

Solution to Exercise 6

1. (a)

$$\partial f(x) = \begin{cases} \{0\} & \text{if } x \in (-1, 1) \\ [-1, 0] & \text{if } x = -1 \\ [0, 1] & \text{if } x = 1 \\ \{-1\} & \text{if } x \in (-2, -1) \\ \{1\} & \text{if } x \in (1, 2) \\ (-\infty, -1] & \text{if } x = -2 \\ [1, +\infty) & \text{if } x = 2 \\ \emptyset & \text{if } x \in (-\infty, -2) \cup (2, +\infty). \end{cases}$$

(b) For $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$, $\nabla \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 = \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2}$.

At $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$, we know that $\mathbf{u} \in \partial \|\mathbf{x}\|_2$ if

$$\|\mathbf{y}\|_2 \geq \|\mathbf{0}\|_2 + \langle \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{u} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u} \rangle \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (1)$$

We can find \mathbf{u} that meet these conditions using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Note that

$$\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u} \rangle \leq \|\mathbf{y}\|_2 \|\mathbf{u}\|_2$$

so (1) will hold when $\|\mathbf{u}\|_2 \leq 1$. On the other hand, if $\|\mathbf{u}\|_2 > 1$, then for $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{u}$, we have

$$\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u} \rangle = \|\mathbf{y}\|_2^2 > \|\mathbf{y}\|_2,$$

and (1) does not hold. Therefore

$$\partial \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 = \begin{cases} \{\mathbf{u} : \|\mathbf{u}\|_2 \leq 1\}, & \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{x}, & \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0} \end{cases}$$

2. By the subgradient inequality, we have

$$f(x) \geq f(\hat{x}) + s^T(x - \hat{x}) \quad \text{for all } x \in \text{dom } f$$

Suppose that the subdifferential $\partial f(\hat{x})$ is unbounded. Let s_k be a sequence of subgradients in $\partial f(\hat{x})$ with $\|s_k\| \rightarrow \infty$.

Since \hat{x} lies in the interior of domain, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $\hat{x} + \delta \mathbf{y} \in \text{dom } f$ for any $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Letting $x = \hat{x} + \delta \frac{s_k}{\|s_k\|}$ for any k , we have

$$f\left(\hat{x} + \delta \frac{s_k}{\|s_k\|}\right) \geq f(\hat{x}) + \delta \|s_k\| \quad \text{for all } k$$

As $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have $f\left(\hat{x} + \delta \frac{s_k}{\|s_k\|}\right) - f(\hat{x}) \rightarrow \infty$. However, this relation contradicts the continuity of f at \hat{x} .

3. (a) The first part of the proof is elementary: $\forall g_1 \in \partial f_1(x), \forall g_2 \in \partial f_2(x)$, we have

$$f_i(y) \geq f_i(x) + \langle g_i, y - x \rangle, i = 1, 2, \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Hence,

$$f_{(y)} \geq f(x) + \langle g_2 + g_1, y - x \rangle,$$

Therefore $g_1 + g_2 \in \partial f(x)$.

The second part of the proof is kind of difficult and is optional, please refer to Moreau-Rockafellar Theorem if you are interested. (See, for example, Theorem 2.9 in [On subdifferential calculus](#).)

(b) For any $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{A}^T \partial f(\mathbf{Ax} + \mathbf{b})$. Then,

$$f(\mathbf{Ay} + \mathbf{b}) \geq f(\mathbf{Ax} + \mathbf{b}) + \langle \mathbf{Ay} - \mathbf{Ax}, \mathbf{g} \rangle$$

for all $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Hence, $\mathbf{A}^T \partial f(\mathbf{Ax} + \mathbf{b}) \subset \partial h(\mathbf{x})$.

On the other hand, for any $\mathbf{g}' \in \partial h(\mathbf{x})$. Then,

$$h(\mathbf{y}) \geq h(\mathbf{x}) + \langle \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{g}' \rangle,$$

for any $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Note that for any $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{A}^T \partial f(\mathbf{Ax} + \mathbf{b})$,

$$h(\mathbf{y}) \geq h(\mathbf{x}) + \langle \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{g} \rangle.$$

Then $\mathbf{g}' \in \mathbf{A}^T \partial f(\mathbf{Ax} + \mathbf{b})$ and $\partial h(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{A}^T \partial f(\mathbf{Ax} + \mathbf{b})$.