## MATH4050 Real Analysis Assignment 8

There are 6 questions in this assignment. The page number and question number for each question correspond to that in Royden's Real Analysis, 3rd or 4th edition.

- Shus, wlg. for E IR & nd fulx), fro 1. (3rd: P.89, Q9; 4th: P.84, Q22) Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of nonnegative measurable functions on  $(-\infty, +\infty)$  such that  $f_n \to f$  a.e., **K** 4.e and suppose  $\int f_n \to \int f < \infty$ . Show that for each measurable set E we have  $\int_E f_n \to \int_E f$ . Apply the Genwilized 2. (3rd: P.93, Q10) Cebesgie Th.  $|f_n-f| \leq |f_n| + |f| = f_n + f$ a. Show that if f is integrable over E, then so is |f| and 1+1

 $\left| \int_{\Sigma} f \right| \leq \int_{\Sigma} |f|.$ 

Does the integrability of |f| imply that of f? ( no w f is not nece mensurally

- b. The improper Riemann integral of a function may exist without the function being integrable (in the sense of Lebesgue), e.g., if  $f(x) = \frac{\sin x}{x}$  on  $[0, \infty]$ . If f is integrable, show that the improper
- Riemann integral is equal to the Lebesgue integral when the former exists.  $\neg CON \cdot f_n(\neg )$ (3rd: P.93, Q11) If  $\varphi$  is a simple function, we have two definitions for  $\int \varphi$ , that on page 77 and that on page 90 (3rd. 3. (3rd: P.93, Q11) ed.). Show that they are the same. (Note: one definition is the one defining at the first stage, the another one is defined by general Lebesgue integral) Easyfrom Def. 4. (3rd: P.93, Q12; 4th: P.89, Q30) Let g be an integrable function on a set E and suppose that  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence of measurable functions such that  $|f_n(x)| \leq g(x)$  a.e. on *E*. Show that All are seen integrable d Apply Fatou's  $\int_E \liminf f_n \leq \liminf \int_E f_n \leq \limsup \int_E f_n \leq \int_E \limsup f_n$ . So find - valued a.e.
  - Then, look at 05 g = fn 5. (3rd: P.93, Q13) Let h be an integrable function and  $\{f_n\}$  a sequence of measurable functions with  $f_n \ge -h$  and  $\lim f_n = f$ . Show that  $\int f_n$  and  $\int f$  has a meaning and  $\int f \leq \liminf \int f_n$ . futh well-defined

· hale R a.e. a. Show that under the hypotheses of Theorem 17 (3rd. ed.) (i.e.  $g_n$ , g are integrable such that  $g_n \to g$  pointwisely a.e.,  $f_n$  are measurable,  $|f_n| \leq g_n, f_n \to f$  pointwisely a.e. and

that  $g_n \to g$  pointwisely a.e.,  $f_n$  are measurable,  $|f_n| \leq g_n$ ,  $f_n \to f$  pointwisely a.e. and  $f = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int g_n$ ) we have  $\int |f_n - f| \to 0$ .  $f = \int f_n - f| \to 0$  if and only if  $\int |f_n| \to \int |f|$ . f = g(f). f

of 
$$\psi_{n} = n\chi_{An} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2^{n}} u\chi_{Bn,k}$$
 f f  
(so  $0 \leq j - (p_{n} \leq j \leq apph)_{j}$   
Lebesgane Conv. Th) . Ilfor-fill < E.  
where  $A_{n} = \{x \in [-n, n] : -j(x) \geq n\}$   
 $B_{n,k} := \{x \in [-n, n] : -j(x) \geq n\}$   
 $B_{n,k} := \{x \in [-n, n] : -j(x) \geq n\}$   
 $Writing ni canonical form (with large arough n)$   
 $\varphi_{\cdot} = (q_{\cdot} := \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}\chi_{B_{j}} (each B_{j} \leq [-n, n]))$   
Take  $U_{j}$  (representable as a disjoint  
finitly many intervels ) s.t.  
 $m(B_{j} = U_{j}) < \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} N}{(2M)}$   
where  $M = \sum_{j=1}^{n} |b_{j}| \cdot Then$   
 $\int |\varphi_{-}\psi_{1}| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\varphi_{-}\psi_{1}| < 2M \cdot \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} N}{(2M)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} N$   
 $Since each  $B_{j} \leq [-n, n]$ ,  $\exists a finitic - lemgth$   
 $Miczival (a, b) \geq B_{j}$ ,  $U_{j} : \forall_{j} = 1, 2, ..., N$ .$ 

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_{16}) \cdot y_{16}(x_{15}) \cdot y_{16}(x_{16}) = (x_{16}) \cdot y_{16}(x_{16}) \\ & (x_{16}) \cdot y_{16}(x_{16}) = (x_{16}) \cdot y_{16}(x_{16}) \\ & (x_{16}) \cdot y_{16$$

$$\frac{\&18}{\&18} \cdot \&t + 0 \in [0,1], (tn) \subseteq [0,1] \setminus to$$
  
convergent to to. Suffices to show that:  

$$\lim_{M \to 0} \int_{0}^{t} f(x, tn) dx = \int_{0}^{t} \lim_{M \to 0} f(x, tn) dx$$
  
Lett-g fine =  $f(x, tn) \forall x$  given.  
apply the Lobesgue Conv. Th.  
Q19. Let to  $\in [0,1]$ . Wish to show  

$$\int_{0}^{t} g(x, tn) - \int_{0}^{t} f(x, to) dx = \int_{0}^{t} \lim_{M \to -to} \frac{f(x, tn) - f(x, tn)}{tn - to} dx$$
  
Lett-g fine =  $\int_{0}^{t} \frac{f(x, tn) - f(x, tn)}{tn - to} dx$ 
  
Apply the Mean - Value Th (e.g. 2060).  
 $\frac{2f(x, tn)}{2t} = \frac{2f(x, tn)}{2t} \leq \frac{2f(x, tn)}{2t}$ 
  
Apply the Mean - Value Th (e.g. 2060).  
 $\frac{f(x, tn) - f(x, tn)}{tn - to} = 2f(x, tn) \leq g(x)$  a.e.  
 $\int_{0}^{t} \frac{f(x, tn) - f(x, tn)}{tn - to} = 2f(x, tn) \leq g(x)$  a.e.  
So apply the Bounded Conv. Th. Jone for Coll 9.

613. The subtle part of the grachen is :  $\mathfrak{MF}(\mathbb{R}) \neq f := g + h$  $g \in m_{\overline{F}}^{\dagger}(\mathbb{R})$  (so  $g \in [0,\infty]$ )  $-\mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{R})$ Need to justify the definition that  $\int f = \int g + \int f$ 75g+5f if ul>of=g+h with gemfit(R), fed(R) Show that it cannot happen that one and only one of (g, ) is finite (the other is infinite), say SSE R

R)

$$\int \overline{g} = +\infty$$
Note that  $f = g + h = \overline{g} + \overline{h}$  and thus,  
 $g, h, \overline{h}$  are of finite-valued a.e and so  
 $\overline{g} = g + h - \overline{h}$   
and

$$ta = \int \overline{g} = \int (g+h-\overline{h}) = \int g + \int h - \int \overline{h} \quad E | R,$$
  
which is not possible. Therefore  

$$\int f := \int g + \int h$$
  
is well-defined regardless  

$$\int g = \int g = \int m t v \text{ or } m f \text{ in } t e.$$