

MATH 3290 Mathematical Modeling

Chapter 7: Optimization of Discrete Models

Kuang HUANG February 6, 2024

Department of Mathematics The Chinese University of Hong Kong

https://www.math.cuhk.edu.hk/course/2324/math3290



The general form of optimization problem: find X* which

optimizes f(X)

subject to the following conditions

$$g_i(X) \geq b_i, \qquad i=1,2,\ldots,m.$$

- f(X) is called the objective function;
- $g_i(X) \ge b_i$ are the constraints;
- $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ are the decision variables;
- optimization can be maximization or minimization.

We consider Linear Programming (LP) in this chapter, that is, both f(X) and $g_i(X)$ are linear functions of X. When X are integers, it is called integer programming.

Example 1: Chebyshev criterion

Consider a data set (x_i, y_i) , $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$.

We fit the model function y = ax + b by the Chebyshev criterion.

We find *a* and *b* which minimize

$$\max_{i=1,\ldots,m}|y_i-f(x_i;a,b)|$$

To transform the above problem as a LP problem, we introduce a new variable $r = \max_i |y_i - f(x_i; a, b)| = \max_i |y_i - ax_i - b|$. Then

$$r\geq |y_i-ax_i-b|, \qquad i=1,2,\ldots,m,$$

which is equivalent to

$$r \geq y_i - ax_i - b, \quad -r \leq y_i - ax_i - b, \qquad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m.$$

Combining above, the problem can be formulated as

minimize r

subject to

$$r - (y_i - ax_i - b) \ge 0, \quad r + (y_i - ax_i - b) \ge 0, \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Note:

- the decision variables are r, a, and b;
- the objective function f(r, a, b) = r, which is linear;
- there are 2*m* constraints, they are all linear functions of *r*, *a*, and *b*.

A carpenter makes tables and bookcases.

- Net profits of \$25 per table, and \$30 per bookcase.
- The carpenter has 690 units of wood, and 120 units of labor.
- Each table requires 20 units of wood and 5 units of labor.
- Each bookcase requires 30 units of wood and 4 units of labor.

The carpenter is trying to determine how many of each he should make in order to maximize his profit.

Recall assumptions:

- Net profits of \$25 per table, and \$30 per bookcase.
- The carpenter has 690 units of wood, and 120 units of labor.
- Each table requires 20 units of wood and 5 units of labor.
- Each bookcase requires 30 units of wood and 4 units of labor.

Let x_1 and x_2 be numbers of tables and bookcases. We can then formulate the following

maximize $25x_1 + 30x_2$

subject to

 $\begin{aligned} 20x_1 + 30x_2 &\leq 690, \\ 5x_1 + 4x_2 &\leq 120, \end{aligned}$

where $x_1 \ge 0$ and $x_2 \ge 0$. (Note that generally we need x_1 and x_2 to be integers.)

MATH3290-2023/24

We will consider the following form of LP

maximize $c_1x_1 + c_2x_2 + \cdots + c_nx_n$

subject to the inequality constraints

 $g_{11}x_1 + g_{12}x_2 + \dots + g_{1n}x_n \le b_1,$ $g_{21}x_1 + g_{22}x_2 + \dots + g_{2n}x_n \le b_2,$ \vdots $g_{m1}x_1 + g_{m2}x_2 + \dots + g_{mn}x_n \le b_m,$

where $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \ge 0$ (non-negativity conditions).

Other LP problems can be written in this form. ($x \in \mathbb{R} \Leftrightarrow x = x_1 - x_2, x_1, x_2 \ge 0.$) Feasible region = the region defined by the inequality constraints.LP = maximize objective function over the feasible region.Example: visualize the feasible region defined by

$$x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$$
, $x_1 \ge 0$, $x_2 \ge 0$.

- Conditions $x_1, x_2 \ge 0$ show the first quadrant contains the feasible region.
- The line $x_1 + 2x_2 = 4$ divides the first quadrant into two regions, and select one point (e.g. (0,0)) from each region to determine which one is feasible.



Important facts about feasible regions:

• The feasible region of a LP problem is a convex set (for every pair of points in a convex set, the line segment joining them lies in the set).



Left: non-convex. Right: convex.

• A solution of a LP problem must be at one of the corner (extreme) points. (see points A-F above)

Maximize $f(x_1, x_2) = 25x_1 + 30x_2$

subject to

 $\begin{array}{ll} 20x_1 + 30x_2 \leq 690 & \mbox{ (constraint 1)}, \\ 5x_1 + 4x_2 \leq 120 & \mbox{ (constraint 2)}, \end{array}$

where $x_1 \ge 0$ and $x_2 \ge 0$.

Forming the feasible region:

• for constraint 1, consider

 $20x_1 + 30x_2 = 690;$

• for constraint 2, consider

$$5x_1 + 4x_2 = 120.$$



Then look at corner points (there are 4) of the feasible region: Use the objective function

 $f = 25x_1 + 30x_2$

to compute *f* at extreme points.

Extreme point	Objective function value
A(0,0)	\$0
B(24,0)	600
C (12, 15)	750
D (0, 23)	690



We see that the objective function is maximized at point C.

Hence, an optimal solution is $x_1 = 12, x_2 = 15$, and the optimal value of f is 750.

An important observation:

Consider the line defined by $f(x_1, x_2) = 25x_1 + 30x_2 = 750$.



We see that it intersects the feasible region only at the optimal solution $(x_1, x_2) = (12, 15)$. The LP problem has a unique solution.

Example: model fitting by the Chebyshev criterion.

Consider fitting the model function y = cx to the data

Х	1	2	3
у	2	5	8

From earlier discussions, we obtain the LP problem

minimize f(c,r) = r

subject to

$$\begin{aligned} r - (2 - c) &\ge 0, & r + (2 - c) &\ge 0, \\ r - (5 - 2c) &\ge 0, & r + (5 - 2c) &\ge 0, \\ r - (8 - 3c) &\ge 0, & r + (8 - 3c) &\ge 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $r \ge 0$. It is also not harmful to assume $c \ge 0$.



Note that the extreme point B is the intersection of lines 2 and 5.

$$r + (2 - c) = 0,$$
 $r - (8 - 3c) = 0.$

Solving it, we have c = 5/2 and r = 1/2.

Coordinates of A and C are found similarly.

Then look at corner points (there are 3) of the feasible region: Use the objective function

f(c,r)=r

to compute f at extreme points.

Extreme point	Objective function value
(c,r)	f(r) = r
A	8
В	$\frac{1}{2}$
С	1



We see that the objective function is minimized at point B.

The solution is c = 5/2, r = 1/2, and the optimal value of f is 1/2.

Hence, the model function is y = 5x/2.

Main idea:

- **1** Find all intersection points defined by constraints.
- **2** Determine if they are feasible.
- **3** Evaluate values of the objective function at extreme points.
- Choose the point which gives the optimal objective function value.

Next, we illustrate this by an example.

Example: consider again carpenter's problem

maximize $f(x_1, x_2) = 25x_1 + 30x_2$

subject to

 $\begin{aligned} 20x_1 + 30x_2 &\leq 690, \\ 5x_1 + 4x_2 &\leq 120, \end{aligned}$

where $x_1 \ge 0$ and $x_2 \ge 0$.

Then, we introduce slack variables $y_1, y_2 \ge 0$ so that

 $20x_1 + 30x_2 + y_1 = 690,$ $5x_1 + 4x_2 + y_2 = 120.$ Step 1: we need to find all intersection points.We have

$$20x_1 + 30x_2 + y_1 = 690,$$

$$5x_1 + 4x_2 + y_2 = 120,$$

where x_1, x_2, y_1 , and $y_2 \ge 0$.

To find an intersection point, we set 2 of $\{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2\}$ to zero then solve the other 2 unknowns by the above equations.

Hence, there are totally 6 intersection points.





$$20x_1 + 30x_2 + y_1 = 690,$$

$$5x_1 + 4x_2 + y_2 = 120.$$

set to zero	solution of the 2 equations	intersection point
$x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0$	$y_1 = 690, y_2 = 120$	A(0,0)
$x_1 = 0, y_1 = 0$	$x_2 = 23, y_2 = 28$	D(0,23)
$x_1 = 0, y_2 = 0$	$x_2 = 30, y_1 = -210$	(0,30)
$y_1 = 0, y_2 = 0$	$x_1 = 12, y_2 = 15$	C(12, 15)
$x_2 = 0, y_1 = 0$	$x_1 = 34.5, y_2 = -52.5$	(34.5,0)
$x_2 = 0, y_2 = 0$	$x_1 = 24, y_1 = 210$	B(24,0)

Step 2: determine which point is feasible.

Negative values of $\{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2\}$ imply infeasible.



set to zero	solution of the 2 equations	intersection point	feasible
$x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0$	$y_1 = 690, y_2 = 120$	A(0,0)	Y
$x_1 = 0, y_1 = 0$	$x_2 = 23, y_2 = 28$	D(0,23)	Y
$x_1 = 0, y_2 = 0$	$x_2 = 30, y_1 = -210$	(0,30)	N
$y_1 = 0, y_2 = 0$	$x_1 = 12, y_2 = 15$	C(12, 15)	Y
$x_2 = 0, y_1 = 0$	$x_1 = 34.5, y_2 = -52.5$	(34.5,0)	Ν
$x_2 = 0, y_2 = 0$	$x_1 = 24, y_1 = 210$	B(24, 0)	Y

	Extreme point	Objective function value
$f(x_1, x_2) = 25x_1 + 30x_2.$	A (0, 0) B (24, 0) C (12, 15) D (0, 23)	\$0 600 750 690

Step 3: evaluate objective function at feasible points.

Step •: find the point giving the optimal value.

The point C gives the maximum value of f.

Hence, the optimal solution $x_1 = 12, x_2 = 15$.

A big disadvantage of this algebraic method—too costly.

Consider a LP problem with *m* decision variables and *n* constraints.

Then for each constraint, we introduce a new slack variable. Hence, there are m + n variables.

We set *m* of them to zero and solve the other *n*. There are totally $\frac{(m+n)!}{m!n!}$ intersection points. e.g. if m = 14, n = 14, there are 40,116,600 intersection points!

We have Dantzig's simplex method, which shares a similar idea but no need to compute all intersection points.

Overview:

- start at an intersection point;
- 2 check if the point gives an optimal value;
- if not, move to the next feasible intersection point that gives a better value, then go back to step 2.



In the following, we give concrete meaning of optimality test and feasibility test.

Maximize $c_1x_1 + c_2x_2 + \cdots + c_nx_n$

subject to

$$g_{11}x_1 + g_{12}x_2 + \dots + g_{1n}x_n + y_1 = b_1$$

$$g_{m1}x_1+g_{m2}x_2+\cdots+g_{mn}x_n+y_m=b_m$$

where $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \ge 0$ and $y_1, ..., y_m \ge 0$.

- x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n are decision variables;
- y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_m are slack variables;
- an intersection point is obtained when *n* of the variables are set to zero, these are called independent variables;
- the values of the other *m* variables are obtained by solving the above system, these are called dependent variables.

Steps of Simplex Method

- 1 Initialize: starts at an extreme point, usually the origin $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = (0, 0, \ldots, 0)$ if $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_m \ge 0$.
- 2 **Optimality test**: determine if there is an adjacent intersection point that improves the value of the objective function.
 - Mathematically, one of independent variables (which is currently zero) should become dependent (thus non-zero), entering the dependent set.
- **3** Feasibility test: to find a new neighboring feasible intersection point.
 - From step **2**, we need one more independent variable.
 - One of the current dependent variables should be changed to independent, leaving the dependent set.
- Pivot: solve the resulting linear system.
- 5 Repeat: go back to step 2.

Maximize $f(x_1, x_2) = 25x_1 + 30x_2$

subject to

 $\begin{aligned} 20x_1 + 30x_2 &\leq 690, \\ 5x_1 + 4x_2 &\leq 120. \end{aligned}$

Note that we can write the objective function as

 $z = 25x_1 + 30x_2 \ge 0$,

because $(x_1, x_2) = (0, 0)$ is a feasible point.

Then, we introduce slack variables y_1 , y_2 , and $z \ge 0$ so that

$$20x_1 + 30x_2 + y_1 = 690,$$

$$5x_1 + 4x_2 + y_2 = 120,$$

$$-25x_1 - 30x_2 + z = 0.$$

The last equation comes from the objective function.

MATH3290-2023/24

Step 1: initialize,

$$20x_1 + 30x_2 + y_1 = 690,$$

$$5x_1 + 4x_2 + y_2 = 120,$$

$$-25x_1 - 30x_2 + z = 0.$$

Set $x_1 = x_2 = 0$. Then solving the first two equations $\Rightarrow y_1 = 690, y_2 = 120$.

Moreover, solving the last equation, z = 0.

- The independent set = $\{x_1, x_2\}$.
- The dependent set = $\{y_1, y_2, \mathbf{Z}\}$.
- The current extreme point = $(x_1, x_2) = (0, 0)$.
- The current value of the objective function z = 0.

Step 2: optimality test, choosing entering variable

 $20x_1 + 30x_2 + y_1 = 690,$ $5x_1 + 4x_2 + y_2 = 120,$ $-25x_1 - 30x_2 + z = 0.$

Currently, the independent set is $\{x_1, x_2\}$.

From the last equation, the coefficients of x_1 and x_2 are negative. This means if one of them becomes positive, then the value of objective function *z* becomes positive (improved).

Hence, one of x_1 and x_2 should enter the dependent set.

As a rule, choose the one with the most negative coefficient.

In this case, x_2 is the entering variable.

Step 3: feasibility test, choosing the leaving variable,

$$20x_1 + 30x_2 + y_1 = 690,$$

$$5x_1 + 4x_2 + y_2 = 120.$$

Currently, the dependent set is $\{y_1, y_2, z\}$. One of $\{y_1, y_2\}$ is leaving. Dividing the right-hand side by the coefficient of x_2 (the entering variable).

$$r_1 = \frac{690}{30} = 23, \qquad r_2 = \frac{120}{4} = 30.$$

Note r_1 is the value of x_2 when $y_1 = 0$, r_2 value of x_2 if $y_2 = 0$.

As a rule, we choose the leaving variable with the smallest positive ratio.

In this case, y_1 is chosen as the leaving variable.

Step 4: pivot, solve the resulting linear system,

$$20x_1 + 30x_2 + y_1 = 690,$$

$$5x_1 + 4x_2 + y_2 = 120,$$

$$-25x_1 - 30x_2 + z = 0.$$

the independent set = $\{x_1, y_1\}$, the dependent set = $\{x_2, y_2, z\}$. Setting $x_1 = y_1 = 0$ in the first two equations

$$30x_2 = 690, \qquad 4x_2 + y_2 = 120.$$

We have $x_2 = 23$ and $y_2 = 28$.

Hence, the current extreme point is $(x_1, x_2) = (0, 23)$, and the current value of the objective function is z = 690.

Step 5: repeat the above.

Consider the same example, we have

$$20x_1 + 30x_2 + y_1 = 690,$$

$$5x_1 + 4x_2 + y_2 = 120,$$

$$-25x_1 - 30x_2 + z = 0.$$

Step 1: initialize, it is more convenient to set up a tableau format:

x_1	<i>x</i> ₂	<i>y</i> 1	У2	Ζ	RHS
20 5	30 4	1 0	0 1	0 0	$690 (= y_1) 120 (= y_2)$
-25	_30	0	0	1	0 (= z)

Dependent variables: $\{y_1, y_2, z\}$ Independent variables: $x_1 = x_2 = 0$ Extreme point: $(x_1, x_2) = (0, 0)$ Value of objective function: z = 0



Step 2: optimality, choosing the entering variable (the variable with most negative coefficient x_2).

Step 3: feasibility, choosing the leaving variable (the variable with the smallest positive ratio y_1).

		Ent	ering va	riable			
x_1	x_2^l	<i>y</i> 1	У2	Ζ	RHS	Ratio	
20 5	30 4	1 0	0 1	0 0	690 120	$\begin{array}{c} \textcircled{(23)}{(=690/30)} \leftarrow \\ 30 \ (=120/4) \end{array}$	Exiting variable
-25	-30	0	0	1	0	*	

Step : pivot, row operations with respect to the column containing entering variable.

<i>x</i> ₁	<i>x</i> ₂	У1	<i>y</i> 2	Ζ	RHS
0.66667 2.33333	1 0	0.03333 -0.13333	0 1	0 0	$ \begin{array}{c} 23 (= x_2) \\ 28 (= y_2) \end{array} $
-5.00000	0	1.00000	0	1	690 (= z)

Dependent variables: $\{x_2, y_2, z\}$ Independent variables: $x_1 = y_1 = 0$ Extreme point: $(x_1, x_2) = (0, 23)$ Value of objective function: z = 690 Next, we go back to **Step 2**.

	– Ente	ring variable					
x ₁	<i>x</i> ₂	У1	<i>y</i> 2	Ζ	RHS	Ratio	
0.66667	1	0.03333	0	0	23	34.5 (= 23/0.66667)	
2.33333	0	-0.13333	1	0	28	$(12.0) (= 28/2.33333) \leftarrow$	Exiting variable
5.00000	> 0	1.00000	0	1	690	*	

Step 2 : optimality, choosing the entering variable (the variable with most negative coefficient x_1).

Step 3: feasibility, choosing the leaving variable (the variable with the smallest positive ratio y_2).

	Ente	ring variable					
x_1^{\downarrow}	<i>x</i> ₂	У1	<i>y</i> 2	Ζ	RHS	Ratio	
0.66667	1	0.03333	0	0	23	34.5 (= 23/0.66667)	
2.33333	0	-0.13333	1	0	28	$(12.0) (= 28/2.33333) \leftarrow$	Exiting variable
5.0000	$\bigcirc 0$	1.00000	0	1	690	*	

Step 🔄 : pivot,

x_1	<i>x</i> ₂	<i>y</i> 1	<i>y</i> 2	Ζ	RHS
0 1	1 0	0.071429 -0.057143	-0.28571 0.42857	0 0	$15 (= x_2)$ $12 (= x_1)$
0	0	0.714286	2.14286	1	750 (= z)

Dependent variables: $\{x_2, x_1, z\}$ Independent variables: $y_1 = y_2 = 0$ Extreme point: $(x_1, x_2) = (12, 15)$ Value of objective function: z = 750

Next, we go back to **Step 2**.

<i>x</i> ₁	<i>x</i> ₂	У1	У2	Z	RHS
0 1	1 0	0.071429 -0.057143	-0.28571 0.42857	0 0	$ \begin{array}{r} 15 (= x_2) \\ 12 (= x_1) \end{array} $
0	0	0.714286	2.14286	1	750 (= z)
Deper Indepe Extrer Value	ident varial endent vari ne point: (. of objectiv	bles: $\{x_2, x_1, z\}$ ables: $y_1 = y_2 = 0$ $x_1, x_2) = (12, 15)$ e function: $z = 750$			

Since no negative coefficients in the last row, we are done.

The optimal solution is $x_1 = 12, x_2 = 15$ and the value of the objective function is z = 750.

Another example

Solve

maximize $3x_1 + x_2$

subject to

$$\begin{aligned} 2x_1 + x_2 &\le 6, \\ x_1 + 3x_2 &\le 9, \end{aligned}$$

where x_1 and $x_2 \ge 0$.

As before, we can write the above as

$$2x_1 + x_2 + y_1 = 6,$$

$$x_1 + 3x_2 + y_2 = 9,$$

$$-3x_1 - x_2 + z = 0.$$

Next put these equations into a tableau format.

MATH3290-2023/24

$$2x_1 + x_2 + y_1 = 6,$$

$$x_1 + 3x_2 + y_2 = 9,$$

$$-3x_1 - x_2 + z = 0.$$

Step 1: initialize,

<i>x</i> ₁	<i>x</i> ₂	У1	У2	Ζ	RHS
2 1	1 3	1 0	0 1	0 0	$6 (= y_1)$ $9 (= y_2)$
3	-1	0	0	1	0(=z)

Dependent variables: $\{y_1, y_2, z\}$ Independent variables: $x_1 = x_2 = 0$ Extreme point: $(x_1, x_2) = (0, 0)$ Value of objective function: z = 0

<i>x</i> ₁	<i>x</i> ₂	<i>y</i> 1	У2	Ζ	RHS	Ratio	
2 1 3	$1 \\ 3 \\ -1$	1 0 0	0 1 0	0 0 1	6 9 0	$(3)(= 6/2) \leftarrow 9 (= 9/1) \leftarrow 10^{-1}$	Exiting variable
E	ntering va	riable					

Step 2 : optimality, choosing the entering variable (the variable with most negative coefficient x_1).

Step 3: feasibility: choosing the leaving variable (the variable with the smallest positive ratio y_1).

<i>x</i> ₁	<i>x</i> ₂	У1	<i>y</i> 2	Ζ	RHS	Ratio	
2 1	$1 \\ 3 \\ -1$	1 0 0	0 1 0	0 0 1	6 9 0	$(3)(= 6/2) \leftarrow 9 (= 9/1) \\ *$	Exiting variable
E	ntering va	riable					

Step 4: pivot,

x_1	<i>x</i> ₂	<i>y</i> 1	<i>y</i> 2	Ζ	RHS
1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	$3(=x_1)$
0	$\frac{5}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	1	0	$6(=y_2)$
0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	0	1	9(=z)

Dependent variables: $\{x_1, y_2, z\}$ Independent variables: $x_2 = y_1 = 0$ Extreme point: $(x_1, x_2) = (3, 0)$ Value of objective function: z = 9

Next we go back to Step **2**.

<i>x</i> ₁	<i>x</i> ₂	<i>y</i> 1	<i>Y</i> 2	Ζ	RHS	
1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	$3(=x_1)$	
0	$\frac{5}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	1	0	$6(=y_2)$	
0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	0	1	9(=z)	
Dependent variables: $\{x_1, y_2, z\}$						

Independent variables: x_1, y_2, z_3 Independent variables: $x_2 = y_1 = 0$ Extreme point: $(x_1, x_2) = (3, 0)$ Value of objective function: z = 9

Since no more negative coefficients in last row, we are done. The optimal solution is $x_1 = 3, x_2 = 0$ and the optimal value is z = 9. You can solve LP via linprog—a solver provided by scipy—in python. **Motivation:** the constants used to formulate the LP problem are only estimates, or they may change over time.

Aim: how sensitive the optimal solution is to changes in the constants used to formulate the LP.

We use carpenter's problem as an example. Recall

maximize $f(x_1, x_2) = 25x_1 + 30x_2$

subject to

 $\begin{aligned} 20x_1 + 30x_2 &\leq 690, \\ 5x_1 + 4x_2 &\leq 120, \end{aligned}$

where $x_1 \ge 0$ and $x_2 \ge 0$.

Aim: study sensitivity of the solution to changes in coefficients of the objective function.

Given that, the carpenter produces 12 tables and 15 bookcases.

Q: is this still optimal if the net profit of table is changed?

That is, we consider the following

maximize $f(x_1, x_2) = cx_1 + 30x_2$

subject to

 $\begin{aligned} 20x_1 + 30x_2 &\leq 690, \\ 5x_1 + 4x_2 &\leq 120, \end{aligned}$

where $x_1 \ge 0$ and $x_2 \ge 0$.

For what values of *c* the solution $x_1 = 12, x_2 = 15$ is optimal?

MATH3290-2023/24

Let z be the optimal value of $f(x_1, x_2) = cx_1 + 30x_2$.

The line $cx_1 + 30x_2 = z$ passes through (12, 15) and intersects the feasible region only at the boundary.



This line has the slope -c/30.

$$-\frac{5}{4} \le -\frac{c}{30} \le -\frac{2}{3}$$
$$\Rightarrow 20 \le c \le 37.5.$$

Case 2

Aim: study the effect on the objective value if the resources are changed.

Consider the same example:

maximize $f(x_1, x_2) = 25x_1 + 30x_2$

subject to

 $\begin{aligned} 20x_1 + 30x_2 &\leq 690, \\ 5x_1 + 4x_2 &\leq 120, \end{aligned}$

where $x_1 \ge 0$ and $x_2 \ge 0$.

What happens if the available labor is increased by 1 unit?

That is, the second constraint becomes

 $5x_1 + 4x_2 \le 121.$

Hence, the problem is

maximize
$$f(x_1, x_2) = 25x_1 + 30x_2$$

subject to

 $\begin{aligned} 20x_1 + 30x_2 &\leq 690, \\ 5x_1 + 4x_2 &\leq 121, \end{aligned}$

where $x_1 \ge 0$ and $x_2 \ge 0$.

Skipping the calculations, the optimal solution is

 $x_1 = 12.429, \quad x_2 = 14.714, \quad f = 752.14.$

Therefore, the profit is increased by 2.14 units.

If one unit of labor costs less than 2.14 units, then it would be profitable to do so.

For some problems, the solutions should be integers. We will introduce the Branch-and-Bound (BB) algorithm. The idea is very simple:

- First solve the LP problem without the integer restrictions.
- Add additional constraints for each non-integer solution, and solve the LP problem again without the integer restriction.
- The geometric, algebraic or simplex method can be applied for solving LP problems.

We illustrate the idea by an example.

Example using BB algorithm

Consider the integer linear programming problem:

max $5x_1 + 4x_2$

subject to

 $x_1 + x_2 \le 5,$ $10x_1 + 6x_2 \le 45,$

 $x_1, x_2 \ge 0,$ x_1, x_2 are integers.

The shaded area is the region defined by the inequalities.

The dots are feasible solutions.



Solve the LP problem without integer restrictions (LP1)

(LP1) max $5x_1 + 4x_2$

subject to

$$x_1 + x_2 \le 5$$
, $10x_1 + 6x_2 \le 45$,
 $x_1, x_2 \ge 0$.

By the geometric method, it is easy to see that

 $x_1 = 3.75, \quad x_2 = 1.25$

and the objective function value is

$$z = 23.75.$$



From above, we see that both x_1 and x_2 are not integers.

By the BB algorithm, we choose one of them, and add constraints.

For example, we choose x_1 .

Since $3 < x_1 < 4$, it does not contain any integer solution, and thus it can be removed from the feasible region of LP1 without affecting the original problem.

So, we introduce two new LP problems:

 $(LP2) = (LP1) + (x_1 \le 3),$ $(LP3) = (LP1) + (x_1 \ge 4).$

$$(LP2) = (LP1) + (x_1 \le 3),$$

 $(LP3) = (LP1) + (x_1 \ge 4).$

The solutions can be found easily:



 $x_1 \ge 4$

LP3

 $-x_1$



- LP2 has an integer solution, no further action is needed;
- LP3 does not have an integer solution, we need to branch again, and remove the region $0 < x_2 < 1$.

$$(LP3) = (LP1) + (x_1 \ge 4)$$

We introduce two new problems

$$(LP4) = (LP3) + (x_2 \le 0) = (LP1) + (x_1 \ge 4) + (x_2 \le 0),$$

$$(LP5) = (LP3) + (x_2 \ge 1) = (LP1) + (x_1 \ge 4) + (x_2 \ge 1).$$



$$(LP4) = (LP1) + (x_1 \ge 4) + (x_2 \le 0)$$

From above, we remove the region $4 < x_1 < 5$, and introduce two new LPs:

$$(LP6) = (LP4) + (x_1 \le 4) = (LP1) + (x_1 \ge 4) + (x_2 \le 0) + (x_1 \le 4)$$
$$(LP7) = (LP4) + (x_1 \ge 5) = (LP1) + (x_1 \ge 4) + (x_2 \ge 1) + (x_1 \ge 5)$$



• For LP7, no feasible solution.



Compare all cases with integer solutions, namely, LP2 and LP6, we get the solution:

 $x_1 = 3, x_2 = 2,$

z = 23.

The python library scipy also provides a solver called milp for integer linear programming.



All figures, tables, and data appearing in the slides are only used for teaching under guidelines of Fair Use.