

# MATH2068 Honour Mathematical Analysis II

Mid-term solution

Clive Chan

Typos are unavoidable. Please let me know if you spot any.

- Q1:

- Observe that  $D^+$  is taking limit from the right and  $D^-$  is taking limit from the left. We may assume  $D^+f(c) \geq D^-f(c)$  because, if this is not the case, we consider the function  $f(-x)$  instead of  $f(x)$ . This reflects the graph with respect to the  $y$ -axis, which means left and right interchanges.

We claim that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\gamma > 0$  such that if  $0 < \delta < \gamma$  then

$$\sup_{0 < |x-c| < \delta} \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c} \leq D^+f(c) + \epsilon.$$

Once we have the claim, let  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  we obtain

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 < |x-c| < \delta} \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c} \leq D^+f(c) = \max\{D^+f(c), D^-f(c)\}. \quad (1)$$

Proof of claim: By definition,  $D^+f(c) := \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{c < x < c+\delta} \frac{f(x)-f(c)}{x-c}$ . For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\gamma_1 > 0$  such that if  $0 < \delta < \gamma_1$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{c < x < c+\delta} \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c} - D^+f(c) &\leq |D^+f(c) - \sup_{c < x < c+\delta} \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c}| < \delta. \\ \sup_{c < x < c+\delta} \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c} &< D^+f(c) + \delta. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

By definition,  $D^-f(c) := \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{c-\delta < x < c} \frac{f(x)-f(c)}{x-c}$ . For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\gamma_2 > 0$  such that if  $0 < \delta < \gamma_2$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{c-\delta < x < c} \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c} - D^-f(c) &\leq |D^-f(c) - \sup_{c-\delta < x < c} \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c}| < \delta. \\ \sup_{c-\delta < x < c} \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c} &< D^-f(c) + \delta \leq D^+f(c) + \delta. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Choose  $\gamma = \min\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\}$ , if  $0 < \delta < \gamma$  then (2), (3) holds at the same time. That is, for any such  $\delta$ ,  $D^+f(c) + \delta$  is an upper bound for  $\frac{f(x)-f(c)}{x-c}$  on  $(c-\delta, c)$ , and is an upper bound for the same ratio on  $(c, c+\delta)$ .

Note that  $(c-\delta, c) \cup (c, c+\delta) = \{x : 0 < |x-c| < \delta\}$ , so  $D^+f(c) + \delta$  is an upper bound for  $\frac{f(x)-f(c)}{x-c}$  on the LHS set = RHS set, i.e.  $\{x : 0 < |x-c| < \delta\}$ .

We obtained

$$\sup_{0 < |x-c| < \delta} \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c} \leq D^+f(c) + \delta,$$

our claim follows.

Next, we claim that the opposite direction of (1) is true, i.e.

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 < |x-c| < \delta} \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c} \geq D^+ f(c) = \max\{D^+ f(c), D^- f(c)\}. \quad (4)$$

Proof of claim: if  $M$  is an upper bound for  $\frac{f(x)-f(c)}{x-c}$  on  $0 < |x-c| < \delta$ , then since every point satisfying  $0 < |x-c| < \delta$  must satisfy  $c < x < c + \delta$ ,  $M$  is an upper bound for  $\frac{f(x)-f(c)}{x-c}$  on  $c < x < c + \delta$ . Put  $M = \sup_{c < x < c + \delta} \frac{f(x)-f(c)}{x-c}$ , we have for all  $c < x < c + \delta$ :

$$\sup_{0 < |x-c| < \delta} \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c} \geq \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c}$$

and hence

$$\sup_{0 < |x-c| < \delta} \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c} \geq \sup_{c < x < c + \delta} \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c}$$

Take  $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$  the RHS is called  $D^+ f(c)$ , so we have

$$D^+ f(c) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{c < x < c + \delta} \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c} \leq \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{0 < |x-c| < \delta} \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c}.$$

Combine two claim the inequality signs can be replaced by  $=$ . Q1a follows.

- For part (b), the limits are  $D^+ f(0) = b$ ,  $D_+ f(0) = a$ ,  $D^- f(0) = d$ ,  $D_- f(0) = c$ . Those who lost marks in part (b) just simply write down the limits, so I think you all know the proof.

Make use of sequences such as  $\{\frac{1}{2n\pi + \frac{\pi}{2}}\}$  and that kind of stuff.

- Q2: Suppose  $g$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$  and  $g$  is differentiable on  $(a, b)$ . Due to this assumption, we can use mean value theorem: for any  $p < q \in (a, b)$  there exists  $c \in (p, q)$  such that  $g(p) - g(q) = g'(c)(p - q)$ .

Let  $D$  be the set of points where  $g'(x)$  is non-zero.

Suppose  $D$  is non-empty and countable. By non-empty, there exists  $d \in D$  such that  $s := g'(d) \neq 0$ . By countability and  $(a, b)$  is not countable as a continuum of points,  $D$  is a proper subset of  $(a, b)$ . Hence, there exists  $r \in (a, b) \setminus D$ . Without loss of generality, we assume  $d < r$ .

$[d, r]$  is a proper subset of  $[a, b]$  so the continuity of  $g$  on  $[a, b]$  inherits to  $[d, r]$ . Also,  $(d, r)$  is a proper subset of  $(a, b)$  so the differentiability of  $g$  on  $(a, b)$  inherits to  $(d, r)$ . Hence, Darboux theorem can be applied on  $g$  on  $[d, r]$ , i.e. for any  $z \in (0, s)$  there exists  $w \in (d, r)$  such that  $g'(w) = z$ .

$w$  can be not unique, it's fine. Since we can assign a  $w$  for each given  $z$ , we consider the mapping  $z \mapsto w(z)$ . For any  $z_1, z_2 \in (d, r)$ , if  $w(z_1) = w(z_2)$  then  $z_1 = g'(w(z_1)) = g'(w(z_2)) = z_2$ , so the map is injective. This implies  $D$  contains a continuum of image set, contradicts countability.

Hence,  $D$  cannot be non-empty.

Now we know  $D = \emptyset$  (which is countable) and hence  $g$  has zero derivative everywhere on  $(a, b)$ . In particular, the  $c$  suggested at the beginning must give  $g'(c) = 0$ . For any  $p < q \in (a, b)$ , mean value theorem says there exists  $c \in (p, q)$  such that  $g(p) - g(q) = g'(c)(p - q) = 0$ . hence  $g(p) = g(q)$  and  $g$  is constant.

- Q3: Counter-example: let  $f(x) = \delta_a$ , i.e.  $f(x) = 1$  when  $x = a$  and  $f(x) = 0$  if otherwise. The function  $x^n \delta_a$  is equal to  $a^n \delta_a$  and you can show that it integrates to 0.

We claim that if  $f$  is continuous and integrable on  $[a, b]$ , then under the condition (5) in the test paper,  $f \cong 0$  holds.

Assume Weierstrass Approximation theorem, i.e. for such  $f$ , for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a polynomial such that  $|f(x) - p(x)| < \epsilon$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ .

The main idea is,  $\int f^2 = \int fp = 0$  by condition (5). If  $f$  is not the zero function, say  $f(c) > 0$  for some  $c \in (a, b)$ , then there exists an open interval  $I$  containing  $c$  and contained by  $(a, b)$  such that  $f \geq k > 0$  for some constant  $k$  (Note:  $k = \inf_{x \in I} f(x)$ ). This gives  $\int_a^b f^2 \geq |I|k^2 > 0$ , contradiction arises.

To be precise, write  $p = \sum_n a_n x^n$ , then

$$\int f^2 = \int fp = \sum_n a_n \int f x^n = 0$$

by condition (5). Write  $I = (c - \delta, c + \delta)$  where  $\delta$  is chosen by: since  $\frac{1}{2}f(c) > 0$ , continuity of  $f$  at  $c$  says there exists  $\delta$  such that if  $0 < |x - c| < \delta$  then  $f(x) - f(c) \leq |f(x) - f(c)| < \frac{1}{2}f(c)$ . Now let  $I = (c - \delta, c + \delta)$ , we have  $k = \frac{1}{2}f(c)$  as in the main idea, Finally,  $\int f^2 \geq \int_I f^2 \geq |I|k^2 = 2\delta k^2 > 0$ .