# 0.1 Transpose, symmetry and skew-symmetry.

#### 0. Assumed background.

- 1.1 Matrices, matrix addition, and scalar multiplication for matrices.
- 1.2 Matrix multiplication.

Abstract. We introduce:----

- the notion of transpose,
- the notions of symmetry and skew-symmetry.

In the *appendix*, we digress onto the notion of definition, theorem, proof, and the format which dictates how they are to be read.

# 1. Definition. (Transpose of a matrix.)

Let *A* be an  $(m \times n)$ -matrix, whose (i, j)-th entry is denoted by  $a_{ij}$ .

The **transpose of** *A* is the  $(n \times m)$ -matrix whose  $(k, \ell)$ -th entry is given by  $a_{\ell k}$ .

It is denoted by  $A^t$ .

Remark. In symbolic terms, what this definition says is:-

If $A =$	$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} \\ a_{21} \\ a_{31} \end{bmatrix}$	$a_{12} \\ a_{22} \\ a_{32}$	a <sub>13</sub> a <sub>23</sub> a <sub>33</sub>	  $a_{1n}$ - $a_{2n}$ $a_{3n}$	then $A^t =$	$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} \\ a_{12} \\ a_{13} \end{bmatrix}$	a <sub>21</sub> a <sub>22</sub> a <sub>23</sub>	a <sub>31</sub> a <sub>32</sub> a <sub>33</sub>	•••• •••	$\begin{bmatrix} a_{m1} \\ a_{m2} \\ a_{m2} \end{bmatrix}$	].
	$\begin{bmatrix} \vdots \\ a_{m1} \end{bmatrix}$	: a <sub>m2</sub>	: a <sub>m3</sub>	 : a <sub>mn</sub>		$\begin{bmatrix} \vdots \\ a_{1n} \end{bmatrix}$	: a <sub>2n</sub>	: a <sub>3n</sub>		$\begin{bmatrix} \vdots \\ a_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$	

### 2. Example (1). (Transpose of a matrix.)

Suppose 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ .  
Then  $A^t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $B^t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $C^t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ .

(a) Note that  $A + B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $(A + B)^t = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 5 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ .

We have 
$$A^t + B^t = \dots = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 5 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
. So  $(A + B)^t = A^t + B^t$  (in this example)

(b) Note that  $AC = \dots = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 13 \\ 2 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $(AC)^t = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 13 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$ We have  $C^tA^t = \dots = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 13 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$ . So  $(AC)^t = C^tA^t$  (in this example).

# 3. Theorem (1). (Basic properties of transpose.)

Suppose *A*, *B* are  $(m \times n)$ -matrices, *C* is an  $(n \times p)$ -matrix, and  $\lambda$  is a number. Then:—

- (1) Suppose A is an  $(m \times n)$ -matrix. Then  $(A^t)^t = A$ .
- (2) Suppose A, B are  $(m \times n)$ -matrices. Then  $(A + B)^t = A^t + B^t$ .
- (3) Suppose *A* is an  $(m \times n)$ -matrix, and  $\lambda$  is a number. Then  $(\lambda A)^t = \lambda A^t$ .
- (4) Suppose *A* is an  $(m \times n)$ -matrices, and *C* is an  $(n \times p)$ -matrix. Then  $(AC)^t = C^t A^t$ .

### Proof of Statement (4) of Theorem (1).

Suppose *A* is a  $(m \times n)$ -matrix, and *C* is an  $(n \times p)$ -matrix. (So *AC* is an  $(m \times p)$ -matrix, and  $(AC)^t$  is a  $(p \times m)$ -matrix.) (By definition,  $A^t$  is an  $(n \times m)$ -matrix, and  $C^t$  is a  $(p \times n)$ -matrix. So  $C^t A^t$  is well-defined as a  $(p \times m)$ -matrix.) Denote the (i, j)-th entry of *A* by  $a_{ij}$ . Denote the  $(k, \ell)$ -th entry of *C* by  $c_{k\ell}$ . Fix any  $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, p$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . • By the definition of matrix multiplication, the (*i*,  $\ell$ )-th entry of *AC* is given by  $\sum a_{ij}c_{j\ell}$ .

Then, by the definition of transpose, the  $(\ell, i)$ -th entry of (AC) is given by  $\sum_{ij}^{n} a_{ij}c_{j\ell}$ .

• By the definition of transpose, for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , the  $(\ell, j)$ -th entry of  $C^t$  is  $c_{j\ell}$ , and the (j, i)-th entry of  $A^t$  is  $a_{ij}$ .

Then, by the definition of matrix multiplication, the  $(\ell, i)$ -th entry of  $C^t A^t$  is given by  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ij} c_{j\ell}$ .

Hence  $(AC)^t = C^t A^t$ .

Proof of Statements (1), (2), (3) of Theorem (1). Exercise. (Imitate what is done above.)

### 4. Definition. (Symmetric matrix and skew-symmetric matrix.)

Suppose A is an  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix. Then:—

- (1) A is said to be symmetric if and only if  $A^t = A$ .
- (2) *A* is said to be **skew-symmetric** if and only if  $A^t = -A$ .

# 5. Example (2). (Examples and non-examples on symmetric matrices and skew-symmetric matrices.)

- (a) The  $(n \times n)$ -zero matrix is a symmetric matrix. It is also a skew-symmetric matrix.
- (b) The identity matrix is a symmetric matrix. It is not skew-symmetric.
- (c) Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 3 & 2 & 4 \\ 5 & 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$ . Note that  $A^t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 3 & 2 & 4 \\ 5 & 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix} = A$ . Then A is symmetric.

Note that  $A^t \neq -A$ . Then *A* is not skew-symmetric.

(d) Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 & 3 \\ -2 & -3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Note that  $A^t = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & -2 \\ 1 & 0 & -3 \\ 2 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = -A$ . Then A is skew-symmetric.

Note that  $A^t \neq A$ . Then A is not symmetric.

(e) Let  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ . Note that  $B^t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ .

We have  $B^t \neq B$ . Then *B* is not symmetric.

We have  $B^t \neq -B$ . Then *B* is not skew-symmetric.

(f) Let 
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
.  
Note that  $B^t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

We have  $B^t \neq B$ . Then *B* is not symmetric.

We have  $B^t \neq -B$ . Then *B* is not skew-symmetric.

# 6. Lemma (2).

Suppose A is a square matrix. Then:—

- (1)  $A + A^t$  is symmetric.
- (2)  $A A^t$  is skew-symmetric.

# Proof of Lemma (2).

Suppose *A* is a square matrix.

- (1) We have  $(A + A^t)^t = A^t + (A^t)^t = A^t + A = A + A^t$ . Then, by definition of symmetric matrix,  $A + A^t$  is symmetric.
- (2) We have  $(A A^t)^t = [A + (-A^t)]^t = A^t + (-A^t)^t = A^t (A^t)^t = A^t A = -(A A^t)$ . Then, by definition of skew-symmetric matrix,  $A - A^t$  is skew-symmetric.

# 7. Theorem (3).

Suppose *A* is a square matrix. Then there are some unique square matrices *B*, *C* such that *B* is symmetric, *C* is skew-symmetric, and A = B + C.

# **Proof of Theorem (3).**

Suppose *A* is a square matrix.

[We have two tasks, which are ( $\alpha$ ), ( $\beta$ ) below:—

- ( $\alpha$ ) Conceive some appropriate symmetric matrix, and some appropriate skew-symmetric matrix, respectively labelled *B*, *C* in the subsequent consideration, which we hope will satisfy *A* = *B* + *C*.
- ( $\beta$ ) Then we verify for such a pair of matrices *B*, *C* two things:—
  - (1) The equality A = B + C' holds indeed.
  - (2) If some symmetric matrix *P* and some skew-symmetric matrix *Q* also satisfy A = P + Q, then P = B and Q = C.

We proceed with ( $\alpha$ ), and follow up with ( $\beta$ ).

But how to proceed with  $(\alpha)$ ?]

[Roughwork.

According to Lemma (2), we have a pair of symmetric matrix and skew-symmetric matrix determined by A alone:-

- $A + A^t$  is a symmetric matrix.
- $A A^t$  is a skew-symmetric matrix.

However, because  $(A + A^t) + (A - A^t) = 2A$ , they are not the respective *B*, *C* that we hope for. But we are getting close.]

Define  $B = \frac{1}{2}(A + A^{t})$ , and  $C = \frac{1}{2}(A - A^{t})$ .

Note that *B* is symmetric, and *C* is skew-symmetric. (Why? Apply Lemma (2) and Theorem (1).)

- We have  $B + C = \frac{1}{2}(A + A^t) + \frac{1}{2}(A A^t) = A$ .
- Suppose *P* is a symmetric matrix, *Q* is a skew-symmetric matrix, and *A* = *P* + *Q*.
  By assumption, *P<sup>t</sup>* = *P* and *Q<sup>t</sup>* = -*Q*. Then *A<sup>t</sup>* = (*P* + *Q*)<sup>t</sup> = *P<sup>t</sup>* + *Q<sup>t</sup>* = *P Q*.

Now we have  $2P = (P + Q) + (P - Q) = A + A^t$ . Then  $P = \frac{1}{2}(A + A^t) = B$ .

We also have  $2Q = (P + Q) - (P - Q) = A - A^t$ . Then  $Q = \frac{1}{2}(A - A^t) = C$ .