

## 2.2 Row-echelon forms and reduced row-echelon forms.

0. *Assumed background.*

- 2.1 Systems of linear equations.

*Preferred to have been prepared with.*

- 1.5 Linear combinations.
- 1.6 Linear dependence and linear independence.

*Abstract.* We introduce:—

- the notion of row-echelon forms, and rank of row-echelon forms,
- the notions of reduced row-echelon forms, leading ones, pivot columns and free columns.

### 1. Definition. (Row-echelon form.)

Let  $C$  be a  $(p \times q)$ -matrix.

We say that  $C$  is a **row-echelon form** if and only if the statements below hold:

- (1) All rows consisting of only 0's are beneath the non-zero rows of  $C$ .
- (2) In each pair of adjacent non-zero rows, the first (or left-most) non-zero entry in the row above is always strictly to the left of that in the row below.

The number of non-zero rows in  $C$  is called the **rank of the row-echelon form**  $C$ .

A column of  $C$  in which the first (or left-most) non-zero entry of some non-zero row is located is called a **pivot column of  $C$** .

A column of  $C$  which is not a pivot column is called a **free column of  $C$** .

**Further convention and terminology.**

In such a row-echelon form  $C$  with, say,  $r$  non-zero rows (and hence  $r$  pivot columns):—

- the pivot columns are labelled, from left to right, the  $d_1$ -th,  $d_2$ -th,  $d_3$ -th, ...  $d_r$ -th columns, and
- the free columns are labelled, from left to right, the  $f_1$ -th,  $f_2$ -th,  $f_3$ -th, ...,  $f_{q-r}$ -th columns.

**Visualization.**

In such a row-echelon form  $C$ , the zeros to the left of the first non-zero entries of the various non-zero rows form something like a 'staircase' of zeros, and the first non-zero entries form something like step-edges of this 'staircase'.

As there are  $r$  non-zero rows in total,  $C$  reads like:—

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc} 0 & \cdots & 0 & \#_1 & * & \cdots & * & * & * & \cdots & * & * & * & \cdots & * & * & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \#_2 & * & \cdots & * & * & * & \cdots & * & * & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \#_3 & * & \cdots & * & * & * & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \#_r & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

The symbol  $\mathbf{0}$  stands for a column of zeros.

The symbols  $\#_1, \#_2, \#_3, \dots, \#_r$  stand for the respective first non-zero entry in the non-zero rows. The columns in which these entries are located are the pivot columns of  $C$ : they are the  $d_1$ -th,  $d_2$ -th,  $d_3$ -th, ...  $d_r$ -th columns respectively.

**Remark.** This version of definition is one of several (slightly) different (but equally reasonable) versions of definitions for the phrase *row-echelon form*.

### 2. Example (1). (Matrices which are row-echelon forms, and those which are not.)

(a) These are row-echelon forms:

i.  $\left[ \begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$

iii.  $\left[ \begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$

v.  $\left[ \begin{array}{c|cccccc} 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 9 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$

ii.  $\left[ \begin{array}{cccccc} 2 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 8 \end{array} \right]$

iv.  $\left[ \begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$

vi.  $\left[ \begin{array}{c|cccccc} 0 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$

(b) These are not row-echelon forms:

$$\text{i. } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{ii. } \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 9 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{iii. } \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 9 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

### 3. Definition. (Reduced row-echelon form.)

Let  $C$  be a  $(p \times q)$ -matrix.

We say  $C$  is said to be a **reduced row-echelon form** if and only if  $C$  is a row-echelon form and furthermore, the statements below hold:—

- (3) In each non-zero row, the first (or left-most) non-zero entry is 1. Such an entry is called a **leading one**.
- (4) Each leading one is the only non-zero entry in the column where it is located.

#### Visualization.

Such a reduced row-echelon form  $C$  reads like:—

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc} 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \star & \cdots & \star & 0 & \star & \cdots & \star & 0 & \star & \cdots & \star & 0 & \star & \cdots & \star \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \star & \cdots & \star & 0 & \star & \cdots & \star & 0 & \star & \cdots & \star \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \star & \cdots & \star & 0 & \star & \cdots & \star \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & & \ddots & & \mathbf{0} & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \star & \cdots & \star \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \end{array} \right]$$

The zeros to the left of the leading ones of the various non-zero rows form something like a ‘staircase’ of zeros, and the leading ones form something like step-edges of this ‘staircase’.

**Remark.** The rank of the reduced row-echelon form  $C$  is simultaneously

- the number of non-zero rows in  $C$ ,
- the number of leading ones in  $C$ , and
- the number of pivot columns in  $C$ .

The pivot columns of  $C$  are those columns of  $C$  which contain leading ones of  $C$ .

The free columns of  $C$  are those columns of  $C$  which do not contain leading ones of  $C$ .

**Further remark.** Looking carefully at the ‘visualization’ of the reduced row-echelon form  $C$  (and also the concrete examples of reduced row-echelon forms), we may suspect immediately that in any reduced row-echelon form:—

- (a) the pivot columns are linearly independent,
- (b) each free column is a linear combination of the pivot columns strictly to its left, and
- (c) the non-zero rows are linearly independent.

In fact, this is also the case in row-echelon forms. However, as we do not need this result at the moment, we will omit it for now.

### 4. Example (2). (Row-echelon forms which are reduced row-echelon forms, and those which are not).

(a) These are reduced row-echelon forms:

$$\text{i. } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{ii. } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{iii. } \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 9 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) These are not reduced row-echelon forms, despite being row-echelon forms:

$$\text{i. } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{ii. } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{iii. } \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 9 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Remark.** Every reduced row-echelon form is a row-echelon form in the first place. If a matrix is not a row-echelon form, then it is definitely not a reduced row-echelon form.

5. **How to check whether a given matrix is a row-echelon form, or a reduced row-echelon form?**

Suppose  $C$  is a matrix.

Proceeding as described below, we check whether  $C$  is a row-echelon form, and whether  $C$  is a reduced row-echelon form.

**Step (1).** Inspect  $C$  and ask:—

Is it of the form  $\left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \mathcal{O} & \tilde{C} \\ \hline \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} \end{array} \right]$  for some matrix  $\tilde{C}$  whose  $(1, 1)$ -th entry is non-zero and whose last row is non-zero?

(Here the  $\mathcal{O}$ 's stand for zero matrices of various sizes.)

- If *no*, conclude that  $C$  is not a row-echelon form.
- If *yes*, go to Step (2).

**Step (2).** Inspect  $\tilde{C}$  row by row, and ask, for each pair of consecutive rows:—

Is the first non-zero entry in the row above strictly to the left of that in the row below it?

- If *no* (for some pair of consecutive rows), conclude that  $C$  is not a row-echelon form.
- If *yes* (for every pair of consecutive rows), conclude that  $C$  is a row echelon form (and  $\tilde{C}$  is a row-echelon form), and go to Step (3).

**Step (3).** Inspect the respective first non-zero entries in each row, and ask:—

Are these entries all 1's?

- If *no*, conclude that  $C$  is not a reduced row-echelon form (and  $\tilde{C}$  is a not a reduced row-echelon form).
- If *yes*, go to Step (4).

**Step (4).** Inspect the columns which contain these entries, and ask:—

Is each of these 1's the only non-zero entry in the respective column in  $\tilde{C}$ ?

- If *no* (for some such column), conclude that  $C$  is not a reduced row-echelon form (and  $\tilde{C}$  is a not a reduced row-echelon form).
- If *yes* (for every such column), conclude that  $C$  is a reduced row-echelon form (and  $\tilde{C}$  is a reduced row-echelon form).

6. **Question.** Why are we interested in reduced row-echelon forms?

**Answer.** We are interested in reduced row-echelon forms because it is easy to read off information about solutions of a system of linear equations when its augmented matrix representation is a reduced row-echelon form. To be more detailed:—

- It is easy to read off from the matrix whether the system is consistent.
- If it is consistent, it is easy to read off from the matrix all solutions of the system.
- The matrix itself suggests how to present all solutions in a systematic and economic way, as linear combinations of a few linearly independent column vectors whose entries can be read off from the matrix.

7. **Example (3).** (Motivation and illustration for the content of Theorem (1) and Theorem (2).)

(a) Let  $C = \left[ \begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 \end{array} \right]$ .

Note that  $C$  is a reduced row-echelon form, with:—

- 1-st, 2-nd, 3-rd, 4-th columns as pivot columns, and
- 5-th column as its (only) free column.

$C$  is the augmented matrix representation of the system  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$ , in which

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

When it is written out explicitly as simultaneous equations (for numbers) whose respective unknowns are  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$ , it reads:—

$$\begin{cases} x_1 & & & & = & 1 \\ & x_2 & & & = & 2 \\ & & x_3 & & = & 3 \\ & & & x_4 & = & 4 \end{cases}$$

It follows that the system  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$  has one and only solution, namely  $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Hence  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$  is consistent.

(b) Let  $C = \left[ \begin{array}{ccccc|c} 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$ .

Note that  $C$  is a reduced row-echelon form, with:—

- 1-st, 3-rd, 4-th, 6-th columns as pivot columns, and
- 2-nd, 5-th columns as free columns.

$C$  is the augmented matrix representation of the system  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$ , in which

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 9 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

When it is written out explicitly as simultaneous equations (for numbers) whose respective unknowns are  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5$ , the fourth equation reads:—

$$0 \cdot x_1 + 0 \cdot x_2 + 0 \cdot x_3 + 0 \cdot x_4 + 0 \cdot x_5 = 1,$$

from which no equality will be yielded no matter what numbers are substituted into the unknowns.

It follows that the system  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$  is inconsistent.

(c) Let  $C = \left[ \begin{array}{ccccc|c} 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$ .

Note that  $C$  is a reduced row-echelon form, with:—

- 1-st, 3-rd, 4-th columns as pivot columns, and
- 2-nd, 5-th, 6-th columns as free columns.

$C$  is the augmented matrix representation of the system  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$ , in which

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 9 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- i. We write out the system explicitly as simultaneous equations (for numbers) whose respective unknowns are  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5$ . It reads:—

$$\begin{cases} x_1 + 3x_2 & & + 9x_5 & = & 0 \\ & x_3 & & = & 1 \\ & & x_4 + 4x_5 & = & 2 \\ & & & 0 & = & 0 \end{cases}$$

- ii. From these equations, we see that a substitution of ' $x_2 = 0, x_5 = 0$ ' and ' $x_1 = 0, x_3 = 1, x_4 = 2$ ' yields equalities everywhere.

It follows that  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is a solution of  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$ .

Hence  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$  is consistent.

- iii. We now prepare to read off all solutions of  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$ :—

- we first ignore the equation ' $0 = 0$ ' (which provides no information), and

- we then rewrite the remaining equations as a collection of three simultaneous ‘relations’, which ‘relate’ each unknown with  $x_2, x_5$  alone:—

$$(S) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 = & -3x_2 & -9x_5 \\ x_3 = & 1 & \\ x_4 = & 2 & -4x_5 \end{cases}$$

Now, recalling the definitions for vector equality, vector addition and scalar multiplication, we re-write (S) as:—

$$(S') \quad \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \\ x_5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_2 \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_5 \begin{bmatrix} -9 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Remember (S') is  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$  in disguise.

- iv. We are now ready to read off all solutions of  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$ .

Note that (S') informs us of two things:—

- A. If  $\mathbf{t}$  is a solution of  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$  then

$$\mathbf{t} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + u \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + v \begin{bmatrix} -9 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for some numbers } u, v.$$

- B. If

$$\mathbf{t} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + u \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + v \begin{bmatrix} -9 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for some numbers } u, v,$$

then  $\mathbf{t}$  is a solution of  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$ .

So it follows that a full description of all solutions of  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$  is given by:—

- $\mathbf{t}$  is a solution of  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$  if and only if

$$\text{there are some numbers } u, v \text{ such that } \mathbf{t} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + u \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + v \begin{bmatrix} -9 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- v. We further observe that the column vectors constructed with the entries of the non-last free columns of  $C$

in this process, namely,  $\begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} -9 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , are linearly independent. Justification:—

- Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  be real numbers. Suppose  $\alpha_1 \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \alpha_2 \begin{bmatrix} -9 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}_5$ .

$$\text{Then } \begin{bmatrix} -3\alpha_1 - 9\alpha_2 \\ \alpha_1 \\ 0 \\ -4\alpha_2 \\ \alpha_2 \end{bmatrix} = \alpha_1 \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \alpha_2 \begin{bmatrix} -9 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}_5.$$

By the definition of matrix equality, we have  $\alpha_1 = 0$  and  $\alpha_2 = 0$ .

## 8. Theorem (1).

Let  $A$  be an  $(m \times n)$ -matrix, and  $\mathbf{b}$  be a column vector with  $m$  entries.

Denote by  $C$  the augmented matrix representation of  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$ . (So  $C = [A \mid \mathbf{b}]$ .)

Suppose  $C$  is a reduced row-echelon form with rank  $r$ .

Then the entries of  $A, \mathbf{b}$  beneath the respective  $r$ -th rows are all 0.

Moreover, the statements below are logically equivalent:—

- $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$  is consistent.
- The last column of  $C$  is a free column.

(c) No row of  $C$  reads  $[ 0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 ]$ .

9. **Theorem (2).** (Full description of solutions for a consistent system with augmented matrix representation being a reduced row-echelon form.)

Let  $A$  be an  $(m \times n)$ -matrix, and  $\mathbf{b}$  be a column vector with  $m$  entries.

Denote by  $C$  the augmented matrix representation of  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$ . (So  $C = [ A \mid \mathbf{b} ]$ .)

Suppose  $C$  is a reduced row-echelon form with  $r$  leading ones,

- whose pivot columns, from left to right, are the  $d_1$ -th,  $d_2$ -th, ...,  $d_r$ -th columns, and
- whose free columns, from left to right, are the  $f_1$ -th,  $f_2$ -th, ...,  $f_{n-r}$ -th,  $f_{n+1-r}$ -th columns.

Also suppose  $d_1 = 1$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$  is consistent.

Then the statements below hold:—

- (a)  $r \leq n$ .
- (b) The  $f_{(n+1-r)}$ -th column of  $C$  is the last column of  $C$ , namely,  $\mathbf{b}$ .
- (c) Denote the top  $r$  entries of  $\mathbf{b}$  by  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r$ , from the top downwards.

Denote by  $\mathbf{p}$  the column vector with  $n$  entries in which:—

- the  $d_1$ -th,  $d_2$ -th, ...,  $d_r$ -th entries are  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r$  respectively, and
- all other entries are 0.

Then  $\mathbf{p}$  is a (particular) solution of  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$ .

- (d) Suppose  $r = n$ .

(So there is no free column in  $C$  other than the last column of  $C$ .)

Then  $\mathbf{p}$  is the one and only one solution of  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$ .

- (e) Suppose  $r < n$  (instead of supposing ‘ $r = n$ ’).

(So some other column in  $C$  other than the last column of  $C$  is a free column.)

For each  $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, n - r$ , denote the top  $r$  entries of the  $f_\ell$ -th column by  $\alpha_{1\ell}, \alpha_{2\ell}, \dots, \alpha_{r\ell}$ , from the top downwards.

Further denote by  $\mathbf{q}_\ell$  the column vector with  $n$  entries, in which:—

- the  $d_1$ -th,  $d_2$ -th, ...,  $d_r$ -th entries are  $-\alpha_{1\ell}, -\alpha_{2\ell}, \dots, -\alpha_{r\ell}$ ,
- the  $f_\ell$ -th entry is 1, and
- all other entries are 0.

Then the statements below hold:—

- i. The column vectors  $\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \dots, \mathbf{q}_{n-r}$  are linearly independent.
- ii. Suppose  $\mathbf{t}$  is a column vector with  $n$  entries.  
Then  $\mathbf{t}$  is a solution of  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$  if and only if

there are some numbers  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-r}$  such that  $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{p} + u_1\mathbf{q}_1 + u_2\mathbf{q}_2 + \dots + u_{n-r}\mathbf{q}_{n-r}$ .

- iii. The system  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$  has distinct solutions.

**Remark.** We omit the argument for Theorem (1) and Theorem (2), as this is only a tedious exercise in book-keeping.

In terms of the symbols in the statement of Theorem (2), the conclusion of Theorem (2) informs us on how to read off all solutions of  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$  in concrete situations:—

- With the respective unknowns  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  displayed explicitly, we may re-write the system as the collection of  $r$  simultaneous ‘relations’, relating each unknown with  $x_{f_1}, x_{f_2}, \dots, x_{f_{n-r}}$  alone:—

$$(S) : \begin{cases} x_{d_1} = b_1 - \alpha_{11}x_{f_1} - \alpha_{12}x_{f_2} - \alpha_{13}x_{f_3} - \cdots - \alpha_{1,n-r}x_{f_{n-r}} \\ x_{d_2} = b_2 - \alpha_{21}x_{f_1} - \alpha_{22}x_{f_2} - \alpha_{23}x_{f_3} - \cdots - \alpha_{2,n-r}x_{f_{n-r}} \\ x_{d_3} = b_3 - \alpha_{31}x_{f_1} - \alpha_{32}x_{f_2} - \alpha_{33}x_{f_3} - \cdots - \alpha_{3,n-r}x_{f_{n-r}} \\ \vdots \\ x_{d_r} = b_r - \alpha_{r1}x_{f_1} - \alpha_{r2}x_{f_2} - \alpha_{r3}x_{f_3} - \cdots - \alpha_{r,n-r}x_{f_{n-r}} \end{cases}$$

In fact  $\alpha_{k\ell} = 0$  whenever  $k > \ell$  (because  $C$  is a reduced row-echelon form). So even here there are a lot of 0’s.

Now further using the definitions for vector equality, vector addition and scalar multiplication, we re-write (S) as:—

$$(S') : \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{p} + x_{f_1} \mathbf{q}_1 + x_{f_2} \mathbf{q}_2 + x_{f_3} \mathbf{q}_3 + \cdots + x_{f_{n-r}} \mathbf{q}_{n-r}$$

It is this re-expression of  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$  as (S') which tells us how to present all solutions of  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$  in a systematic and economic way, as linear combinations of several linearly independent column vectors whose entries are read off from  $C$ .

10. Theorem (1) and Theorem (2) cover all systems of linear equations, whether homogeneous or non-homogeneous. However, for a homogeneous system, we only need to focus on its coefficient matrix.

**Theorem (3).**

Let  $A$  be an  $(m \times n)$ -matrix, and  $C$  be the augmented matrix representation of the homogeneous system  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{0}_m)$ .

Suppose  $A$  is a reduced row-echelon form whose first column is a pivot column and which has  $r$  leading ones.

Then  $C$  is a reduced row-echelon form whose first column is a pivot column and which has  $r$  leading ones.

Moreover:—

- (a)  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{0}_m)$  is consistent, with  $\mathbf{0}_n$  being a solution of the system.
- (b) The inequality  $r \leq n$  holds.
- (c) i. If  $r = n$  then  $\mathbf{0}_n$  is the one and only one solution of  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{0}_m)$ .  
ii. If  $r < n$  then  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$  has distinct solutions, and in particular, some non-trivial solution.

**Proof of Theorem (3).** Exercise. (How  $A$  and  $C$  relate with each other is a game of words on definitions. The rest of the result follows from Theorem (2).)

11. **Example (4). (Illustration on the content of Theorem (3).)**

(a) Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 0 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 9 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Note that  $A$  is a reduced row-echelon form, with:—

- 1-st, 3-rd, 4-th, 5-th, 7-th columns as pivot columns, and
- 2-nd, 6-th, 8-th columns as free columns.

$A$  is the coefficient matrix of the homogeneous system  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{0}_5)$ .

We write out the system explicitly as simultaneous equations (for numbers) whose respective unknowns are  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_8$ . It reads:—

$$\begin{cases} x_1 + 2x_2 & & & & + 3x_6 & & - 2x_8 = 0 \\ & x_3 & & & + 5x_6 & & - 3x_8 = 0 \\ & & x_4 & & + 7x_6 & & + 8x_8 = 0 \\ & & & x_5 & + 9x_6 & & + 6x_8 = 0 \\ & & & & & x_7 & + 4x_8 = 0 \end{cases}$$

To see how to read off all solutions of  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{0}_5)$ , we rewrite the equations as a collection of three simultaneous 'relations', which 'relate' each unknown with  $x_2, x_6, x_8$  alone:—

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = -2x_2 - 3x_6 + 2x_8 \\ x_3 = -5x_6 + 3x_8 \\ x_4 = -7x_6 - 8x_8 \\ x_5 = -9x_6 - 6x_8 \\ x_7 = -4x_8 \end{cases}$$

Now, using the definitions for vector equality, vector addition and scalar multiplication, we re-write these relations as:—

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \\ x_5 \\ x_6 \\ x_7 \\ x_8 \end{bmatrix} = x_2 \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_6 \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 0 \\ -5 \\ -7 \\ -9 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_8 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ -8 \\ -6 \\ 0 \\ -4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

It follows that a full description of all solutions of  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{0}_5)$  is given by:—

- $\mathbf{t}$  is a solution of  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{0}_5)$  if and only if

$$\text{there are some numbers } u, v, w \text{ such that } \mathbf{t} = u \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + v \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 0 \\ -5 \\ -7 \\ -9 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + w \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ -8 \\ -6 \\ 0 \\ -4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We note that the column vectors constructed with the entries of the free columns of  $A$  in this process, namely,

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 0 \\ -5 \\ -7 \\ -9 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ -8 \\ -6 \\ 0 \\ -4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ are linearly independent.}$$

(b) Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Note that  $A$  is a reduced row-echelon form, with every column being a pivot column.

$A$  is the coefficient matrix of the homogeneous system  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{0}_4)$ .

We write out the system explicitly as simultaneous equations (for numbers) whose respective unknowns are  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$ . It reads:—

$$\begin{cases} x_1 & & & & = & 0 \\ & x_2 & & & = & 0 \\ & & x_3 & & = & 0 \\ & & & x_4 & = & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & = & 0 \end{cases}$$

This tells us that the zero vector  $\mathbf{0}_4$  is the one and only one solution of the system  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{0}_5)$ .

The homogeneous system  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{0}_5)$  has no non-trivial solution.