Ch2, Ex12. $(2 \text{ marks})^{\dagger}$

12 (p.62) We need to prove
$$\frac{s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_n}{n} - s = \frac{(s_1 - s) + (s_2 - s) + \dots + (s_n - s)}{n} \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$. By replacing s_n with $s_n - s$, it suffices to prove the case for s = 0. Now, given any $\epsilon > 0$, we note that $s_n \to 0$ and therefore there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|s_n| < \epsilon$ for all n > N. Then we have

$$\left| \frac{s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_n}{n} \right| = \left| \frac{s_1 + \dots + s_N + s_{N+1} + \dots + s_n}{n} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{|s_1 + \dots + s_N|}{n} + \frac{1}{n} (|s_{N+1}| + \dots + |s_n|)$$

$$\leq \frac{|s_1 + \dots + s_N|}{n} + (\frac{n - N}{n}) \epsilon$$

$$< \frac{|s_1 + \dots + s_N|}{n} + \epsilon.$$

Since N is fixed and $|s_1 + \cdots + s_N|$ is a finite number, we can choose an integer $N_1 > N$ such that $\frac{|s_1 + \cdots + s_N|}{n} < \epsilon$. Hence, whenever $n > N_1$.

$$\frac{s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_n}{n} \bigg| < 2\epsilon.$$

Thus $\sum c_n$ is Cesàro summable to s.

^{*}This solution is adapted from the work by former TAs.

[†]In this course, most often we deal with complex numbers rather than real numbers. As \mathbb{C} has no natural ordering, inequality is only meaningful when the involved complex numbers are inside absolute values. Sorry that I have also made such mistake in my solution to Hw3 Ex17a ("sup f" should be written as "sup |f|" there).

Ex13. (a)

13(a) (p.62) By letting $c'_1 = c_1 - s$, $c'_n = c_n$ for $n \ge 2$, we see that the series $\sum c_n$ is Abel summable to s if and only if c'_n is Abel summable to 0. Hence it suffices to consider s = 0. Let $s_0 = 0$ and $s_n = c_1 + \ldots + c_n$, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} c_n r^n = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (s_n - s_{n-1}) r^n = \sum_{n=1}^{N} s_n r^n - r \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} s_n r^n = (1-r) \sum_{n=1}^{N} s_n r^n + s_N r^{N+1}.$$

Since $s_N r^{N+1} \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$, thus

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n r^n = (1-r) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n r^n$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$, by noting that $s_n \to 0$, we can therefore find $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $|s_n| < \epsilon$ for $n > N_0$. Moreover, $s_n \to 0$ implies $|s_n| \le M$, we can find $\delta > 0$, such that $(1-r)MN_0 < \epsilon$ whenever $1 - \delta < r < 1$. Then we have

$$\left| (1-r)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n r^n \right| \le \left| (1-r)\sum_{n=1}^{N_0} s_n r^n \right| + (1-r)\sum_{n=N_0+1}^{\infty} |s_n| r^n$$
$$\le (1-r)MN_0 + \epsilon \sum_{n=N_0+1}^{\infty} r^n$$
$$= \epsilon + \epsilon = 2\epsilon.$$

This means $\lim_{r\to 1} (1-r) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n r^n = 0$. Hence $\sum c_n$ is Abel summable.

(b)

13(b) Let
$$c_n = (-1)^n$$
, then $\sum_{1}^{\infty} (-1)^n$ does not converge. However,
$$\lim_{r \to 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n r^n = \lim_{r \to 1} \frac{-r}{1+r} = -\frac{1}{2}.$$

(c). (2 marks)

Firstly, let's suppose $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma_n = 0$, where $\sigma_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i$. By following the computation in part (a), we have for each $r \in [0, 1)$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} c_n r^n = (1-r) \sum_{n=1}^{N} s_n r^n + s_N r^{N+1}.$$

The R.H.S. is

$$= (1-r)\sum_{n=1}^{N} (n\sigma_n - (n-1)\sigma_{n-1})r^n + (N\sigma_N - (N-1)\sigma_{N-1})r^{N+1}$$

$$= (1-r)\left[\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} n\sigma_n r^n - \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} n\sigma_n r^{n+1} + N\sigma_N r^N\right] + (N\sigma_N - (N-1)\sigma_{N-1})r^{N+1}$$

$$= (1-r)^2\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} n\sigma_n r^n + N\sigma_N r^N - (N-1)\sigma_{N-1}r^{N+1}.$$

As $\{\sigma_n\}$ is bounded, $\lim_{n\to\infty} nr^n = 0$, and $\sum nr^n$ converges, we see that it converges to

$$(1-r)^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\sigma_n r^n$$

when N tends to infinity. This show $\sum c_n r^n$ converges and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n r^n = (1-r)^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \sigma_n r^n.$$

Our aim is to show

$$\lim_{r\uparrow 1}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}c_nr^n=0.$$

Since $\sigma_n \to 0$, there exists M > 0 such that $|\sigma_n| < M$ for all n. Moreover, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists N_0 such that $|\sigma_n| < \varepsilon$ for all $n \ge N_0$. Noting the identity

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nr^n = r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{dr^n}{dr} = r \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{r}{1-r}\right) = \frac{r}{(1-r)^2},$$

we have

$$(1-r)^2 \left| \sum_{N_0+1}^{\infty} n\sigma_n r^n \right| \le (1-r)^2 \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nr^n \right) \varepsilon = \varepsilon r < \varepsilon$$

Take $\delta := \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{\left(M\sum_{1}^{N_0}n\right)}} > 0$. We see that whenever $1 - \delta < r < 1$, $\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n r^n\right| \le \delta^2 \left|\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N_0} n\sigma_n r^n\right)\right| + (1 - r)^2 \left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\sigma r^n\right| \le \delta^2 \left|\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N_0} n\sigma_n r^n\right)\right| + (1 - r)^2 \left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\sigma r^n\right| \le \delta^2 \left|\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N_0} n\sigma_n r^n\right)\right| + (1 - r)^2 \left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\sigma r^n\right| \le \delta^2 \left|\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N_0} n\sigma_n r^n\right)\right| + (1 - r)^2 \left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\sigma r^n\right| \le \delta^2 \left|\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N_0} n\sigma_n r^n\right)\right| + (1 - r)^2 \left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\sigma r^n\right| \le \delta^2 \left|\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N_0} n\sigma_n r^n\right)\right| + (1 - r)^2 \left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\sigma r^n\right| \le \delta^2 \left|\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N_0} n\sigma_n r^n\right)\right| + (1 - r)^2 \left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\sigma r^n\right| \le \delta^2 \left|\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N_0} n\sigma_n r^n\right)\right| + (1 - r)^2 \left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\sigma r^n\right| \le \delta^2 \left|\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N_0} n\sigma_n r^n\right)\right| + (1 - r)^2 \left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\sigma r^n\right| \le \delta^2 \left|\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N_0} n\sigma_n r^n\right)\right| + (1 - r)^2 \left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\sigma r^n\right| \le \delta^2 \left|\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N_0} n\sigma_n r^n\right)\right| + (1 - r)^2 \left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\sigma r^n\right| \le \delta^2 \left|\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N_0} n\sigma_n r^n\right)\right| + (1 - r)^2 \left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\sigma r^n\right| \le \delta^2 \left|\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N_0} n\sigma_n r^n\right)\right| \le \delta^2 \left|\sum_{n=1}^{N_0} n\sigma_n r^n\right| \le \delta^2 \left|\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N_0} n\sigma_n r^n\right)\right| \le \delta^2 \left|\sum_{n=1}^{N_0} n\sigma_n r^n\right| \le \delta^2 \left|\sum_$

 $\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n r^n\right| \le \delta^2 \left| \left(\sum_{1}^{N_0} n\sigma_n r^n\right) \right| + (1-r)^2 \left| \sum_{N_0+1}^{\infty} n\sigma r^n \right| \le 2\varepsilon.$

This completes the proof for the case $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma_n = 0$. For the general case, suppose $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma_n = \sigma$. Define $\tilde{c_1} := c_1 - \sigma$ and $\tilde{c_n} := c_n$ for all $n \ge 2$. Then we have $\tilde{s_n} = s_n - \sigma$ and $\tilde{\sigma_n} = \frac{\tilde{s_1} + \cdots + \tilde{s_n}}{n} = \frac{s_1 + \cdots + s_n - n\sigma}{n} = \sigma_n - \sigma \to 0$. Therefore, by the above result

$$\lim_{r\uparrow 1}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\widetilde{c_n}r^n=0.$$

This implies

$$\lim_{r\uparrow 1}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}c_nr^n = \lim_{r\uparrow 1}\left(\sigma r + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\widetilde{c_n}r^n\right) = \sigma,$$

which was to be demonstrated.

Remark:

To show

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n r^n = (1-r)^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\sigma_n r^n,$$

the following argument is not without pain:

from 13(a), we obtain (using the same identity with c_n replaced by s_n) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n r^n = (1-r) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n r^n = (1-r)^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \sigma_n r^n.$

It is because the hypothesis in part(a) and part(c) are different. For example, we have to justify why $s_N r^{N+1} \to 0$ when $N \to \infty$ if $\sum c_n$ is merely Cesàro summable.

(d)

13(d) Note that if
$$c_n$$
 is Cesàro summable (i.e. $\sigma_n = \frac{s_1 + \ldots + s_n}{n} \to \sigma$), then

$$\frac{s_n}{n} = \frac{n\sigma_n - (n-1)\sigma_{n-1}}{n} = \sigma_n - \frac{n-1}{n}\sigma_{n-1} \longrightarrow 0.$$
Hence,

$$\frac{c_n}{n} = \frac{s_n - s_{n-1}}{n} = \frac{s_n}{n} - \frac{n-1}{n}\frac{s_{n-1}}{n-1} \longrightarrow 0.$$
If $c_n = (-1)^{n-1}n$, then $\frac{c_n}{n} = (-1)^{n-1}$, which does not converge. Hence, c_n is not
Cesàro summable. However, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1}nr^n = \frac{r}{(1+r)^2}$, so

$$\lim_{r \to 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1}nr^n = \frac{1}{4}.$$

Hence, it is Abel summable.

Ex 6 (p. 89). Assume that $\{a_k\}$ is the coefficient of some Riemann integrable function f, i.e. $f(x) \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ikx}}{k}$. Consider $A_r(f)(0)$,

$$A_r(f)(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\theta) P_r(-\theta) d\theta.$$

 $P_r(\theta)$ is an even function on θ , and since $1-2r\cos\theta+r^2 = (1-r\cos\theta)^2+r^2\sin^2\theta > 0$ for $r \in [0,1)$, so $P_r(\theta) = P_r(-\theta) = \frac{1-r^2}{1-2r\cos\theta+r^2} > 0$. We now have

$$|A_r(f)(0)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(\theta)| P_r(-\theta) d\theta$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \sup_{\theta} |f(\theta)| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_r(-\theta) d\theta$$
$$= \sup_{\theta} |f(\theta)| < \infty.$$

On the other hand, $\lim_{r\to 1} A_r(f)(0) = \lim_{r\to 1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{r^k}{k} = \infty$. Therefore, there's no function with $\{a_k\}$ as its coefficient.

Note that $\lim_{r\to 1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{r^k}{k} = \infty$ because for all M > 0, we can choose N such that $\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{k} > 2M$. Then we choose r so close to 1 that $r^N \ge 1/2$, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{r^k}{k} \ge \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{r^k}{k} \ge \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{k} \ge M$$

Alternative:

We can also use the Fejér kernel in place of the Poisson kernel. On the one hand, we have $|\sigma_N(f)(0)| = |(f * F_N)(0)| \le \sup |f|$; while on the other hand

$$|\sigma_N(f)(0)| = \left| \frac{1 \cdot (N-1) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot (N-2) + \dots + \frac{1}{N-1} \cdot (1)}{N} \right|$$

$$\geq \frac{1 \cdot (N-1) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot (N-2) + \dots + \frac{1}{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor} \cdot (N-\lfloor N/2 \rfloor)}{N}$$

which is $\gtrsim \frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{N/2}) \to \infty$ when $N \to \infty$.

Ex8. (a). (1 marks)

Ex 8a (p. 89). $\hat{f}(n)$ is the same as that of Exercise 6 in Chapter 2. Using the Parseval's identity: $(\frac{\pi}{2})^2 + 2\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{-2}{(2n+1)^2\pi}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(\theta)|^2 d\theta = \frac{\pi^2}{3}.$ This implies $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)^4} = \frac{\pi^4}{96}.$ Also, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^4} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)^4} + \frac{1}{2^4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^4}.$ Hence, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^4} = \frac{\pi^4}{90}.$

[‡]Please refer to textbook Ch3 Sec2.2 p.83-84 for more explanation of this question. Thanks to a student for citing this reference.

Ex 8b. We have computed $\hat{f}(n)$ in Exercise 4 in Chapter 2. Using the same method as (a), we have

$$2 \cdot \frac{16}{\pi^2} \cdot \sum_{k>0,k \text{ odd}} \frac{1}{k^6} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(\theta)|^2 d\theta = \frac{\pi^4}{30}.$$

Hence, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)^6} = \frac{\pi^6}{960}$ follows. As
 $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^6} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)^6} + \frac{1}{2^6} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^6},$
we have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^6} = \frac{\pi^6}{945}.$

Ex9. (2 marks) Note that

$$\widehat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi \alpha} e^{i(\pi-x)\alpha} e^{-inx} dx = \frac{1}{2\sin \pi \alpha} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\pi\alpha} e^{-i(n+\alpha)x} dx$$
$$= \frac{e^{i\pi\alpha}}{2\sin \pi \alpha} \left[-\frac{e^{-i(n+\alpha)x}}{i(n+\alpha)} \right]_0^{2\pi} = \frac{e^{i\pi\alpha}}{2\sin \pi \alpha} \frac{1-e^{-i\alpha 2\pi}}{i(n+\alpha)} = \frac{1}{n+\alpha}.$$

Hence the Fourier series of f is $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{inx}}{n+\alpha}$. By the Parseval's identity, we get

$$\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+\alpha)^2} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi \alpha} e^{i(\pi-x)\alpha} \right|^2 dx = \frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2 \pi \alpha}$$

•

6

(b)