## Solution to MATH5011 homework 1

(1) Let $\left\{A_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of measurable sets in $(X, \mathcal{M})$. Let

$$
A=\left\{x \in X: x \in A_{k} \text { for infinitely many } \mathrm{k}\right\}
$$

and

$$
B=\left\{x \in X: x \in A_{k} \text { for all except finitely many } \mathrm{k}\right\} .
$$

Show that $A$ and $B$ are measurable.

## Solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k \geq n} A_{k} \\
& B=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k \geq n} A_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) Let $\Psi: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Show that $\Psi(f, g)$ are measurable for any measurable functions $f, g$. This result contains Proposition 1.3 as a special case.

Solution Note that every open set $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2}$ can be written as a countable union of set of the form $V_{1} \times V_{2}$ where $V_{1}, V_{2}$ open in $\mathbb{R}$. (Think of $V_{1} \times V_{2}=(a, b) \times$ $(c, d), a, b, c, d \in Q)$.
Let $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be open. Then $\Phi^{-1}(G)$ is open in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, so

$$
\Phi^{-1}(G)=\bigcup_{n}\left(V_{n}^{1} \times V_{n}^{2}\right)
$$

Then

$$
h^{-1}\left(\Phi^{-1}\right)(G)=\bigcup_{n} h^{-1}\left(V_{n}^{1} \times V_{n}^{2}\right)=\bigcup_{n} f^{-1}\left(V_{n}^{1}\right) \cap g^{-1}\left(V_{n}^{2}\right)
$$

is measurable since $f$ and $g$ are measurable. Hence $h=(f, g)$.
(3) Show that $f: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is measurable if and only if $f^{-1}([a, b])$ is measurable for all $a, b \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$.

Solution By def $f: X \rightarrow \bar{R}$ is measurable if $f^{-1}(G)$ is measurable. $\forall G$ open in $\bar{R}$. Every open set $G$ in $\bar{R}$ can be written as a countable union of $(a, b)$, $[-\infty, a),(b, \infty], a, b \in R$. So $\mathrm{f} f$ is measurable iff $f^{-1}(a, b), f^{-1}[-\infty, a), f^{-1}(b, \infty]$ are measurable.
$\Rightarrow)$ Use

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{-1}(a, b) & =\bigcap_{n} f^{-1}\left(a-\frac{1}{n}, b+\frac{1}{n}\right) \\
f^{-1}[-\infty, a) & =\bigcap_{n} f^{-1}\left[-\infty, a+\frac{1}{n}\right) \\
f^{-1}(b, \infty] & =\bigcap_{n} f^{-1}\left(b-\frac{1}{n}, \infty\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Leftarrow)$ Use

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{-1}(a, b) & =\bigcup_{n} f^{-1}\left[a-\frac{1}{n}, b+\frac{1}{n}\right] \\
f^{-1}[-\infty, a) & =\bigcap_{n} f^{-1}\left[-\infty, a-\frac{1}{n}\right] \\
f^{-1}(b, \infty] & =\bigcap_{n} f^{-1}\left[b+\frac{1}{n}, \infty\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

(4) Let $f: X \times[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (a) for each $x, y \mapsto f(x, y)$ is Riemann integrable, and (b) for each $y, x \mapsto f(x, y)$ is measurable with respect to some $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{M}$ on $X$. Show that the function

$$
F(x)=\int_{a}^{b} f(x, y) d y
$$

is measurable with respect to $\mathcal{M}$.
Solution For simplicity let $[a, b]=[0,1]$. For $n \geq 1$, equally divide $[0,1]$ into
subintervals of length $1 / n$ and let

$$
F_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} f\left(x, \frac{k}{n}\right) \frac{1}{n}
$$

Clearly $F_{n}$ is measurable (with respect to $\mathcal{M}$ ). Now

$$
F(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{n}(x)
$$

so it is also measurable.
(5) Let $f, g, f_{k}, k \geq 1$, be measurable functions from $X$ to $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$.
(a) Show that $\{x: f(x)<g(x)\}$ and $\{x: f(x)=g(x)\}$ are measurable sets.
(b) Show that $\left\{x: \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{k}(x)\right.$ exists and is finite $\}$ is measurable.

## Solution

(a) Suffice to show $\{x: F(x)>0\}$ and $\{x: F(x)=0\}$ are measurable. If $F$ is measurable, use

$$
\begin{gathered}
\{x: F(x)>0\}=F^{-1}(0, \infty] \\
\{x: F(x)=0\}=F^{-1}[0, \infty] \cap F^{-1}[-\infty, 0]
\end{gathered}
$$

(b) Since $g(x)=\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{k}(x)$ and $\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{k}(x)$ are measurable.

$$
\left\{x: \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{k}(x) \text { exists }\right\}=\left\{x: \liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{k}(x)=\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{k}(x)\right\}
$$

On the other hand, the $\operatorname{set}\{x: g(x)<+\infty\}$ is also measurable, so is their intersection.
(6) There are two conditions (i) and (ii) in the definition of a measure $\mu$ on $(X, \mathcal{M})$. Show that (i) can be replaced by the "nontriviality condition": There exists some $E \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\mu(E)<\infty$.

Solution If $\mu$ is a measure satisfying the nontriviality condition and (ii), let $A_{1}=E, A_{i}=\phi$ for $i \geq 2$ in ii),

$$
\infty>\mu(E)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(A_{i}\right) \geq \mu\left(A_{1}\right)+\mu\left(A_{2}\right)=\mu(E)+\mu(\phi)
$$

so $0 \geq \mu(\phi) \geq 0$. We have $\mu$ is a measure satisfying (i) and (ii).
If $\mu$ is a measure satisfying (i) and (ii), taking $E=\phi$, we have the nontriviality condition.
(7) Let $\left\{A_{k}\right\}$ be measurable and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(A_{k}\right)<\infty$ and

$$
A=\left\{x \in X: x \in A_{k} \text { for infinitely many } k\right\}
$$

We know that $A$ is measurable from (1). Show that $A$ is measurable.
Solution Since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(A_{k}\right)<\infty$, we have $\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \mu\left(A_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For any $\mathrm{n} \in N$, we have

$$
A \subset \bigcup_{k \geq n} A_{k}
$$

and so

$$
\mu(A) \leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \mu\left(A_{k}\right)
$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\mu(A)=0$.
This result is called Borel-Cantelli lemma.
(8) Let $B$ be the set defined in (1). Let $\mu$ be a measure on $(X, \mathcal{M})$. Show that

$$
\mu(B) \leq \liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(A_{k}\right)
$$

Solution Using the characterization

$$
B=\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{j \geq k} A_{j}
$$

and the fact that $\left\{\cap_{j \geq k} A_{j}\right\}$ is ascending in $k$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu(B) & =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(\bigcap_{j \geq k} A_{j}\right) \\
& =\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(\bigcap_{j \geq k} A_{j}\right) \\
& \leq \liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(A_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(9) Here we review Riemann integral. Let $f$ be a bounded function defined on $[a, b], a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Given any partition $P=\left\{a=x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{n}=b\right\}$ on $[a, b]$ and tags $z_{j} \in\left[x_{j}, x_{j+1}\right]$, there corresponds a Riemann sum of $f$ given by $R(f, P, \mathbf{z})=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f\left(z_{j}\right)\left(x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right)$. The function $f$ is called Riemann integrable with integral $L$ if for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists some $\delta$ such that

$$
|R(f, P, \mathbf{z})-L|<\varepsilon,
$$

whenever $\|P\|<\delta$ and $\mathbf{z}$ is any tag on $P$. (Here $\|P\|=\max _{j=0}^{n-1}\left|x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right|$ is the length of the partition.) Show that

1. For any partition $P$, define its Darboux upper and lower sums by

$$
\bar{R}(f, P)=\sum_{j} \sup \left\{f(x): x \in\left[x_{j}, x_{j+1}\right]\right\}\left(x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right),
$$

and

$$
\underline{R}(f, P)=\sum_{j} \inf \left\{f(x): x \in\left[x_{j}, x_{j+1}\right]\right\}\left(x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right)
$$

respectively. Show that for any sequence of partitions $\left\{P_{n}\right\}$ satisfying $\left\|P_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{R}\left(f, P_{n}\right)$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \underline{R}\left(f, P_{n}\right)$ exist.
2. $\left\{P_{n}\right\}$ as above. Show that $f$ is Riemann integrable if and only if

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{R}\left(f, P_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \underline{R}\left(f, P_{n}\right)=L
$$

3. A set $E$ in $[a, b]$ is called of measure zero if for every $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a countable subintervals $J_{n}$ satisfying $\sum_{n}\left|J_{n}\right|<\varepsilon$ such that $E \subset \bigcup_{n} J_{n}$. Prove Lebsegue's theorem which asserts that $f$ is Riemann integrable if and only if the set consisting of all discontinuity points of $f$ is a set of measure zero. Google for help if necessary.

Solution:
(a) It suffices to show: For every $\varepsilon>0$, there exists some $\delta$ such that

$$
0 \leq \bar{R}(f, P)-\bar{R}(f)<\varepsilon
$$

and

$$
0 \leq \underline{R}(f)-\underline{R}(f, P)<\varepsilon,
$$

for any partition $P,\|P\|<\delta$, where

$$
\bar{R}(f)=\inf _{P} \bar{R}(f, P),
$$

and

$$
\underline{R}(f)=\sup _{P} \underline{R}(f, P) .
$$

If it is true, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{R}\left(f, P_{n}\right)$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \underline{R}\left(f, P_{n}\right)$ exist and equal to $\bar{R}(f)$ and $\underline{R}(f)$ respectively.

Given $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a partition $Q$ such that

$$
\bar{R}(f)+\varepsilon / 2>\bar{R}(f, Q)
$$

Let $m$ be the number of partition points of $Q$ (excluding the endpoints). Consider any partition $P$ and let $R$ be the partition by putting together $P$ and $Q$. Note that the number of subintervals in $P$ which contain some partition points of $Q$ in its interior must be less than or equal to $m$. Denote the indices of the collection of these subintervals in $P$ by $J$. We have

$$
0 \leq \bar{R}(f, P)-\bar{R}(f, R) \leq \sum_{j \in J} 2 M \Delta x_{j} \leq 2 M \times m\|P\|,
$$

where $M=\sup _{[a, b]}|f|$, because the contributions of $\bar{R}(f, P)$ and $\bar{R}(f, Q)$ from the subintervals not in $J$ cancel out. Hence, by the fact that $R$ is a refinement of Q ,

$$
\bar{R}(f)+\varepsilon / 2>\bar{R}(f, Q) \geq \bar{R}(f, R) \geq \bar{R}(f, P)-2 M m\|P\|
$$

i.e.,

$$
0 \leq \bar{R}(f, P)-\bar{R}(f)<\varepsilon / 2+2 M m\|P\|
$$

Now, we choose

$$
\delta<\frac{\varepsilon}{1+4 M m}
$$

Then for $P,\|P\|<\delta$,

$$
0 \leq \bar{R}(f, P)-\bar{R}(f)<\varepsilon
$$

Similarly, one can prove the second inequality.
(b) With the result in part a, it suffices to prove the following result: Let $f$ be bounded on $[a, b]$. Then f is Riemann integrable on $[a, b]$ if and only if $\bar{R}(f)=\underline{R}(f)$. When this holds, $L=\bar{R}(f)=\underline{R}(f)$.

According to the definition of integrability, when $f$ is integrable, there exists some $L \in \mathbb{R}$ so that for any given $\varepsilon>0$, there is a $\delta>0$ such that for all partitions $P$ with $\|P\|<\delta$,

$$
|R(f, P, z)-L|<\varepsilon / 2
$$

holds for any tags z. Let $\left(P_{1}, z_{1}\right)$ be another tagged partition. By the triangle inequality we have
$\left|R(f, P, z)-R\left(f, P_{1}, z_{1}\right)\right| \leq|R(f, P, z)-L|+\left|R\left(f, P_{1}, z_{1}\right)-L\right|<\varepsilon / 2+\varepsilon / 2=\varepsilon$.

Since the tags are arbitrary, it implies

$$
\bar{R}(f, P)-\underline{R}(f, P) \leq \varepsilon
$$

As a result,

$$
0 \leq \bar{R}(f)-\underline{R}(f) \leq \bar{R}(f, P)-\underline{R}(f, P) \leq \varepsilon .
$$

Note that the first inequality comes from the definition of the upper/lower Riemann integrals. Since $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary, $\bar{R}(f)=\underline{R}(f)$.

Conversely, using $\bar{R}(f)=\underline{R}(f)$ in part a, we know that for $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a $\delta$ such that

$$
0 \leq \bar{R}(f, P)-\underline{R}(f, P)<\varepsilon
$$

for all partitions $P,\|P\|<\delta$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(f, P, z)-\underline{R}(f) & \leq \bar{R}(f, P)-\underline{R}(f) \\
& \leq \bar{R}(f, P)-\underline{R}(f, P) \\
& <\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

and similarly,

$$
\bar{R}(f)-R(f, P, z) \leq \bar{R}(f, P)-\underline{R}(f, P)<\varepsilon .
$$

As $\bar{R}(f)=\underline{R}(f)$, combining these two inequalities yields

$$
|R(f, P, z)-\underline{R}(f)|<\varepsilon,
$$

for all $P,\|P\|<\delta$, so $f$ is integrable, where $L=\underline{R}(f)$.
(c) For any bounded $f$ on $[a, b]$ and $x \in[a, b]$, its oscillation at $x$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega(f, x) & =\inf _{\delta}\{(\sup f(y)-\inf f(y)): y \in(x-\delta, x+\delta) \cap[a, b]\} \\
& =\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0^{+}}\{(\sup f(y)-\inf f(y)): y \in(x-\delta, x+\delta) \cap[a, b]\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that $\omega(f, x)=0$ if and only if $f$ is continuous at $x$. The set of discontinuity of $f, D$, can be written as $D=\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} O(k)$, where $O(k)=$ $\{x \in[a, b]: \omega(f, x) \geq 1 / k\}$. Suppose that $f$ is Riemann integrable on $[a, b]$. It suffices to show that each $O(k)$ is of measure zero. Given $\varepsilon>0$, by Integrability of $f$, we can find a partition $P$ such that

$$
\bar{R}(f, P)-\underline{R}(f, P)<\varepsilon / 2 k .
$$

Let $J$ be the index set of those subintervals of $P$ which contains some elements of $O(k)$ in their interiors. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j \in J}\left|I_{j}\right| & \leq \sum_{j \in J}\left(\sup _{I_{j}} f-\inf _{I_{j}} f\right) \Delta x_{j} \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\sup _{I_{j}} f-\inf _{I_{j}} f\right) \Delta x_{j} \\
& =\bar{R}(f, P)-\underline{R}(f, P) \\
& <\varepsilon / 2 k .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\sum_{j \in J}\left|I_{j}\right|<\varepsilon / 2 .
$$

Now, the only possibility that an element of $O(k)$ is not contained by one of these $I_{j}$ is it being a partition point. Since there are finitely many partition points, say $N$, we can find some open intervals $I_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, I_{N}^{\prime}$ containing these partition points which satisfy

$$
\sum\left|I_{i}^{\prime}\right|<\varepsilon / 2 .
$$

So $\left\{I_{j}\right\}$ and $\left\{I_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ together form a covering of $O(k)$ and its total length is strictly less than $\varepsilon$. We conclude that $O(k)$ is of measure zero.

Conversely, given $\varepsilon>0$, fix a large $k$ such that $\frac{1}{k}<\varepsilon$. Now the set $O(k)$ is of measure zero, we can find a sequence of open intervals $\left\{I_{j}\right\}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
& O(k) \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} I_{j}, \\
& \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|I_{i_{j}}\right|<\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

One can show that $O(k)$ is closed and bounded, hence it is compact. As a
result, we can find $I_{i_{1}}, \ldots, I_{i_{N}}$ from $\left\{I_{j}\right\}$ so that

$$
\begin{gathered}
O(k) \subseteq I_{i_{1}} \cup \ldots \cup I_{i_{N}}, \\
\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left|I_{j}\right|<\varepsilon .
\end{gathered}
$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that these open intervals are mutually disjoint since, whenever two intervals have nonempty intersection, we can put them together to form a larger open interval. Observe that $[a, b] \backslash\left(I_{i_{1}} \cup \cdots \cup I_{i_{N}}\right)$ is a finite disjoint union of closed bounded intervals, call them $V_{i}^{\prime} s, i \in A$. We will show that for each $i \in A$, one can find a partition on each $V_{i}=\left[v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right]$ such that the oscillation of $f$ on each subinterval in this partition is less than $1 / k$.

Fix $i \in A$. For each $x \in V_{i}$, we have

$$
\omega(f, x)<\frac{1}{k} .
$$

By the definition of $\omega(f, x)$, one can find some $\delta_{x}>0$ such that

$$
\sup \left\{f(y): y \in B\left(x, \delta_{x}\right) \cap[a, b]\right\}-\inf \left\{f(z): z \in B\left(x, \delta_{x}\right) \cap[a, b]\right\}<\frac{1}{k}
$$

where $B(y, \beta)=(y-\beta, y+\beta)$. Note that $V_{i} \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in V_{i}} B\left(x, \delta_{x}\right)$. Since $V_{i}$ is closed and bounded, it is compact. Hence, there exist $x_{l_{1}}, \ldots, x_{l_{M}} \in V_{i}$ such that $V_{i} \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{M} B\left(x_{i_{j}}, \delta_{x_{l_{j}}}\right)$. By replacing the left end point of $B\left(x_{i_{j}}, \delta_{x_{l_{j}}}\right)$ with $v_{i-1}$ if $x_{l_{j}}-\delta_{x_{l_{j}}}<v_{i-1}$, and replacing the right end point of $B\left(x_{i_{j}}, \delta_{x_{l_{j}}}\right)$ with $v_{i}$ if $x_{l_{j}}+\delta_{x_{l_{j}}}>v_{i}$, one can list out the endpoints of $\left\{B\left(x_{l_{j}}, \delta_{l_{j}}\right)\right\}_{j=1}^{M}$ and use them to form a partition $S_{i}$ of $V_{i}$. It can be easily seen that each subinterval in $S_{i}$ is covered by some $B\left(x_{l_{j}}, \delta_{x_{l_{j}}}\right)$, which implies that the oscillation of $f$ in each subinterval is less than $1 / k$. So, $S_{i}$ is the partition that we want.

The partitions $S_{i}$ 's and the endpoints of $I_{i_{1}}, \ldots, I_{i_{N}}$ form a partition $P$ of $[a, b]$.

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{R}(f, P)-\underline{R}(f, P) & =\sum_{I_{i_{j}}}\left(M_{j}-m_{j}\right) \Delta x_{j}+\sum\left(M_{j}-m_{j}\right) \Delta x_{j} \\
& \leq 2 M \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left|I_{i_{j}}\right|+\frac{1}{k} \sum \Delta x_{j} \\
& \leq 2 M \varepsilon+\varepsilon(b-a) \\
& =[2 M+(b-a)] \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M=\sup _{[a, b]}|f|$ and the second summation is over all subintervals in $V_{i}, i \in A$. Hence $f$ is integrable on $[a, b]$.

