

MATH2068 Honour Mathematical Analysis II

Week 7, 26 Feb 2024

Clive Chan



Figure 1: Maybe Math-addiction is not curable?

We went through the following:

Theorem 1 (Integral Mean value theorem). *Let f integrable and g continuous, non-negative on $[a, b]$, then*

$$\int_a^b f(x)g(x)dx = f(c) \int_a^b g(x)dx$$

for some $c \in (a, b)$.

Remarks. An intuition for the formula: we know that

$$F(b) - F(a) = F'(c)(b - a)$$

for F differentiable on (a, b) and continuous on $[a, b]$.

Put $F(x) = \int_a^x f(x)dx$, then the above equation becomes

$$\int_a^b f(x)dx = f(c)(b - a) = f(c) \int_a^b dx.$$

Note that $J \mapsto \int_J g(x)dx$ is a measure on the subintervals J because g is non-negative, so one may naturally expect the integral mean value theorem to hold because it is just changing the measure from dx to $d\mu, \mu(J) = \int_J g$.

Example 1. Show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos^n x dx = 0$.

Proof. $\cos^n x = \cos x \cdot \cos^{n-1} x$, both are differentiable on $(0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ and continuous on $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$. Moreover, they are both non-negative on $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$.

Note that $c \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ implies $\cos c < 1$, so $\cos^n c \rightarrow 0$. Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos^n x dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \cos^{n-1} c \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos x dx = 0$$

because we know that the definite integral is finite. \square

Example 2. Show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \frac{x^n}{x^2+1} dx = 0$.

Proof. Similarly, after checking all the assumptions, we note that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c^{n-1} = 0$ for any $0 < c < 1$ and $\int_0^1 \frac{x}{x^2+1} dx < \infty$. \square

Next we prove a mean value theorem result in two propositions.

Proposition 2. *If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Riemann-integrable on $[a, b]$ then there exists at least one point $c \in (a, b)$ at which f is continuous.*

Proof. By integrability for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a partition $P = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ such that

$$\epsilon(b-a) > U(f, P) - L(f, P) = \sum_{k=1}^n \text{osc}(f, [x_{k-1}, x_k])(x_k - x_{k-1}).$$

Assume $\text{osc}(f, [x_{j-1}, x_j]) \geq \epsilon$ for all j then the RHS above becomes $\geq \epsilon \sum (x_k - x_{k-1}) = \epsilon(b-a)$, which is a contradiction.

Hence there exists some j such that $\text{osc}(f, [x_{j-1}, x_j]) < \epsilon$. Any sub-interval of $[x_{j-1}, x_j]$ has no greater oscillation and we can pick instead a subinterval of length $< \epsilon$ if $[x_{j-1}, x_j]$ is not shorter than ϵ . In this way we see that there exists a subinterval $J \subset (a, b)$ such that both the oscillation on J and the length of J are less than ϵ .

Pick $\epsilon = 1$ and denote by J_1 what we obtained above. Repeat the argument on J_1 with $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ to obtain a J_2 . Inductively obtain a nested sequence $\{J_n\}$. By nested interval theorem there exists a point $c \in \bigcap_n J_n$.

For any ϵ , there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $x \in J_n$ then $|f(x) - f(c)| < \frac{1}{n} < \epsilon$. Note that $|x - c| < \frac{1}{n}$ by definition of J_n . Hence f is continuous at c . \square

Proposition 3. *If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Riemann-integrable on $[a, b]$ then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $c_i \in (a, b)$ ($i = 1, 2$) such that f is continuous at c_i ($i = 1, 2$) and*

$$\begin{cases} f(c_1)(b-a) < \int_a^b f(x)dx + \epsilon \\ \int_a^b f(x)dx - \epsilon < f(c_2)(b-a) \end{cases}$$

Proof. Fix $\epsilon > 0$, by integrability there exists $P = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a partition of $[a, b]$ such that

$$U(f, P) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sup_{x_{k-1} \leq x \leq x_k} f(x)(x_k - x_{k-1}) < \int_a^b f(x)dx + \epsilon.$$

Similar as in the last proposition, there exists j such that

$$\sup_{x_{j-1} \leq x \leq x_j} f(x) < \frac{1}{b-a} \left(\int_a^b f(x)dx + \epsilon \right).$$

Apply the previous proposition on any non-degenerate (length non-zero) subinterval, we see that f is continuous in a dense subset of $[a, b]$, so there exists a point $c \in [x_{j-1}, x_j]$ such that f is continuous at c . Now $f(c) < \sup_{x_{j-1} \leq x \leq x_j} f(x) < \frac{1}{b-a} \left(\int_a^b f(x)dx + \epsilon \right)$. \square

Refer to arXiv: 1106.1807, “Mean Value Integral Inequalities” by Rodrigo López Pouso.