

2.2 Row-echelon forms and reduced row-echelon forms.

0. *Assumed background.*

- 2.1 Systems of linear equations.

Preferred to have been prepared with.

- 1.5 Linear combinations.
- 1.6 Linear dependence and linear independence.

Abstract. We introduce:—

- the notion of row-echelon forms, and rank of row-echelon forms,
- the notions of reduced row-echelon forms, leading ones, pivot columns and free columns.

1. Definition. (Row-echelon form.)

Let C be a $(p \times q)$ -matrix.

We say that C is a **row-echelon form** if and only if the statements below hold:

- (1) All rows consisting of only 0's are beneath the non-zero rows of C .
- (2) In each pair of adjacent non-zero rows, the first (or left-most) non-zero entry in the row above is always strictly to the left of that in the row below.

The number of non-zero rows in C is called the **rank of the row-echelon form** C .

A column of C in which the first (or left-most) non-zero entry of some non-zero row is located is called a **pivot column of C** .

A column of C which is not a pivot column is called a **free column of C** .

Further convention and terminology.

In such a row-echelon form C with, say, r non-zero rows (and hence r pivot columns):—

- the pivot columns are labelled, from left to right, the d_1 -th, d_2 -th, d_3 -th, ... d_r -th columns, and
- the free columns are labelled, from left to right, the f_1 -th, f_2 -th, f_3 -th, ..., f_{q-r} -th columns.

Visualization.

In such a row-echelon form C , the zeros to the left of the first non-zero entries of the various non-zero rows form something like a 'staircase' of zeros, and the first non-zero entries form something like step-edges of this 'staircase'.

As there are r non-zero rows in total, C reads like:—

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|ccc|ccc|ccc|ccc} 0 & \cdots & 0 & \#_1 & * & \cdots & * & * & * & \cdots & * & * & * & \cdots & * & * & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \#_2 & * & \cdots & * & * & * & \cdots & * & * & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \#_3 & * & \cdots & * & * & * & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \#_r & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

The symbol $\mathbf{0}$ stands for a column of zeros.

The symbols $\#_1, \#_2, \#_3, \dots, \#_r$ stand for the respective first non-zero entry in the non-zero rows. The columns in which these entries are located are the pivot columns of C : they are the d_1 -th, d_2 -th, d_3 -th, ... d_r -th columns respectively.

Remark. This version of definition is one of several (slightly) different (but equally reasonable) versions of definitions for the phrase *row-echelon form*.

2. Example (1). (Matrices which are row-echelon forms, and those which are not.)

(a) These are row-echelon forms:

i. $\left[\begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$

ii. $\left[\begin{array}{cccccc} 2 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 8 \end{array} \right]$

iii. $\left[\begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$

iv. $\left[\begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$

v. $\left[\begin{array}{c|cccccc} 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 9 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$

vi. $\left[\begin{array}{c|cccccc} 0 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$

(b) These are not row-echelon forms:

$$\text{i. } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{ii. } \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 9 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{iii. } \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 9 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

3. Definition. (Reduced row-echelon form.)

Let C be a $(p \times q)$ -matrix.

We say C is said to be a **reduced row-echelon form** if and only if C is a row-echelon form and furthermore, the statements below hold:—

- (3) In each non-zero row, the first (or left-most) non-zero entry is 1. Such an entry is called a **leading one**.
- (4) Each leading one is the only non-zero entry in the column where it is located.

Visualization.

Such a reduced row-echelon form C reads like:—

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc} 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \star & \cdots & \star & 0 & \star & \cdots & \star & 0 & \star & \cdots & \star & 0 & \star & \cdots & \star \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \star & \cdots & \star & 0 & \star & \cdots & \star & 0 & \star & \cdots & \star \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \star & \cdots & \star & 0 & \star & \cdots & \star \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & & \ddots & & \mathbf{0} & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \star & \cdots & \star \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \end{array} \right]$$

The zeros to the left of the leading ones of the various non-zero rows form something like a ‘staircase’ of zeros, and the leading ones form something like step-edges of this ‘staircase’.

Remark. The rank of the reduced row-echelon form C is simultaneously

- the number of non-zero rows in C ,
- the number of leading ones in C , and
- the number of pivot columns in C .

The pivot columns of C are those columns of C which contain leading ones of C .

The free columns of C are those columns of C which do not contain leading ones of C .

Further remark. Looking carefully at the ‘visualization’ of the reduced row-echelon form C (and also the concrete examples of reduced row-echelon forms), we may suspect immediately that in any reduced row-echelon form:—

- (a) the pivot columns are linearly independent,
- (b) each free column is a linear combination of the pivot columns strictly to its left, and
- (c) the non-zero rows are linearly independent.

In fact, this is also the case in row-echelon forms. However, as we do not need this result at the moment, we will omit it for now.

4. Example (2). (Row-echelon forms which are reduced row-echelon forms, and those which are not).

(a) These are reduced row-echelon forms:

$$\text{i. } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{ii. } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{iii. } \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 9 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) These are not reduced row-echelon forms, despite being row-echelon forms:

$$\text{i. } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{ii. } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{iii. } \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 9 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Remark. Every reduced row-echelon form is a row-echelon form in the first place. If a matrix is not a row-echelon form, then it is definitely not a reduced row-echelon form.

5. **How to check whether a given matrix is a row-echelon form, or a reduced row-echelon form?**

Suppose C is a matrix.

Proceeding as described below, we check whether C is a row-echelon form, and whether C is a reduced row-echelon form.

Step (1). Inspect C and ask:—

Is it of the form $\left[\begin{array}{c|c} \mathcal{O} & \tilde{C} \\ \hline \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} \end{array} \right]$ for some matrix \tilde{C} whose $(1, 1)$ -th entry is non-zero and whose last row is non-zero?

(Here the \mathcal{O} 's stand for zero matrices of various sizes.)

- If *no*, conclude that C is not a row-echelon form.
- If *yes*, go to Step (2).

Step (2). Inspect \tilde{C} row by row, and ask, for each pair of consecutive rows:—

Is the first non-zero entry in the row above strictly to the left of that in the row below it?

- If *no* (for some pair of consecutive rows), conclude that C is not a row-echelon form.
- If *yes* (for every pair of consecutive rows), conclude that C is a row echelon form (and \tilde{C} is a row-echelon form), and go to Step (3).

Step (3). Inspect the respective first non-zero entries in each row, and ask:—

Are these entries all 1's?

- If *no*, conclude that C is not a reduced row-echelon form (and \tilde{C} is a not a reduced row-echelon form).
- If *yes*, go to Step (4).

Step (4). Inspect the columns which contain these entries, and ask:—

Is each of these 1's the only non-zero entry in the respective column in \tilde{C} ?

- If *no* (for some such column), conclude that C is not a reduced row-echelon form (and \tilde{C} is a not a reduced row-echelon form).
- If *yes* (for every such column), conclude that C is a reduced row-echelon form (and \tilde{C} is a reduced row-echelon form).

6. **Question.** Why are we interested in reduced row-echelon forms?

Answer. We are interested in reduced row-echelon forms because it is easy to read off information about solutions of a system of linear equations when its augmented matrix representation is a reduced row-echelon form. To be more detailed:—

- It is easy to read off from the matrix whether the system is consistent.
- If it is consistent, it is easy to read off from the matrix all solutions of the system.
- The matrix itself suggests how to present all solutions in a systematic and economic way, as linear combinations of a few linearly independent column vectors whose entries can be read off from the matrix.

7. **Example (3).** (Motivation and illustration for the content of Theorem (1) and Theorem (2).)

(a) Let $C = \left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 \end{array} \right]$.

Note that C is a reduced row-echelon form, with:—

- 1-st, 2-nd, 3-rd, 4-th columns as pivot columns, and
- 5-th column as its (only) free column.

C is the augmented matrix representation of the system $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$, in which

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

When it is written out explicitly as simultaneous equations (for numbers) whose respective unknowns are x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 , it reads:—

$$\begin{cases} x_1 & & & & = & 1 \\ & x_2 & & & = & 2 \\ & & x_3 & & = & 3 \\ & & & x_4 & = & 4 \end{cases}$$

It follows that the system $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$ has one and only solution, namely $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$.

Hence $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$ is consistent.

(b) Let $C = \left[\begin{array}{ccccc|c} 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$.

Note that C is a reduced row-echelon form, with:—

- 1-st, 3-rd, 4-th, 6-th columns as pivot columns, and
- 2-nd, 5-th columns as free columns.

C is the augmented matrix representation of the system $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$, in which

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 9 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

When it is written out explicitly as simultaneous equations (for numbers) whose respective unknowns are x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 , the fourth equation reads:—

$$0 \cdot x_1 + 0 \cdot x_2 + 0 \cdot x_3 + 0 \cdot x_4 + 0 \cdot x_5 = 1,$$

from which no equality will be yielded no matter what numbers are substituted into the unknowns.

It follows that the system $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$ is inconsistent.

(c) Let $C = \left[\begin{array}{ccccc|c} 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$.

Note that C is a reduced row-echelon form, with:—

- 1-st, 3-rd, 4-th columns as pivot columns, and
- 2-nd, 5-th, 6-th columns as free columns.

C is the augmented matrix representation of the system $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$, in which

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 9 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- i. We write out the system explicitly as simultaneous equations (for numbers) whose respective unknowns are x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 . It reads:—

$$\begin{cases} x_1 + 3x_2 & & + 9x_5 & = & 0 \\ & x_3 & & = & 1 \\ & & x_4 + 4x_5 & = & 2 \\ & & & 0 & = & 0 \end{cases}$$

- ii. From these equations, we see that a substitution of ' $x_2 = 0, x_5 = 0$ ' and ' $x_1 = 0, x_3 = 1, x_4 = 2$ ' yield equalities everywhere.

It follows that $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is a solution of $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$.

Hence $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$ is consistent.

- iii. We now prepare to read off all solutions of $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$:—

- we first ignore the equation ' $0 = 0$ ' (which provides no information), and

- we then rewrite the remaining equations as a collection of three simultaneous ‘relations’, which ‘relate’ each unknown with x_2, x_5 alone:—

$$(S) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 = & -3x_2 & -9x_5 \\ x_3 = & 1 & \\ x_4 = & 2 & -4x_5 \end{cases}$$

Now, recalling the definitions for vector equality, vector addition and scalar multiplication, we re-write (S) as:—

$$(S') \quad \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \\ x_5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_2 \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_5 \begin{bmatrix} -9 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Remember (S') is $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$ in disguise.

- iv. We are now ready to read off all solutions of $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$.

Note that (S') informs us of two things:—

- A. If \mathbf{t} is a solution of $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$ then

$$\mathbf{t} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + u \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + v \begin{bmatrix} -9 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for some numbers } u, v.$$

- B. If

$$\mathbf{t} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + u \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + v \begin{bmatrix} -9 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for some numbers } u, v,$$

then \mathbf{t} is a solution of $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$.

So it follows that a full description of all solutions of $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$ is given by:—

- \mathbf{t} is a solution of $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$ if and only if

$$\text{there are some numbers } u, v \text{ such that } \mathbf{t} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + u \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + v \begin{bmatrix} -9 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- v. We further observe that the column vectors constructed with the entries of the non-last free columns of C

in this process, namely, $\begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} -9 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, are linearly independent. Justification:—

- Let α_1, α_2 be real numbers. Suppose $\alpha_1 \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \alpha_2 \begin{bmatrix} -9 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}_5$.

$$\text{Then } \begin{bmatrix} -3\alpha_1 - 9\alpha_2 \\ \alpha_1 \\ 0 \\ -4\alpha_2 \\ \alpha_2 \end{bmatrix} = \alpha_1 \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \alpha_2 \begin{bmatrix} -9 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}_5.$$

By the definition of matrix equality, we have $\alpha_1 = 0$ and $\alpha_2 = 0$.

8. Theorem (1).

Let A be an $(m \times n)$ -matrix, and \mathbf{b} be a column vector with m entries.

Denote by C the augmented matrix representation of $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$. (So $C = [A \mid \mathbf{b}]$.)

Suppose C is a reduced row-echelon form with rank r .

Then the entries of A, \mathbf{b} beneath the respective r -th rows are all 0.

Moreover, the statements below are logically equivalent:—

- $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$ is consistent.
- The last column of C is a free column.

(c) No row of C reads $[0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0 \ 0 \ 1]$.

9. **Theorem (2).** (Full description of solutions for a consistent system with augmented matrix representation being a reduced row-echelon form.)

Let A be an $(m \times n)$ -matrix, and \mathbf{b} be a column vector with m entries.

Denote by C the augmented matrix representation of $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$. (So $C = [A \mid \mathbf{b}]$.)

Suppose C is a reduced row-echelon form with r leading ones,

- whose pivot columns, from left to right, are the d_1 -th, d_2 -th, ..., d_r -th columns, and
- whose free columns, from left to right, are the f_1 -th, f_2 -th, ..., f_{n-r} -th, f_{n+1-r} -th columns.

Also suppose $d_1 = 1$.

Suppose $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$ is consistent.

Then the statements below hold:—

- (a) $r \leq n$.
- (b) The $f_{(n+1-r)}$ -th column of C is the last column of C , namely, \mathbf{b} .
- (c) Denote the top r entries of \mathbf{b} by b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r , from the top downwards.

Denote by \mathbf{p} the column vector with n entries in which:—

- the d_1 -th, d_2 -th, ..., d_r -th entries are b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r respectively, and
- all other entries are 0.

Then \mathbf{p} is a (particular) solution of $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$.

- (d) Suppose $r = n$.

(So there is no free column in C other than the last column of C .)

Then \mathbf{p} is the one and only one solution of $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$.

- (e) Suppose $r < n$ (instead of supposing ‘ $r = n$ ’).

(So some other column in C other than the last column of C is a free column.)

For each $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, n - r$, denote the top r entries of the f_ℓ -th column by $\alpha_{1\ell}, \alpha_{2\ell}, \dots, \alpha_{r\ell}$, from the top downwards.

Further denote by \mathbf{q}_ℓ the column vector with n entries, in which:—

- the d_1 -th, d_2 -th, ..., d_r -th entries are $-\alpha_{1\ell}, -\alpha_{2\ell}, \dots, -\alpha_{r\ell}$,
- the f_ℓ -th entry is 1, and
- all other entries are 0.

Then the statements below hold:—

- i. The column vectors $\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \dots, \mathbf{q}_{n-r}$ are linearly independent.
- ii. Suppose \mathbf{t} is a column vector with n entries.
Then \mathbf{t} is a solution of $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$ if and only if

$$\text{there are some numbers } u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-r} \text{ such that } \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{p} + u_1\mathbf{q}_1 + u_2\mathbf{q}_2 + \dots + u_{n-r}\mathbf{q}_{n-r}.$$

- iii. The system $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$ has distinct solutions.

Remark. We omit the argument for Theorem (1) and Theorem (2), as this is only a tedious exercise in book-keeping.

In terms of the symbols in the statement of Theorem (2), the conclusion of Theorem (2) informs us on how to read off all solutions of $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$ in concrete situations:—

- With the respective unknowns x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n displayed explicitly, we may re-write the system as the collection of r simultaneous ‘relations’, relating each unknown with $x_{f_1}, x_{f_2}, \dots, x_{n-r}$ alone:—

$$(S) : \begin{cases} x_{d_1} = b_1 - \alpha_{11}x_{f_1} - \alpha_{12}x_{f_2} - \alpha_{13}x_{f_3} - \cdots - \alpha_{1,n-r}x_{f_{n-r}} \\ x_{d_2} = b_2 - \alpha_{21}x_{f_1} - \alpha_{22}x_{f_2} - \alpha_{23}x_{f_3} - \cdots - \alpha_{2,n-r}x_{f_{n-r}} \\ x_{d_3} = b_3 - \alpha_{31}x_{f_1} - \alpha_{32}x_{f_2} - \alpha_{33}x_{f_3} - \cdots - \alpha_{3,n-r}x_{f_{n-r}} \\ \vdots \\ x_{d_r} = b_r - \alpha_{r1}x_{f_1} - \alpha_{r2}x_{f_2} - \alpha_{r3}x_{f_3} - \cdots - \alpha_{r,n-r}x_{f_{n-r}} \end{cases}$$

In fact $\alpha_{k\ell} = 0$ whenever $k > \ell$ (because C is a reduced row-echelon form). So even here there are a lot of 0’s.

Now further using the definitions for vector equality, vector addition and scalar multiplication, we re-write (S) as:—

$$(S') : \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{p} + x_{f_1} \mathbf{q}_1 + x_{f_2} \mathbf{q}_2 + x_{f_3} \mathbf{q}_3 + \cdots + x_{f_{n-r}} \mathbf{q}_{n-r}$$

It is the re-expression of $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$ as (S') which tells us how to present all solutions of $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$ in a systematic and economic way, as linear combinations of several linearly independent column vectors whose entries are read off from C .

10. Theorem (1) and Theorem (2) cover all systems of linear equations, whether homogeneous or non-homogeneous. However, for a homogeneous system, we only need to focus on its coefficient matrix.

Theorem (3).

Let A be an $(m \times n)$ -matrix, and C be the augmented matrix representation of the homogeneous system $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{0}_m)$.

Suppose A is a reduced row-echelon form whose first column is a pivot column and which has r leading ones.

Then C is a reduced row-echelon form whose first column is a pivot column and which has r leading ones.

Moreover:—

- (a) $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{0}_m)$ is consistent, with $\mathbf{0}_n$ being a solution of the system.
- (b) The inequality $r \leq n$ holds.
- (c) i. If $r = n$ then $\mathbf{0}_n$ is the one and only one solution of $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{0}_m)$.
ii. If $r < n$ then $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{b})$ has distinct solutions, and in particular, some non-trivial solution.

Proof of Theorem (3). Exercise. (How A and C relate with each other is a game of words on definitions. The rest of the result follows from Theorem (2).)

11. **Example (4). (Illustration on the content of Theorem (3).)**

(a) Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 0 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 9 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$.

Note that A is a reduced row-echelon form, with:—

- 1-st, 3-rd, 4-th, 5-th, 7-th columns as pivot columns, and
- 2-nd, 6-th, 8-th columns as free columns.

A is the coefficient matrix of the homogeneous system $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{0}_5)$.

We write out the system explicitly as simultaneous equations (for numbers) whose respective unknowns are x_1, x_2, \dots, x_8 . It reads:—

$$\begin{cases} x_1 + 2x_2 & & & & + 3x_6 & & - 2x_8 = 0 \\ & x_3 & & & + 5x_6 & & - 3x_8 = 0 \\ & & x_4 & & + 7x_6 & & + 8x_8 = 0 \\ & & & x_5 & + 9x_6 & & + 6x_8 = 0 \\ & & & & & x_7 & + 4x_8 = 0 \end{cases}$$

To see how to read off all solutions of $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{0}_5)$, we rewrite the equations as a collection of three simultaneous 'relations', which 'relate' each unknown with x_2, x_6, x_8 alone:—

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = -2x_2 - 3x_6 + 2x_8 \\ x_3 = -5x_6 + 3x_8 \\ x_4 = -7x_6 - 8x_8 \\ x_5 = -9x_6 - 6x_8 \\ x_7 = -4x_8 \end{cases}$$

Now, using the definitions for vector equality, vector addition and scalar multiplication, we re-write these relations as:—

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \\ x_5 \\ x_6 \\ x_7 \\ x_8 \end{bmatrix} = x_2 \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_6 \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 0 \\ -5 \\ -7 \\ -9 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_8 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ -8 \\ -6 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

It follows that a full description of all solutions of $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{0}_5)$ is given by:—

- \mathbf{t} is a solution of $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{0}_5)$ if and only if

$$\text{there are some numbers } u, v, w \text{ such that } \mathbf{t} = u \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + v \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 0 \\ -5 \\ -7 \\ -9 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + w \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ -8 \\ -6 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We note that the column vectors constructed with the entries of the free columns of A in this process, namely,

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 0 \\ -5 \\ -7 \\ -9 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ -8 \\ -6 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix} \text{ are linearly independent.}$$

(b) Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

Note that A is a reduced row-echelon form, with every column being a pivot column.

A is the coefficient matrix of the homogeneous system $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{0}_4)$.

We write out the system explicitly as simultaneous equations (for numbers) whose respective unknowns are x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 . It reads:—

$$\begin{cases} x_1 & & & & = & 0 \\ & x_2 & & & = & 0 \\ & & x_3 & & = & 0 \\ & & & x_4 & = & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & = & 0 \end{cases}$$

This tells us that the zero vector $\mathbf{0}_4$ is the one and only one solution of the system $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{0}_5)$.

The homogeneous system $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{0}_5)$ has no non-trivial solution.