

§ 6.2

- 6.** Let V be an inner product space, and let W be a finite-dimensional subspace of V . If $x \notin W$, prove that there exists $y \in V$ such that $y \in W^\perp$, but $\langle x, y \rangle \neq 0$. *Hint:* Use Theorem 6.6.
- 13.** Let V be an inner product space, S and S_0 be subsets of V , and W be a finite-dimensional subspace of V . Prove the following results.
- $S_0 \subseteq S$ implies that $S^\perp \subseteq S_0^\perp$.
 - $S \subseteq (S^\perp)^\perp$; so $\text{span}(S) \subseteq (S^\perp)^\perp$.
 - $W = (W^\perp)^\perp$. *Hint:* Use Exercise 6.
 - $V = W \oplus W^\perp$. (See the exercises of Section 1.3.)
- 14.** Let W_1 and W_2 be subspaces of a finite-dimensional inner product space. Prove that $(W_1 + W_2)^\perp = W_1^\perp \cap W_2^\perp$ and $(W_1 \cap W_2)^\perp = W_1^\perp + W_2^\perp$. (See the definition of the sum of subsets of a vector space on page 22.) *Hint for the second equation:* Apply Exercise 13(c) to the first equation.

Assume $(W_1 + W_2)^\perp = W_1^\perp \cap W_2^\perp$

Since W_1^\perp, W_2^\perp are finite-dim. $(W_1^\perp + W_2^\perp)^\perp = (W_1^\perp)^\perp \cap (W_2^\perp)^\perp$

By 13(c). $W_1 = (W_1^\perp)^\perp$ $W_2 = (W_2^\perp)^\perp$ $W_1 + W_2 = ((W_1^\perp + W_2^\perp)^\perp)^\perp$

Therefore $W_1 + W_2 = ((W_1^\perp + W_2^\perp)^\perp)^\perp = ((W_1^\perp)^\perp \cap (W_2^\perp)^\perp)^\perp$
 $= (W_1 \cap W_2)^\perp$

- 23.** Let V be the vector space defined in Example 5 of Section 1.2, the space of all sequences σ in F (where $F = R$ or $F = C$) such that $\sigma(n) \neq 0$ for only finitely many positive integers n . For $\sigma, \mu \in V$, we define $\langle \sigma, \mu \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma(n) \overline{\mu(n)}$. Since all but a finite number of terms of the series are zero, the series converges.
- Prove that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an inner product on V , and hence V is an inner product space.
 - For each positive integer n , let e_n be the sequence defined by $e_n(k) = \delta_{n,k}$, where $\delta_{n,k}$ is the Kronecker delta. Prove that $\{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$ is an orthonormal basis for V .
 - Let $\sigma_n = e_1 + e_n$ and $W = \text{span}(\{\sigma_n : n \geq 2\})$.
 - Prove that $e_1 \notin W$, so $W \neq V$.
 - Prove that $W^\perp = \{0\}$, and conclude that $W \neq (W^\perp)^\perp$.

(a) easy to check with the def of inner product.

$$(b) \langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_i(n) \cdot \overline{e_j(n)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{i,n} \cdot \overline{d_{j,n}} = d_{ij}$$

So $\{e_i\}_1^{\infty}$ is orthonormal subset of V

$\forall v \in V$. $S = \{n : v(n) \neq 0\}$ is a finite set

$$\text{Then } v = \sum_{n \in S} v(n) \cdot e_n \in \text{span}(\{e_i\}_1^{\infty})$$

Therefore, $\{e_i\}_1^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis for V .

(c)

(i) if $e_1 \in W$, then $e_1 = a_2 \cdot e_2 + \dots + a_n \cdot e_n$ for some $a_2, \dots, a_n \in F$

$$\text{Then } (a_2 + \dots + a_n - 1)e_1 + a_2 e_2 + \dots + a_n e_n = 0$$

since $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\} \subset \{e_j\}_1^{\infty}$ is L.I.

we have $a_2 + \dots + a_n - 1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n = 0$ which is impossible.

So $e_1 \notin W$ and thus $W \neq V$

(ii)

$\forall v \in W^{\perp}$, we have $\langle v, e_n \rangle = 0$ for $n \geq 2$

$$\text{i.e. } \langle v, e_1 \rangle = -\langle v, e_n \rangle \quad \forall n \geq 2$$

$$\text{i.e. } v(1) = -v(n) \quad \forall n \geq 2$$

If $v(1) \neq 0$, then $v(n) \neq 0 \quad \forall n \geq 2$.

which implies $v \notin W^{\perp}$. contradiction!

$$\text{Thus } v(1) = 0 \text{ and } v(n) = -v(1) = 0 \quad \forall n \geq 2$$

$$\text{i.e. } v = 0 \in V.$$

$$\text{Thus } W^{\perp} = \{0\}$$

$$\text{we conclude that } W \neq V = \{0\}^{\perp} = (W^{\perp})^{\perp}$$

Therefore, that W is finite-dim is essential
in exercise 13(c)

§ 6.3

9. Prove that if $V = W \oplus W^\perp$ and T is the projection on W along W^\perp , then $T = T^*$. *Hint:* Recall that $N(T) = W^\perp$. (For definitions, see the exercises of Sections 1.3 and 2.1.)

$$\forall v \in V. \quad \exists! x_1 \in W, y_1 \in W^\perp \text{ s.t. } T(v) = x_1$$

$$\forall w \in V. \quad \exists! x_2 \in W, y_2 \in W^\perp \text{ s.t. } T(w) = x_2$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \langle T(v), w \rangle = \langle x_1, x_2 + y_2 \rangle = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \\ \langle T^*(v), w \rangle = \langle v, T(w) \rangle = \langle x_1 + y_1, x_2 \rangle = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \end{array} \right.$$

$$\langle T^*(v), w \rangle = \langle v, T(w) \rangle = \langle x_1 + y_1, x_2 \rangle = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$$

$$\text{So } \langle T(v), w \rangle = \langle T^*(v), w \rangle \quad \forall v, w \in V.$$

$$\text{So } T = T^*$$

12. Let V be an inner product space, and let T be a linear operator on V . Prove the following results.

(a) $R(T^*)^\perp = N(T)$.

(b) If V is finite-dimensional, then $R(T^*) = N(T)^\perp$. *Hint: Use Exercise 13(c) of Section 6.2.* $R(T^*) = (R(T^*)^\perp)^\perp = N(T)^\perp$

Remark : $U^\perp = W \iff U = W^\perp$ for general $U, W \subset V$

13. Let T be a linear operator on a finite-dimensional vector space V . Prove the following results.

(a) $N(T^*T) = N(T)$. Deduce that $\text{rank}(T^*T) = \text{rank}(T)$.

(b) $\text{rank}(T) = \text{rank}(T^*)$. Deduce from (a) that $\text{rank}(TT^*) = \text{rank}(T)$.

(c) For any $n \times n$ matrix A , $\text{rank}(A^*A) = \text{rank}(AA^*) = \text{rank}(A)$.

(a) $\forall v \in N(T), T^*T(v) = T^*(T(v)) = T^*(0) = 0$

$\therefore v \in N(T^*T) \quad N(T) \subset N(T^*T)$

$\forall v \in N(T^*T) \quad \|T(v)\|^2 = \langle T(v), T(v) \rangle = \langle T^*T(v), v \rangle = \langle 0, v \rangle = 0$

i.e. $T(v) = 0, \quad \forall v \in N(T^*T) \quad N(T^*T) \subset N(T)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(T^*T) &= \dim(V) - \text{nullity}(T^*T) = \dim(V) - \text{nullity}(T) \\ &= \text{rank}(T) \end{aligned}$$

(b) By Q12(b), $R(T^*) = N(T)^\perp$

Besides, $V = N(T) \oplus N(T)^\perp$ so $\dim(V) = \dim(N(T)) + \dim(N(T)^\perp)$

$$\text{rank}(T) = \dim(V) - \text{nullity}(T) = \dim(N(T)^\perp) = \dim(R(T^*)) = \text{rank}(T^*)$$

$$\text{rank}(TT^*) = \text{rank}((TT^*)^*) = \text{rank}(T^*T) = \text{rank}(T)$$

§ 6.4.

10. Let T be a self-adjoint operator on a finite-dimensional inner product space V . Prove that for all $x \in V$

$$\|T(x) \pm ix\|^2 = \|T(x)\|^2 + \|x\|^2.$$

Deduce that $T - iI$ is invertible and that $[(T - iI)^{-1}]^* = (T + iI)^{-1}$.

• T is self-adjoint. then $T = T^*$ and $\langle T(x), x \rangle = \langle x, T(x) \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \quad \|T(x) \pm ix\|^2 &= \langle T(x) \pm ix, T(x) \pm ix \rangle \\ &= \|T(x)\|^2 + \langle T(x), \pm ix \rangle + \langle \pm ix, T(x) \rangle + \|\pm ix\|^2 \\ &= \|T(x)\|^2 \mp i \langle T(x), x \rangle \pm i \langle x, T(x) \rangle + \|ix\|^2 \\ &= \|T(x)\|^2 + \|ix\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

• $\forall x \in N(T - iI)$

$$0 \leq \|ix\|^2 \leq \|T(x)\|^2 + \|ix\|^2 = \|T(x) - ix\|^2 = \|(T - iI)(x)\|^2 = 0$$

So $x = 0$ i.e. $T - iI$ is 1-1 and thus invertible.

• Since $T = T^*$, $(T - iI)^* = T^* + iI^* = T + iI$

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \quad &\langle x, [(T - iI)^{-1}]^* (T + iI)(y) \rangle \\ &= \langle (T - iI)^{-1}(x), (T + iI)(y) \rangle \\ &= \langle (T - iI)^{-1}(x), (T - iI)^*(y) \rangle \\ &= \langle (T - iI)(T - iI)^{-1}(x), y \rangle \\ &= \langle x, y \rangle \quad \text{for any } x, y \in V \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus } [(T - iI)^{-1}]^* \circ (T + iI) = I$$

$$[(T - iI)^{-1}]^* = (T + iI)^{-1}$$