

4.4.1 Appendix: Proof of the ‘Change-of-basis’ Theorem.

0. The material in this appendix is supplementary.
1. We are going to prove the theoretical result introduced as:—

Theorem (4). (‘Change-of-basis’ Theorem.)

Let \mathcal{W} be a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n over the reals.

Suppose $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_p$ constitute a basis for \mathcal{W} over the reals. Also suppose $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_p$ constitute a basis for \mathcal{W} over the reals.

Define $U = [\mathbf{u}_1 \mid \mathbf{u}_2 \mid \dots \mid \mathbf{u}_p]$, $V = [\mathbf{v}_1 \mid \mathbf{v}_2 \mid \dots \mid \mathbf{v}_p]$.

Then the statements below hold:—

- (a) There exists some unique invertible $(p \times p)$ -square matrix S with real entries such that $U = VS$.
- (b) Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{W}$, and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_p \in \mathbb{R}$.

Suppose $\mathbf{x} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{u}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{u}_2 + \dots + \alpha_p \mathbf{u}_p$ and $\mathbf{x} = \beta_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \beta_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + \beta_p \mathbf{v}_p$

$$\text{Then } \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_p \end{bmatrix} = S \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_p \end{bmatrix}, \text{ for the same invertible } (p \times p)\text{-square matrix } S \text{ above.}$$

Remark on terminologies. The matrix S , which relates the matrices U, V via the equality $U = VS$, is called the **change-of-basis matrix relating the (ordered) basis $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_p$ for \mathcal{W} to the (ordered) basis $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_p$ for \mathcal{W} .**

2. In the argument for Theorem (4), we will use the result below which links up the notions of linear dependence and linear independence with homogeneous systems of linear equations:—

Lemma (#).

Suppose $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_p$ are column vectors with n real entries, and Z is the $(n \times p)$ -matrix with real entries given by $Z = [\mathbf{z}_1 \mid \mathbf{z}_2 \mid \dots \mid \mathbf{z}_p]$.

Then:—

- (a) The statements (LD) , (LD_0) are logically equivalent:—
 (LD) $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_p$ are linearly dependent over the reals.
 (LD_0) The homogeneous system $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}(Z, \mathbf{0}_n)$ has some non-trivial solution.
- (b) The statements (LI) , (LI_0) are logically equivalent:—
 (LI) $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_p$ are linearly independent over the reals.
 (LI_0) The homogeneous system $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}(Z, \mathbf{0}_n)$ has no non-trivial solution.

3. Proof of Theorem (4).

Let \mathcal{W} be a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n .

Suppose $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_p$ constitute a basis for \mathcal{W} .

Also suppose $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_p$ constitute a basis for \mathcal{W} .

Define $U = [\mathbf{u}_1 \mid \mathbf{u}_2 \mid \dots \mid \mathbf{u}_p]$, $V = [\mathbf{v}_1 \mid \mathbf{v}_2 \mid \dots \mid \mathbf{v}_p]$.

- (a) [We are going to show that there exists some invertible $(p \times p)$ -square matrix S with real entries such that $U = VS$.]

- i. [We want to write down some matrix S for which the equality $U = VS$ holds.

Note that for such an equality to make sense, it is necessary for S to be a $(p \times p)$ -square matrix in the first place.]

(Recall that $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_p$ constitute a basis for \mathcal{W} over the reals.)

For each $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$, since $\mathbf{u}_k \in \mathcal{W}$, there exist some $s_{1k}, s_{2k}, \dots, s_{pk} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbf{u}_k = s_{1k} \mathbf{v}_1 + s_{2k} \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + s_{pk} \mathbf{v}_p$.

$$\text{We write } \mathbf{s}_k = \begin{bmatrix} s_{1k} \\ s_{2k} \\ \vdots \\ s_{pk} \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Then we have } \mathbf{u}_k = [\mathbf{v}_1 \mid \mathbf{v}_2 \mid \dots \mid \mathbf{v}_p] \begin{bmatrix} s_{1k} \\ s_{2k} \\ \vdots \\ s_{pk} \end{bmatrix} = V \mathbf{s}_k.$$

Now define $S = [\mathbf{s}_1 \mid \mathbf{s}_2 \mid \dots \mid \mathbf{s}_p]$. Note that S is a $(p \times p)$ -square matrix with real entries.

Therefore $U = [\mathbf{u}_1 \mid \mathbf{u}_2 \mid \dots \mid \mathbf{u}_p] = [V \mathbf{s}_1 \mid V \mathbf{s}_2 \mid \dots \mid V \mathbf{s}_p] = V [\mathbf{s}_1 \mid \mathbf{s}_2 \mid \dots \mid \mathbf{s}_p] = VS$.

ii. [We want to write down some matrix T for which the equality $V = UT$ holds.]

(Recall that $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_p$ constitute a basis for \mathcal{W} over the reals.)

For each $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, p$, since $\mathbf{v}_\ell \in \mathcal{W}$, there exist some $t_{1\ell}, t_{2\ell}, \dots, t_{p\ell} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbf{v}_\ell = t_{1\ell}\mathbf{u}_1 + t_{2\ell}\mathbf{u}_2 + \dots + t_{p\ell}\mathbf{u}_p$.

We write $\mathbf{t}_\ell = \begin{bmatrix} t_{1\ell} \\ t_{2\ell} \\ \vdots \\ t_{p\ell} \end{bmatrix}$. Repeating the argument above, we also deduce that $\mathbf{v}_\ell = U\mathbf{t}_\ell$.

We define $T = [\mathbf{t}_1 \mid \mathbf{t}_2 \mid \dots \mid \mathbf{t}_p]$. Note that T is a $(p \times p)$ -square matrix with real entries.

Further repeating the argument above, we also deduce that $V = UT$.

iii. [We want to verify that $TS = I_p$.]

We have the equalities $U = VS$ and $V = UT$.

Then $U = VS = (UT)S = U(TS)$.

Since S, T are $(p \times p)$ -square matrices, TS is also a $(p \times p)$ -square matrix.

For each $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, p$, denote the j -th column of TS by \mathbf{g}_j .

[We want to verify that $\mathbf{g}_j = \mathbf{e}_j^{(p)}$.]

Note that $U(I_p - TS) = U - UTS = \mathbf{0}_{n \times p}$.

Then, for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$, the j -th column of $I_p - TS$ is $\mathbf{e}_j^{(p)} - \mathbf{g}_j$.

Then we have $U(\mathbf{e}_j^{(p)} - \mathbf{g}_j) = \mathbf{0}_n$. Therefore $\mathbf{e}_j^{(p)} - \mathbf{g}_j$ is a solution of the homogeneous system $\mathcal{LS}(U, \mathbf{0}_n)$.

Since $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_p$ constitute a basis for \mathcal{W} over the reals, $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_p$ are linearly independent over the reals.

Then, by Lemma (#), the homogeneous system $\mathcal{LS}(U, \mathbf{0}_n)$ has no non-trivial solution.

Therefore $\mathbf{e}_j^{(p)} - \mathbf{g}_j = \mathbf{0}_n$. Hence $\mathbf{g}_j = \mathbf{e}_j^{(p)}$.

It follows that $TS = I_p$.

iv. Repeating the argument above, but starting with the equalities $V = UT = (VS)T = V(ST)$, we also deduce the equality $ST = I_p$ (from the assumption that $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_p$ constitute a basis for \mathcal{W} over the reals, and with the help of Lemma (#)).

It follows that S, T are invertible, and they are matrix inverses of each other.

v. [We want to verify that S is the only invertible $(p \times p)$ -square matrix for which the equality $U = VS$ holds.]

Let \tilde{S} be an invertible $(p \times p)$ -square matrix. Suppose the equality $U = V\tilde{S}$ holds.

For each $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, p$, denote the j -th column of \tilde{S} by $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_j$, and the (i, j) -th entry of \tilde{S} by \tilde{s}_{ij} .

[We want to verify that $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_j = \mathbf{s}_j$ for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$.]

We have the equalities $U = VS$ and $U = V\tilde{S}$. Then $V(S - \tilde{S}) = \mathbf{0}_{n \times p}$.

For each $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$, the j -th column of $S - \tilde{S}$ is $\mathbf{s}_j - \tilde{\mathbf{s}}_j$.

Then $V(\mathbf{s}_j - \tilde{\mathbf{s}}_j) = \mathbf{0}_n$. Therefore $\mathbf{s}_j - \tilde{\mathbf{s}}_j$ is a solution of the homogeneous system $\mathcal{LS}(V, \mathbf{0}_n)$.

Since $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_p$ constitute a basis for \mathcal{W} over the reals, $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_p$ are linearly independent over the reals.

Then, by Lemma (#), the homogeneous system $\mathcal{LS}(V, \mathbf{0}_n)$ has no non-trivial solution.

Therefore $\mathbf{s}_j - \tilde{\mathbf{s}}_j = \mathbf{0}_n$. Hence $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_j = \mathbf{s}_j$.

It follows that $\tilde{S} = S$.

(b) Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{W}$, and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_p \in \mathbb{R}$.

Suppose $\mathbf{x} = \alpha_1\mathbf{u}_1 + \alpha_2\mathbf{u}_2 + \dots + \alpha_p\mathbf{u}_p$ and $\mathbf{x} = \beta_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \beta_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + \beta_p\mathbf{v}_p$.

Write $\mathbf{a} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_p \end{bmatrix}$, $\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_p \end{bmatrix}$.

We have $\mathbf{x} = U\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{x} = V\mathbf{b}$.

Then $V\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{x} = U\mathbf{a} = VS\mathbf{a}$.

Therefore $V(\mathbf{b} - S\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{0}_n$.

Hence $\mathbf{b} - S\mathbf{a}$ is a solution of the homogeneous system $\mathcal{LS}(V, \mathbf{0}_n)$.

Again using the linear independence of $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_p$, and applying Lemma (#) again, we deduce the equality $\mathbf{b} - S\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0}_p$.

Then $\mathbf{b} = S\mathbf{a}$.