# MATH 2010 Advanced Calculus Suggested Solution of Homework 10

#### Exercises 14.8

Q26 Solution: Set

$$f(x, y, z) := xyz, \quad g(x, y, z) := x + y + z^2 - 16.$$

Note that

$$\nabla g(x, y, z) = (1, 1, 2z) \neq 0.$$

In order to maximize f under the constrain g = 0, compute

$$\nabla f(x, y, z) = (yz, zx, xy),$$

and find the values of x, y, z, and  $\lambda$  such that

$$\nabla f = \lambda \nabla g$$
, and  $g = 0$ ,

i.e. solve the following system

$$\begin{cases} yz = \lambda, & \text{(1a)} \\ zx = \lambda, & \text{(1b)} \\ xy = 2\lambda z, & \text{(1c)} \\ x + y + z^2 - 16 = 0. & \text{(1d)} \end{cases}$$

$$zx = \lambda,$$
 (1b)

$$xy = 2\lambda z, (1c)$$

$$x + y + z^2 - 16 = 0. (1d)$$

Take the product of (1a) and (1b)

$$xyz^2 = \lambda^2$$
.

and compare with (1c): one can multiply both sides of (1c) with  $z^2$ 

$$xyz^2 = (2\lambda z)z^2 = 2\lambda z^3,$$

and get rid of x, y

$$\lambda^2 = 2\lambda z^3. \tag{2}$$

Note that  $\lambda$  is on both sides of (2), one can dichotomize regarding  $\lambda$ :

(1)  $\lambda = 0$ , then

$$0 = xy = yz = zx,$$

so no matter what values x, y, z take, f = xyz = 0 if  $\lambda = 0$ ;

(2)  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then (2) implies  $\lambda = 2z^3$ , i.e.

$$z = 2^{-\frac{1}{3}}\lambda^{\frac{1}{3}}. (3)$$

Back to (1a) and (1b),

$$x = y = 2^{\frac{1}{3}} \lambda^{\frac{2}{3}}. (4)$$

Substitute (3) and (4) into (1d),

$$2^{\frac{1}{3}}\lambda^{\frac{2}{3}} + 2^{\frac{1}{3}}\lambda^{\frac{2}{3}} + 2^{-\frac{2}{3}}\lambda^{\frac{2}{3}} - 16 = 0,$$

i.e.

$$\lambda^{\frac{2}{3}} = \frac{16}{5} 2^{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \lambda^{\frac{1}{3}} = \pm \frac{4}{\sqrt{5}} 2^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

consequently

$$f(x,y,z) = xyz = 2\lambda z^2 = 2\lambda 2^{-\frac{2}{3}}\lambda^{\frac{2}{3}} = 2^{\frac{1}{3}}\lambda^{\frac{5}{3}} = 2^{\frac{1}{3}}\left(\pm\frac{4}{\sqrt{5}}2^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)^5 = \pm\frac{2^{12}}{5^2\sqrt{5}} = \pm\frac{2^{12}}{5^3}\sqrt{5}.$$

Combine the two cases, the maxima of f under the constrain g = 0 is

$$\frac{2^{12}}{5^3}\sqrt{5} = \frac{4096}{125}\sqrt{5}.$$

Q29 Solution: Set

$$q(x, y, z) := 4x^2 + y^2 + 4z^2 - 16.$$

Note that

$$\nabla g(x, y, z) = (8x, 2y, 8z) \neq 0$$
 when  $g(x, y, z) = 0$ .

In order to maximize

$$T(x, y, z) = 8x^2 + 4yz - 16z + 600$$

under the constrain g = 0, compute

$$\nabla T(x, y, z) = (16x, 4z, 4y - 16),$$

and find the values of x, y, z, and  $\lambda$  such that

$$\nabla T = \lambda \nabla q$$
, and  $q = 0$ ,

i.e. solve the following system

$$\int 16x = 8\lambda x,\tag{5a}$$

$$4z = 2\lambda y, (5b)$$

$$\begin{cases} 16x = 8\lambda x, & (5a) \\ 4z = 2\lambda y, & (5b) \\ 4y - 16 = 8\lambda z, & (5c) \\ 4x^2 + y^2 + 4z^2 - 16 = 0 & (5d) \end{cases}$$

$$4x^2 + y^2 + 4z^2 - 16 = 0. (5d)$$

Note that x is on both sides of (5a), one can dichotomize regarding x:

(1) if x = 0, then system (5) is reduced to

$$\int 2z = \lambda y, \tag{6a}$$

$$\begin{cases} 2z = \lambda y, & (6a) \\ y - 4 = 2\lambda z, & (6b) \\ y^2 + 4z^2 - 16 = 0. & (6c) \end{cases}$$

$$y^2 + 4z^2 - 16 = 0. (6c)$$

Solve (6a) and (6b) by treating  $\lambda$  as a parameter

$$y(1-\lambda^2) = 4, (7)$$

$$z(1 - \lambda^2) = 2\lambda,\tag{8}$$

and then insert (7) and (8) back to (6c) to solve for  $\lambda$ 

$$\frac{4^2}{(1-\lambda^2)^2} + 4 \cdot \frac{4\lambda^2}{(1-\lambda^2)^2} = 16, \implies (1-\lambda^2)^2 - \lambda^2 - 1 = 0, \text{ i.e. } \lambda^2(\lambda^2 - 3) = 0,$$

so in view of the values of  $\lambda$ , there are three subcases:

(i)  $\lambda = 0$ , consequently y = 4, z = 0, and

$$T(0,4,0) = 600;$$

(ii)  $\lambda = \sqrt{3}$ , consequently y = -2,  $z = -\sqrt{3}$ , and

$$T(0, -2, -\sqrt{3}) = 600 + 24\sqrt{3}$$

(iii)  $\lambda = -\sqrt{3}$ , consequently y = -2,  $z = \sqrt{3}$ , and

$$T(0, -2, \sqrt{3}) = 600 - 24\sqrt{3}$$
;

(2) If  $x \neq 0$ , then (5a) implies  $\lambda = 2$ , and one can solve (5b) and (5c) for y and z, since the subsystem (5b)-(5c) is independent of x:

$$y = z = -\frac{4}{3}.$$

Back to the constrain (5d), one can solve for x

$$x = \pm \frac{4}{3}.$$

Evaluate,

$$T\left(\pm\frac{4}{3}, -\frac{4}{3}, -\frac{4}{3}\right) = 642\frac{2}{3}.$$

Since  $24\sqrt{3} < 42\frac{2}{3}$ , the hottest points are  $\left(\pm \frac{4}{3}, -\frac{4}{3}, -\frac{4}{3}\right)$ .

 ${\it Q30~Solution}$ : Set

$$g(x, y, z) := x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 1.$$

Note that

$$\nabla g(x, y, z) = (2x, 2y, 2z) \neq 0$$
 for  $g(x, y, z) = 0$ .

In order to find the maxima and minima of

$$T(x, y, z) = 400xyz^2$$

under the constrain g = 0, compute

$$\nabla T(x, y, z) = (400yz^2, 400xz^2, 800xyz),$$

and find the values of x, y, z, and  $\lambda$  such that

$$\nabla T = \lambda \nabla g$$
, and  $g = 0$ ,

i.e. solve the following system

$$\int 400yz^2 = 2\lambda x,\tag{9a}$$

$$400xz^2 = 2\lambda y, (9b)$$

$$\begin{cases} 400yz^{2} = 2\lambda x, & (9a) \\ 400xz^{2} = 2\lambda y, & (9b) \\ 800xyz = 2\lambda z, & (9c) \\ 2 + 2 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 6 \end{cases}$$

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 1 = 0. (9d)$$

Note that z is on both sides of (9c), one can dichotomize regarding z:

(1) if z = 0, then system (9) is reduced to

$$\int 0 = \lambda x,\tag{10a}$$

$$\begin{cases} 0 = \lambda x, & (10a) \\ 0 = \lambda y, & (10b) \\ x^2 + y^2 - 1 = 0. & (10c) \end{cases}$$

$$x^2 + y^2 - 1 = 0. (10c)$$

One can see that  $\lambda$  must be zero, otherwise (10a)-(10b) imply x = y = 0 which contradicts (10c). Anyway, since z = 0,  $T \equiv 0$  in this case;

(2) If  $z \neq 0$ , then (9c) implies

$$400xy = \lambda$$
,

and system (9) is transformed into

$$\int yz^2 = 2x^2y,\tag{11a}$$

$$\begin{cases} yz^2 = 2x^2y, & (11a) \\ xz^2 = 2xy^2, & (11b) \\ 400xy = \lambda, & (11c) \\ x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 1 = 0. & (11d) \end{cases}$$

$$400xy = \lambda, \tag{11c}$$

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 1 = 0. (11d)$$

Note that y is on both sides of (11a) and x is on both sides of (11b), one can dichotomize regarding x, y:

(i) At least one of x or y is zero, then (11c) implies  $\lambda = 0$ , and by (11a)-(11b), x = y = 0, consequently by (11d) z = 1. Anyway T = 0;

(ii)  $x \neq 0$  and  $y \neq 0$ , then system (11) is reduced to

$$\begin{cases} z^2 = 2x^2, \\ z^2 = 2y^2, \end{cases}$$
 (12a)

and one can insert (12a) and (12b) into (11d) to solve for z

$$\frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{1}{2}z^2 + z^2 - 1 = 0, \implies z^2 = \frac{1}{2};$$

then go back to system (12) to solve for x, y:

$$xy = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{4} & (x,y) = (-1/2, 1/2) \text{ or } (1/2, -1/2), \\ \frac{1}{4} & (x,y) = (1/2, 1/2) \text{ or } (-1/2, -1/2). \end{cases}$$

In conclusion, the highest and lowest temperatures are achieved in case (2)(ii), and the highest temperature is

$$T_{\text{max}} = 50$$
, at  $(x, y) = (1/2, 1/2)$  or  $(-1/2, -1/2)$ ,  $z = \pm \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ ,

and the lowest temperature is

$$T_{\min} = -50$$
, at  $(x, y) = (-1/2, 1/2)$  or  $(1/2, -1/2)$ ,  $z = \pm \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ .

Q38 Solution: Set

$$g_1(x, y, z) := x + 2y + 3z - 6, \quad g_2(x, y, z) := x + 3y + 9z - 9.$$

Note that

$$\nabla g_1(x, y, z) = (1, 2, 3), \quad \nabla g_2(x, y, z) = (1, 3, 9),$$

so  $\nabla g_1$  and  $\nabla g_2$  are not parallel. In order to minimize

$$f(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$$

under the two constrains  $g_1 = 0$  and  $g_2 = 0$ , compute

$$\nabla f(x, y, z) = (2x, 2y, 2z),$$

and find the values of x, y, z, and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  such that

$$\nabla f = \lambda_1 \nabla g_1 + \lambda_2 \nabla g_2$$
, and  $g_1 = g_2 = 0$ ,

i.e. solve the following system

$$\int 2x = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2, \tag{13a}$$

$$2x = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2,$$

$$2y = 2\lambda_1 + 3\lambda_2,$$

$$2z = 3\lambda_1 + 9\lambda_2,$$

$$x + 2y + 3z - 6 = 0,$$

$$x + 3y + 9z - 9 = 0$$
(13a)

$$\langle 2z = 3\lambda_1 + 9\lambda_2, \tag{13c}$$

$$x + 2y + 3z - 6 = 0, (13d)$$

$$x + 3y + 9z - 9 = 0. (13e)$$

This is a linear system of five equations with five unknowns  $x, y, z, \lambda_{1,2}$ , and one can solve it directly: since only the values of x, y, z are needed, one can first solve (13a)-(13b) for  $\lambda_{1,2}$  in terms of x, y

$$\lambda_1 = 6x - 2y, \quad \lambda_2 = 2y - 4x,$$

then substitute  $\lambda_{1,2}$  into (13c) to get rid of  $\lambda$ 

$$9x - 6y + z = 0. (14)$$

Now system (13d)-(13e)-(14) is only about x, y, z, and one can solve it to obtain

$$z = \frac{9}{59}, \quad y = \frac{123}{59}, \quad x = \frac{81}{59}.$$

So the minimum is

$$f_{\min} = f\left(\frac{81}{59}, \frac{123}{59}, \frac{9}{59}\right) = \frac{21771}{3481} = \frac{369}{59} = 6\frac{885}{3481} = 6\frac{15}{59}.$$

Q39 Solution: Set

$$g_1(x, y, z) := y + 2z - 12$$
,  $g_2(x, y, z) := x + y - 6$ , and  $f(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ .

Note that

$$\nabla g_1(x, y, z) = (0, 1, 2), \quad \nabla g_2(x, y, z) = (1, 1, 0),$$

so  $\nabla g_1$  and  $\nabla g_2$  are not parallel. In order to minimize f under the two constrains  $g_1 = 0$  and  $g_2 = 0$ , compute

$$\nabla f(x, y, z) = (2x, 2y, 2z),$$

and find the values of x, y, z, and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  such that

$$\nabla f = \lambda_1 \nabla g_1 + \lambda_2 \nabla g_2$$
, and  $g_1 = g_2 = 0$ ,

i.e. solve the following system

$$\begin{cases} 2x = \lambda_2, & (15a) \\ 2y = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2, & (15b) \\ 2z = 2\lambda_1, & (15c) \\ y + 2z - 12 = 0, & (15d) \end{cases}$$

$$2y = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2, \tag{15b}$$

$$\langle 2z = 2\lambda_1, \tag{15c}$$

$$y + 2z - 12 = 0, (15d)$$

$$x + y - 6 = 0.$$
 (15e)

This is a linear system of five equations with five unknowns  $x, y, z, \lambda_{1,2}$ , and one can solve it directly in the same process as Question 38 above to obtain

$$z = 4, \quad y = 4, \quad x = 2,$$

so the minimum distance to the origin is achieved at (2,4,4).

#### Section 14.8, Q 40

We find the extreme values of  $f(x, y, z) = x^2 + 2y - z^2$  subject to the constraints  $g_1(x, y, z) = 2x - y = 0$ , and  $g_2(x, y, z) = y + z = 0$ . Then

$$\nabla f = 2x\vec{i} + 2\vec{j} - 2z\vec{k}, \quad \nabla g_1 = 2\vec{i} - \vec{j}, \quad \nabla g_2 = \vec{j} + \vec{k}$$

The gradient equation  $\nabla f = \lambda \nabla g_1 + \mu \nabla g_2$  gives

$$2x = 2\lambda$$
,  $2 = -\lambda + \mu$ ,  $-2z = \mu$ .

Then we obtain  $x = \lambda$ ,  $z = -1 - \frac{x}{2}$ . Substituting y = 2x and  $z = -1 - \frac{x}{2}$  into  $g_2(x, y, z)$  yields  $x = \frac{2}{3}$ . Moreover, substituting  $x = \frac{2}{3}$  into  $g_1(x, y, z)$  yields  $y = \frac{4}{3}$ , which gives  $z = -\frac{4}{3}$ . The maximum value is  $f(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}, -\frac{4}{3}) = \frac{4}{3}$ .

### Section 14.8, Q 41

Let  $g_1(x, y, z) = z - 1 = 0$  and  $g_2(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 10 = 0 \Rightarrow \nabla g_1 = \mathbf{k}$ ,  $\nabla g_2 = 2x\mathbf{i} + 2y\mathbf{j} + 2z\mathbf{k}$ , and  $\nabla f = 2xyz\mathbf{i} + x^2z\mathbf{j} + x^2y\mathbf{k}$  so that  $\nabla f = \lambda \nabla g_1 + \mu \nabla g_2 \Rightarrow 2xyz\mathbf{i} + x^2z\mathbf{j} + x^2y\mathbf{k} = \lambda(\mathbf{k}) + \mu(2x\mathbf{i} + 2y\mathbf{j} + 2z\mathbf{k})$   $\Rightarrow 2xyz = 2x\mu$ ,  $x^2z = 2y\mu$ , and  $x^2y = 2z\mu + \lambda \Rightarrow xyz = x\mu \Rightarrow x = 0$  or  $yz = \mu \Rightarrow \mu = y$  since z = 1. CASE 1: x = 0 and  $z = 1 \Rightarrow y^2 - 9 = 0$  (from  $g_2$ )  $\Rightarrow y = \pm 3$  yielding the points  $(0, \pm 3, 1)$ . CASE 2:  $\mu = y \Rightarrow x^2z = 2y^2 \Rightarrow x^2 = 2y^2$  (since z = 1)  $\Rightarrow 2y^2 + y^2 + 1 - 10 = 0$  (from  $g_2$ )  $\Rightarrow 3y^2 - 9 = 0$   $\Rightarrow y = \pm \sqrt{3} \Rightarrow x^2 = 2\left(\pm\sqrt{3}\right)^2 \Rightarrow x = \pm\sqrt{6}$  yielding the points  $\left(\pm\sqrt{6}, \pm\sqrt{3}, 1\right)$ . Now  $f(0, \pm 3, 1) = 1$  and  $f\left(\pm\sqrt{6}, \pm\sqrt{3}, 1\right) = 6\left(\pm\sqrt{3}\right) + 1 = 1 \pm 6\sqrt{3}$ . Therefore the maximum of f is  $1 + 6\sqrt{3}$  at  $\left(\pm\sqrt{6}, \sqrt{3}, 1\right)$ , and the minimum of f is  $1 - 6\sqrt{3}$  at  $\left(\pm\sqrt{6}, -\sqrt{3}, 1\right)$ .

# Section 14.8, Q 42

- (a) Let  $g_1(x, y, z) = x + y + z 40 = 0$  and  $g_2(x, y, z) = x + y z = 0 \Rightarrow \nabla g_1 = \mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j} + \mathbf{k}$ ,  $\nabla g_2 = \mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j} \mathbf{k}$ , and  $\nabla w = yz\mathbf{i} + xz\mathbf{j} + xy\mathbf{k}$  so that  $\nabla w = \lambda \nabla g_1 + \mu \nabla g_2 \Rightarrow yz\mathbf{i} + xz\mathbf{j} + xy\mathbf{k} = \lambda(\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j} + \mathbf{k}) + \mu(\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j} \mathbf{k})$   $\Rightarrow yz = \lambda + \mu$ , and  $xy = \lambda \mu \Rightarrow yz = xz \Rightarrow z = 0$  or y = x.

  CASE 1:  $z = 0 \Rightarrow x + y = 40$  and  $x + y = 0 \Rightarrow$  no solution.

  CASE 2:  $x = y \Rightarrow 2x + z 40 = 0$  and  $2x z = 0 \Rightarrow z = 20 \Rightarrow x = 10$  and  $y = 10 \Rightarrow w = (10)(10)(20)$  = 2000
- (b)  $\mathbf{n} = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \end{vmatrix} = -2\mathbf{i} + 2\mathbf{j}$  is parallel to the line of intersection  $\Rightarrow$  the line is x = -2t + 10, y = 2t + 10, z = 20. Since z = 20, we see that  $w = xyz = (-2t + 10)(2t + 10)(20) = (-4t^2 + 100)(20)$  which has its maximum when  $t = 0 \Rightarrow x = 10$ , y = 10, and z = 20.

# Section 14.8, Q 43

Let  $g_1(x, y, z) = y - x = 0$  and  $g_2(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 4 = 0$ . Then  $\nabla f = y\mathbf{i} + x\mathbf{j} + 2z\mathbf{k}$ ,  $\nabla g_1 = -\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j}$ , and  $\nabla g_2 = 2x\mathbf{i} + 2y\mathbf{j} + 2z\mathbf{k}$  so that  $\nabla f = \lambda \nabla g_1 + \mu \nabla g_2 \Rightarrow y\mathbf{i} + x\mathbf{j} + 2z\mathbf{k} = \lambda(-\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j}) + \mu(2x\mathbf{i} + 2y\mathbf{j} + 2z\mathbf{k})$   $\Rightarrow y = -\lambda + 2x\mu$ ,  $x = \lambda + 2y\mu$ , and  $2z = 2z\mu \Rightarrow z = 0$  or  $\mu = 1$ .

CASE 1:  $z = 0 \Rightarrow x^2 + y^2 - 4 = 0 \Rightarrow 2x^2 - 4 = 0$  (since x = y)  $\Rightarrow x = \pm \sqrt{2}$  and  $y = \pm \sqrt{2}$  yielding the points  $(\pm \sqrt{2}, \pm \sqrt{2}, 0)$ .

CASE 2:  $\mu = 1 \Rightarrow y = -\lambda + 2x$  and  $x = \lambda + 2y \Rightarrow x + y = 2(x + y) \Rightarrow 2x = 2(2x)$  since  $x = y \Rightarrow x = 0 \Rightarrow y = 0$  $\Rightarrow z^2 - 4 = 0 \Rightarrow z = \pm 2$  yielding the points  $(0, 0, \pm 2)$ .

Now,  $f(0, 0, \pm 2) = 4$  and  $f(\pm \sqrt{2}, \pm \sqrt{2}, 0) = 2$ . Therefore the maximum value of f is 4 at  $(0, 0, \pm 2)$  and the minimum value of f is 2 at  $f(\pm \sqrt{2}, \pm \sqrt{2}, 0)$ .

# Section 14.8, Q 47

- (a) Maximize  $f(a,b,c) = a^2b^2c^2$  subject to  $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = r^2$ . Thus  $\nabla f = 2ab^2c^2\mathbf{i} + 2a^2bc^2\mathbf{j} + 2a^2b^2c\mathbf{k}$  and  $\nabla g = 2a\mathbf{i} + 2b\mathbf{j} + 2c\mathbf{k}$  so that  $\nabla f = \lambda \nabla g \Rightarrow 2ab^2c^2 = 2a\lambda$ ,  $2a^2bc^2 = 2b\lambda$ , and  $2a^2b^2c = 2c\lambda$   $\Rightarrow 2a^2b^2c^2 = 2a^2\lambda = 2b^2\lambda = 2c^2\lambda \Rightarrow \lambda = 0$  or  $a^2 = b^2 = c^2$ . CASE 1:  $\lambda = 0 \Rightarrow a^2b^2c^2 = 0$ .

  CASE 2:  $a^2 = b^2 = c^2 \Rightarrow f(a,b,c) = a^2a^2a^2$  and  $3a^2 = r^2 \Rightarrow f(a,b,c) = \left(\frac{r^2}{3}\right)^3$  is the maximum value.
- (b) The point  $(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b}, \sqrt{c})$  is on the sphere if  $a+b+c=r^2$ . Moreover, by part (a),  $abc = f(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b}, \sqrt{c}) \le \left(\frac{r^2}{3}\right)^3 \Rightarrow (abc)^{1/3} \le \frac{r^2}{3} = \frac{a+b+c}{3}$ , as claimed.