

## § 5.3 Doob's Martingale convergence Thm

Def. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a prob. space, and  $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \subset \dots$  an increasing sequence of sub- $\sigma$ -algebras of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

A sequence  $X_1, X_2, \dots$ , of r.v.'s with  $X_n$  being  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable and  $E|X_n| < \infty$ , is said to

a submartingale if  $E(X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n) \geq X_n$  a.s.

a martingale if  $E(X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n) = X_n$  a.s.

a supermartingale if  $E(X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n) \leq X_n$  a.s.

The fundamental result about martingale is the following theorem of Doob:

## Thm 5.6 (Submartingale convergence Thm)

Every  $L^1$  bounded submartingale (i.e.  $\sup_n E|X_n| < \infty$ ) converges a.s.

Below we prove this result by following the approach in the book "Ergodic theory" of K. Petersen.

we first give the following.

Lem 5.7 (Krickeberg Decomposition)

Every  $L^1$ -bounded submartingale  $\{X_n\}$  is the difference of a non-negative martingale  $\{M_n\}$  and a non-negative supermartingale  $\{S_n\}$ . That is,  $X_n = M_n - S_n$ .

pf. Notice that  $X_n^+$  is a non-negative submartingale.

Indeed,

$$E(X_{n+1}^+ | \mathcal{F}_n) \geq E(X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n) = X_n.$$

Since  $E(X_{n+1}^+ | \mathcal{F}_n) \geq 0$ , we obtain  $E(X_{n+1}^+ | \mathcal{F}_n) \geq X_n^+$ , thus,  $\{X_n^+\}$  is a non-negative submartingale.

Next fix  $m$ . Let  $n \geq m$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_{n+1}^+ | \mathcal{F}_m) &= E(E(X_{n+1}^+ | \mathcal{F}_n) | \mathcal{F}_m) \\ &\geq E(X_n^+ | \mathcal{F}_m). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $E(X_n^+ | \mathcal{F}_m)$  increases in  $n$ .

Write  $M_m = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(X_n^+ | \mathcal{F}_m)$ .

We claim that  $M_m$  is a martingale. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} E(M_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n) &= E\left(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E(X_k^+ | \mathcal{F}_{n+1}) \mid \mathcal{F}_n\right) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E\left(E(X_k^+ | \mathcal{F}_{n+1}) \mid \mathcal{F}_n\right) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E(X_k^+ | \mathcal{F}_n) \\ &= M_n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $M_n$  is a Martingale.

Notice that  $M_n \in L^1$ , since 
$$\begin{aligned} E(M_n) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E\left(E(X_k^+ | \mathcal{F}_n)\right) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E(X_k^+) \\ &\leq \sup_k E|X_k| < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, let  $S_n = M_n - X_n$ .

Recall that  $M_n \geq E(X_{n+1}^+ | \mathcal{F}_n) \geq E(X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n) \geq X_n$ ,

So  $S_n \geq 0$ . Moreover, 
$$\begin{aligned} E(S_n) &\leq E(M_n) + E(|X_n|) \\ &\leq 2 \sup_k E|X_k| < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $S_n \in L^1$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} E(S_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n) &= E(M_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n) - E(X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n) \\ &< M_n - X_n = S_n. \end{aligned}$$

So  $S_n$  is a supermartingale.  $\square$

Lem 5.8 (optional sampling).

Let  $\{X_n\}$  be a non-negative supermartingale w.r.t  $\mathcal{F}_n$

Let  $\sigma, \tau$  be stopping times, that is,

$\{\omega: \sigma(\omega) \leq n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$  and  $\{\omega: \tau(\omega) \leq n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$   
for  $n=1, 2, \dots$ . Define

$$X_\tau(\omega) = \begin{cases} X_{\tau(\omega)}(\omega) & \text{if } \tau(\omega) < \infty \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and similarly for  $X_\sigma(\omega)$ . Suppose that  $\sigma \leq \tau$ , then

$$E(X_\tau) \leq E(X_\sigma).$$

pf. Fix  $m \geq 1$ . For  $n \geq m$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\{\sigma=m\}} X_{\tau \wedge n} dP &= \int_{\{\sigma=m, \tau \leq n\}} X_{\tau} dP + \int_{\{\sigma=m, \tau > n\}} X_n dP \\
 &\geq \int_{\{\sigma=m, \tau \leq n\}} X_{\tau} dP + \int_{\{\sigma=m, \tau > n\}} X_{n+1} dP \\
 &\quad \left( \text{since } \{\sigma=m, \tau > n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n, \right. \\
 &\quad \left. X_n \geq E(X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n) \right) \\
 &= \int_{\{\sigma=m, \tau \leq n\}} X_{\tau \wedge (n+1)} dP + \int_{\{\sigma=m, \tau > n\}} X_{\tau \wedge (n+1)} dP \\
 &= \int_{\{\sigma=m\}} X_{\tau \wedge (n+1)} dP. \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for  $n \geq m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\{\sigma=m\}} X_{\sigma} dP &= \int_{\{\sigma=m\}} X_m dP = \int_{\{\sigma=m\}} X_{\tau \wedge m} dP \\
 &\geq \int_{\{\sigma=m\}} X_{\tau \wedge n} dP \quad (\text{by (1)}) \\
 &\geq \int_{\{\sigma=m, \tau < \infty\}} X_{\tau \wedge n} dP
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\int_{\{\sigma=m\}} X_{\sigma} dP \geq \int_{\{\sigma=m, \tau < \infty\}} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{\tau \wedge n} dP$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\{\sigma=m, \tau < \infty\}} X_\tau dP \\
&= \int_{\{\sigma=m\}} X_\tau dP. \quad (\text{since } X_\tau = 0 \text{ on } \{\tau = +\infty\})
\end{aligned}$$

Summing on  $m$  gives

$$E(X_\sigma) \geq E(X_\tau). \quad \square$$

Pf of Submartingale convergence Thm:

By Lem 5.7, it is enough to show that every  $L^1$ -bounded non-negative supermartingale converges a.s.

Let  $\{X_n\}$  be a non-negative  $L^1$ -bounded supermartingale.

Suppose on the contrary that  $\{X_n\}$  does not converge on a set with positive prob. Then  $\exists 0 < \alpha < \beta < \infty$  such that

$$E = \left\{ \omega : \underline{\lim}_n X_n(\omega) < \alpha < \beta \leq \overline{\lim}_n X_n(\omega) \right\}$$

has positive prob.

Define a sequence of stopping times  $\{\tau_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  by

$$\tau_0 \equiv 1,$$

$$\tau_{2i+1}(\omega) = \inf \{ n > \tau_{2i}(\omega) : X_n(\omega) > \beta \} \quad \text{if } i \geq 0$$

and

$$\tau_{2i}(\omega) = \inf \{ n > \tau_{2i-1}(\omega) : X_n(\omega) < \alpha \} \quad \text{if } i \geq 1,$$

where we  $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$ . Then

$$\tau_0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau_2 \leq \dots.$$

Let  $p_j = P\{\omega : \tau_j(\omega) < \infty\}$ . Then we have  $p_{2j} \leq p_{2j-1}$ .

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \beta p_{2i+1} &\leq \int_{\{\tau_{2i+1} < \infty\}} X_{\tau_{2i+1}} dP = \int X_{\tau_{2i+1}} dP \\ &\leq \int X_{\tau_{2i}} dP \\ &= \int_{\{\tau_{2i} < \infty\}} X_{\tau_{2i}} dP \\ &\leq \alpha p_{2i} \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$p_{2i+1} \leq \frac{\alpha}{\beta} p_{2i} \leq \frac{\alpha}{\beta} p_{2i-1} \leq \dots \leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^i p_1 \rightarrow 0.$$

But  $p_{2i+1} \geq P(E) > 0$ , leading to a contradiction.

□

Remark: • Under the assumption of Thm 5.6, we only have.

$\{X_n\}$  converges a.e.

• It is possible that  $X_n$  does not converge in  $L^1$ .

Def. A collection of r.v.'s  $X_i, i \in \mathcal{I}$ , is said to be uniformly integrable if

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \int_{\{|X_i| > M\}} |X_i| dP \right) = 0 \quad (2)$$

• If we pick  $M$  large enough so that the sup  $< 1$ ,

then

$$E|X_i| = \int_{\{|X_i| > M\}} |X_i| dP + \int_{\{|X_i| < M\}} |X_i| dP$$

$$\leq 1 + M \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Thm 5.9 Let  $\{X_n\}$  be a uniformly integrable submartingale.

Then it converges a.s. and in  $L^1$ .

Pf. It follows from Thm 5.6 and the Vitali convergence Thm.  $\square$