convergent subsequence of  $\{f_n\}$  must converge pointwisely to  $f$ . Now, suppose that  $f_n$  does not converge weakly to  $f$ . There are  $\rho > 0$  and  $g \in L^q$ , such that

$$\left| \int f_{n_k}gd\mu - \int fgd\mu \right| > \rho, \quad \forall n_k$$

for some subsequence  $f_{n_k}$ . But we can find a subsequence from this subsequence which converges weakly to  $f$ , contradiction holds.

For  $p=1$ , the result is false by the last problem.

- (15) The construction of Cantor diagonal sequence. Let  $f_n$  be a sequence of real-valued functions defined on some set and  $\{x_k\}$  a subset of this set. Suppose that there is some  $M$  such that  $|f_n(x_k)| \leq M$  for all  $n, k$ . Show that there is a subsequence  $\{f_{n_j}\}$  satisfying  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_{n_j}(x_k)$  exists for each  $x_k$ .

**Solution.** Let  $A = \{x_j\}, j \geq 1$ . Since  $\{f_n(x_1)\}$  is a bounded sequence, we can extract a subsequence  $\{f_n^1\}$  such that  $\{f_n^1(x_1)\}$  is convergent. Next, as  $\{f_n^1(x_2)\}$  is bounded, it has a subsequence  $\{f_n^2\}$  such that  $\{f_n^2(x_2)\}$  is convergent. Keep doing in this way, we obtain sequences  $\{f_n^j\}$  satisfying (i)  $\{f_n^{j+1}\}$  is a subsequence of  $\{f_n^j\}$  and (ii)  $\{f_n^j(x_1)\}, \{f_n^j(x_2)\}, \dots, \{f_n^j(x_j)\}$  are convergent. Then the diagonal sequence  $\{g_n\}, g_n = f_n^n$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ , is a subsequence of  $\{f_n\}$  which converges at every  $x_j$ .