### 3.4.1 Uniqueness of reduced row-echelon form row-equivalent to given matrix.

0 . The material in this appendix is supplementary.

1. Here we give a proof for the result on the uniqueness of the reduced row-echelon form row-equivalent to any arbitrarily given matrix.
Theorem (7). (Uniqueness of reduced row-echelon form which is row-equivalent to a given matrix.) Suppose that $A$ is a matrix, and $B, C$ are reduced row-echelon forms.
Further suppose that $B$ is row-equivalent to $A$, and $C$ is also row-equivalent to $A$.
Then $B=C$.
2. Observations on the statement of Theorem (7), and on how we shall apply mathematical induction to prove Theorem (7).
In view of the basic properties of row-equivalence, Theorem (7) is logically equivalent to the statement ( $\dagger$ ):
$(\dagger)$ Suppose $B, C$ are row-echelon forms which are row-equivalent to each other. Then $B=C$.
By making a 'slight twist' in the wording, we may re-formulate the statement $(\dagger)$ as the statement $(\ddagger)$ :
$(\ddagger)$ Let $r$ be a non-negative integer. Suppose $B, C$ are row-echelon forms which are row-equivalent to each other, and suppose the rank of $C$ is $r$. Then $B=C$.

In view of the above, we shall prove Theorem (7) by applying the method of mathematical induction to prove the statement ( $\ddagger$ ).
3. In the argument for the statement ( $\ddagger$ ), we take for granted the validity of Theorem (6) and Theorem (9).

Theorem (6).
Let $B, C$ be $(p \times q)$-matrix. Denote the $j$-th columns of $B, C$ by $\mathbf{b}_{j}, \mathbf{c}_{j}$ respectively for each $j=1,2, \cdots, q$.
Suppose $B$ is row-equivalent to $C$. Then the statements below hold:-
(a) If $\mathbf{b}_{j}$ is a linear combination of $\mathbf{b}_{k_{1}}, \mathbf{b}_{k_{2}}, \cdots, \mathbf{b}_{k_{n}}$ with respect to scalars $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}$ then $\mathbf{c}_{j}$ is a linear combination of $\mathbf{c}_{k_{1}}, \mathbf{c}_{k_{2}}, \cdots, \mathbf{c}_{k_{n}}$ with respect to scalars $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}$.
(b) If $\mathbf{b}_{k_{1}}, \mathbf{b}_{k_{2}}, \cdots, \mathbf{b}_{k_{n}}$ are linearly dependent with the non-trivial relation $\alpha_{1} \mathbf{b}_{k_{1}}+\alpha_{2} \mathbf{b}_{k_{2}}+\cdots+\alpha_{n} \mathbf{b}_{k_{n}}=\mathbf{0}_{p}$, then $\mathbf{c}_{k_{1}}, \mathbf{c}_{k_{2}}, \cdots, \mathbf{c}_{k_{n}}$ are linear dependent with the non-trivial relation $\alpha_{1} \mathbf{c}_{k_{1}}+\alpha_{2} \mathbf{c}_{k_{2}}+\cdots+\alpha_{n} \mathbf{c}_{k_{n}}=\mathbf{0}_{p}$.
(c) If $\mathbf{b}_{k_{1}}, \mathbf{b}_{k_{2}}, \cdots, \mathbf{b}_{k_{n}}$ are linearly independent, then $\mathbf{c}_{k_{1}}, \mathbf{c}_{k_{2}}, \cdots, \mathbf{c}_{k_{n}}$ are linear independent.

Theorem (9). ('Linear relations' amongst columns of a reduced row-echelon form.)
Let $C$ be a reduced row-echelon form with $q$ columns. Denote the $j$-th column of $C$ by $\mathbf{c}_{j}$ for each $j=1,2, \cdots, q$, and denote the $(k, \ell)$-th entry of $C$ by $\gamma_{k \ell}$ for each $k, \ell$.
Denote the rank of $C$ by $r$, and suppose the pivot columns of $C$, from left to right, are the $d_{1}-t h, d_{2}$-th, $\ldots . d_{r}$-th columns of $C$.
Then:-
(a) $\mathbf{c}_{d_{1}}, \mathbf{c}_{d_{2}}, \cdots, \mathbf{c}_{d_{r}}$ are linearly independent.
(b) For each $j=1,2, \cdots, q$, if $\mathbf{c}_{j}$ is a free column, and the pivot columns strictly to the left of $\mathbf{c}_{j}$ are the $d_{1}$-th, $d_{2}$-th, ..., $d_{h}$-th columns, then $\mathbf{c}_{j}$ is a linear combination of $\mathbf{c}_{d_{1}}, \mathbf{c}_{d_{2}}, \cdots, \mathbf{c}_{d_{h}}$, with the linear relation $\mathbf{c}_{j}=\gamma_{1 j} \mathbf{c}_{d_{1}}+\gamma_{2 j} \mathbf{c}_{d_{2}}+\cdots+\gamma_{h j} \mathbf{c}_{d_{h}}$.
(c) For each $k=1,2, \cdots, r$, the $d_{k}$-th column of $C$, (which is the column vector $\mathbf{c}_{d_{k}}$,) is not a linear combination of the columns of $C$ strictly to its left.
In particular, the $d_{k}$-th column of $C$ is not a linear combination of the $d_{1}-t h, d_{2}-t h, \ldots, d_{k-1}-t h$ columns of $C$.
4. Proof of the statement $(\ddagger)$.

Denote by $P(r)$ the proposition below:-
'Suppose $B, C$ are row-echelon forms of the same size which are row-equivalent to each other, and suppose the rank of $C$ is $r$. Then $B=C$.
We verify $P(0)$ :
Suppose $B, C$ are row-echelon forms of the same size which are row-equivalent to each other, and suppose the rank of $C$ is 0 .
[We ask: Is it true that $B=C$ ?]
Since the rank of $C$ is 0 , there is no non-zero row in $C$. Then $C$ is a zero matrix.
Since $B$ is row-equivalent to $C$, there is some invertible matrix $G$ such that $B=G C$. Since $C$ is the zero matrix, $B$ is also the zero matrix. Then $B=C$.
It follows that $P(0)$ is true.
Let $s$ be a non-negative integer. Suppose $P(s)$ is true. We deduce that $P(s+1)$ is true (with the help of $P(s)$ ):
(a) Suppose $B, C$ are row-echelon forms of the same size which are row-equivalent to each other, and suppose the rank of $C$ is $s$.
Suppose the pivot columns of $C$ are the $d_{1}$-th, $d_{2}$-th, $\ldots, d_{s}$-th, $d_{s+1}$-th columns. Denote these column vectors by $\mathbf{c}_{d_{1}}, \mathbf{c}_{d_{2}}, \cdots, \mathbf{c}_{d_{s}}, \mathbf{c}_{d_{s+1}}$.
Note that for each $j=1,2, \cdots, s+1$, the $j$-th entry of $\mathbf{c}_{d_{j}}$ is 1 , and all other entries of $\mathbf{c}_{d_{j}}$ are 0 .
(b) Write $B=\left[B_{\sharp} \mid B_{\sharp}\right], C=\left[C_{\sharp} \mid C_{\text {只 }}\right]$, in which:-

- $B_{\sharp}$ is the matrix consisting of all the columns of $B$ strictly to the left of the $d_{s+1}$ - th column of $B$,
- $B_{\natural}$ is the rest of $B$, starting from the $d_{s+1}$-th column of $B$, and ending at the last column of $B$,
- $C_{\sharp}$ is the matrix consisting of all the columns of $C$ strictly to the left of the $d_{s+1}$-th column of $C$, and
- $C_{\mathrm{y}}$ is the rest of $C$, starting from the $d_{s+1}$ - th column of $C$, and ending at the last column of $C$.

By construction, $B_{\sharp}, C_{\sharp}$ are reduced row-echelon forms and they are row-equivalent.
Moreover, by construction, the rank of $C_{\sharp}$ is $s$.
(c) By $P(s)$, we have $B_{\sharp}=C_{\sharp}$. So $B=\left[C_{\sharp} \mid B_{\sharp}\right]$.
(d) We are going to show that the $d_{s+1}$-th column of $B$ is the same as that of $C$, namely, $\mathbf{c}_{d_{s+1}}$ :-
i. By definition, the $d_{s+1}$-th column of $C$, which is the last pivot column of $C$, is not a linear combination of the $d_{1}$-th, $d_{2}$-th, $\ldots, d_{s}$-th columns of $C$, which are first $s$ pivot columns of $C$.
ii. Since $B$ is row-equivalent to $C$, the $d_{s+1}$-th column of $B$, which is the first column of $B_{\natural}$, is not a linear combination of the $d_{1}$-th, $d_{2}$-th, ..., $d_{s}$-th columns of $B$, which are respectively the $d_{1}$-th, $d_{2}$-th, $\ldots, d_{s}$-th columns of $C_{\sharp}$, and are respectively given by $\mathbf{c}_{d_{1}}, \mathbf{c}_{d_{2}}, \cdots, \mathbf{c}_{d_{s}}$.
iii. Then the $d_{s+1}$-th column of $B$ has at least one non-zero entry starting from the $(s+1)$-th entry downwards. [Ask: Can we pinpoint such an entry?]
iv. Recall that the rank of $C_{\sharp}$ is $s$. Then all rows in $C_{\sharp}$ below the $s$-th row are rows of 0 's.

As $B$ is a reduced row-echelon form, it is then along the $d_{s+1^{-}}$th column of $B$ that the first non-zero entry of a non-zero row of $B$ appears.
Then the $d_{s+1}$-th column of $B$ is a pivot column of $B$. It is the $(s+1)$-th pivot column of $B$ (after the $d_{1}$-th, $d_{2}$-th, $\ldots, d_{s}$-th columns of $B$ ), and therefore it is the same as $\mathbf{c}_{d_{s+1}}$.
(e) We are going to complete the argument for the equality $B=C$, by studying the columns of $B, C$ strictly to the right of their respective $d_{s+1}$ - th column:-
i. For each $\ell>d_{s+1}$, denote the respective $\ell$-th columns of $B, C$ by $\mathbf{b}_{\ell}, \mathbf{c}_{\ell}$. For each $k$, denote the respective $k$-th entries of $\mathbf{b}_{\ell}, \mathbf{c}_{\ell}$ by $\beta_{k \ell}, \gamma_{k \ell}$.
ii. Since $C$ is a reduced row-echelon form whose pivot columns are the $d_{1}$-th, $d_{2}$-th, $\ldots, d_{s}$-th, $d_{s+1}$-th columns, $\mathbf{c}_{\ell}$ is the linear combination of the $d_{1}$-th, $d_{2}$-th, ... $d_{s^{-}}$-th, $d_{s+1}$ - th columns of $C$ with respect to the scalars $\gamma_{1 \ell}, \gamma_{2 \ell}, \cdots, \gamma_{s \ell}, \gamma_{s+1, \ell}$.
iii. Since $B$ is row-equivalent to $C$, we have $\mathbf{b}_{\ell}$ is the linear combination of the $d_{1}$-th, $d_{2}$-th, $\ldots, d_{s}$-th, $d_{s+1}$-th columns of $B$ (which are now known to be $\mathbf{c}_{d_{1}}, \mathbf{c}_{d_{2}}, \cdots, \mathbf{c}_{d_{s}}, \mathbf{c}_{d_{s+1}}$ respectively) with respect to the scalars $\gamma_{1 \ell}, \gamma_{2 \ell}, \cdots, \gamma_{s \ell}, \gamma_{s+1, \ell}$.
Then $\mathbf{b}_{\ell}=\gamma_{1 \ell} \mathbf{c}_{d_{1}}+\gamma_{2 \ell} \mathbf{c}_{d_{2}}+\cdots+\gamma_{s \ell} \mathbf{c}_{d_{s}}+\gamma_{s+1, \ell} \mathbf{c}_{d_{s+1}}=\mathbf{c}_{\ell}$.
Hence $B=C$.
It follows that $P(s+1)$ is true.
By the Principle of Mathematical Induction, $P(r)$ is true for any non-negative integer $r$.

