Solution 9

1. It suffices to show that

$$\left(\nabla_{q}i\left(x^{*}\right), x-x^{*}\right) \leq 0$$

for all *i* such that $g_i(x^*) = 0$. Fix such an *i*. Define $h(t) = g_i((1-t)x^* + tx)$. Then h(0) = 0 and $h'(0) = \langle \nabla g_i(x^*), x - x^* \rangle$. Since $g_i(y) \le 0$ for all feasible *y*, we have $h(t) \le 0$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, so $h'(0) \le 0$.

2. (a) The feasible region is a nonempty compact set and the objective function is continuous, so an optimal solution exists. (1)

(b)

$$-[2,3,2] - \lambda[1,1,1] + \mu[2x,2y,2z] = [0,0,0]$$
$$x + y + z \ge 0$$
$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$$
$$\lambda \ge 0$$
$$\lambda(x + y + z) = 0$$

(c) By KKT, $\mu \neq 0$ and

$$[x, y, z] = \frac{1}{2\mu} [\lambda + 2, \lambda + 3, \lambda + 2]$$

Again by KKT, we have $\mu > 0$. If $\lambda = 0$,

$$\mu = \sqrt{1^2 + \frac{3^2}{2^2} + 1^2}$$
$$[x, y, z] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{17}} [2, 3, 2]$$
$$2x + 3y + 2z = \sqrt{17}$$

If $\lambda \neq 0$, then x + y + z = 0, so

$$3\lambda + 7 = 2\mu$$
$$3\lambda^2 + 14\lambda + 17 = 4\mu^2$$

which implies $\lambda = -2$ or $\lambda = -\frac{5}{3}$, a contradiction. Thus,

$$(\lambda^*, \mu^*, x^*, y^*, z^*) = \left(0, \frac{\sqrt{17}}{2}, \frac{2}{\sqrt{17}}, \frac{3}{\sqrt{17}}, \frac{2}{\sqrt{17}}\right).$$

3. (a)

$$2Ax + 2\mu x = 0$$
$$\|x\|^2 = 1$$

(b) Suppose x minimizes $\langle x, Ax \rangle$ on $||x||^2 = 1$. Then x necessarily satisfies KKT. In particular, x is a nonzero vector such that $(A + \mu I)x = 0$ for some $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, so x is an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue $-\mu$.