

Existence and uniqueness theorems in ODE

Ref: *Ordinary differential equations, Birkoff and Rota*

Let $A(t) = (a_{ij}(t))_{n \times n}$ be a smooth family $n \times n$ matrix, $t \in [a, b]$. Consider the following initial valued problem (IVP): Given A and a constant $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, to find $\mathbf{x} : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{x}'(t) = A(t)\mathbf{x}(t), & t \in [a, b]; \\ \mathbf{x}(a) = \mathbf{x}_0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem

Given any $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a unique solution of the above IVP.

[Proof.](Sketch) For simplicity let us assume $a = 0$.

Existence: Define inductively, with $\mathbf{x}_0(t) = \mathbf{x}_0$ for all t , and

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1}(t) = \mathbf{x}_0 + \int_0^t A(\tau)\mathbf{x}_k(\tau)d\tau.$$

for $k \geq 0$.

Let $M = \sup_{t \in [a,b]} \|A\|(t)$ and $\|A(t)\|^2 = \text{tr}(AA^T(t))$. For $k \geq 1$, we have

$$|\mathbf{x}_{k+1}(t) - \mathbf{x}_k(t)| \leq M \int_0^t |\mathbf{x}_k(\tau) - \mathbf{x}_{k-1}(\tau)|d\tau.$$

Inductively, we have (why?)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\mathbf{x}_{k+1}(t) - \mathbf{x}_k(t)| \\
 & \leq M^k \int_0^t \int_0^{\tau_{k-1}} \cdots \int_0^{\tau_2} \int_0^{\tau_1} |\mathbf{x}_1(\tau_1) - \mathbf{x}_0(\tau_1)| d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \cdots d\tau_{k-1} d\tau_k \\
 & \leq \frac{M^k b^k S}{k!}
 \end{aligned}$$

where integration is over the domain $t \geq \tau_k \geq \cdots \geq \tau_1$ and

$$S = \sup_{t \in [0, b]} |\mathbf{x}_1(t) - \mathbf{x}_0(t)|.$$

Hence $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\mathbf{x}_{k+1}(t) - \mathbf{x}_k(t)| \leq C$ for some constant C for all $t \in [0, b]$. This implies that $\mathbf{x}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_\infty$ uniformly on $[0, b]$ which satisfies:

$$\mathbf{x}_\infty(t) = \mathbf{x}_0 + \int_0^t A(\tau) \mathbf{x}_\infty(\tau) d\tau,$$

(why?) Now \mathbf{x}_∞ is the solution of the above IVP.

Proof.

Uniquess: Sufficient to prove that if $\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{0}$, then any solution must be trivial. So let \mathbf{x} be such a solution, then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 = 2\langle A\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \leq 2M\|\mathbf{x}\|^2.$$

Hence

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\exp(-2Mt)\|\mathbf{x}\|^2) \leq 0.$$

This will imply that $\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \equiv 0$. (Why?) □

Fundamental theorem for curves in \mathbb{R}^3

Theorem

Let $\kappa(s) > 0$ and $\tau(s)$ be smooth function on (a, b) . There exists a regular curve $\alpha : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ with $|\alpha'| = 1$, such that the curvature and torsion of α are κ, τ respectively.

Moreover, α is unique in the sense: If β is another curve satisfying the above conditions, then $\beta(s) = \alpha(s)P + \vec{c}$ for some constant orthogonal matrix P and some constant vector \vec{c} . **Here α, β are considered as row vectors.**

Existence

Let

$$A(s) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \kappa(s) & 0 \\ -\kappa(s) & 0 & \tau(s) \\ 0 & -\tau(s) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $X(s)$ be the 3×3 matrix and fix s_0 which is the solution of:

$$\begin{cases} X' & = AX \text{ in } (a, b); \\ X(s_0) & = I. \end{cases}$$

The solution exists by a theorem in ODE.

X is orthogonal.

$$(X^t X)' = (X^t)' X + X^t X' = (AX)^t X + X^t AX = X^t A^t X + X^t AX = 0$$

because $A^t = -A$. Hence $X^t X = I$ because $X^t(s_0)X(s_0) = I$.

(Using $(XX^t)'$ may be more involved.) Hence $X(s)$ is orthogonal. Since $\det X(s) = 1$ or -1 and initially, $\det X(s_0) = 1$, we have $\det X(s) = 1$.

Write

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{T} \\ \tilde{N} \\ \tilde{B} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define $\alpha(s) = \int_{s_0}^s \tilde{T}(\sigma) d\sigma$. Let T, N, B be the tangent, principal normal and binormal of α , and let $\kappa_\alpha, \tau_\alpha$ be the curvature and torsion of α .

- $\alpha' = \tilde{T}$ which has length 1. So $T = \tilde{T}$.



$$\kappa_\alpha N = T' = \tilde{T}' = \kappa \tilde{N}.$$

we have $\kappa_\alpha = \kappa$ and $N = \tilde{N}$.

- Since $\tilde{T}, \tilde{N}, \tilde{B}$ are positively oriented, we conclude that

$$B = T \times N = \tilde{T} \times \tilde{N} = \tilde{B},$$

and

$$-\tau_\alpha N = B' = \tilde{B}' = -\tau \tilde{N} = -\tau N.$$

Uniqueness

Lemma

Let α be a regular curve parametrized by arc length with Frenet frame $\{T, N, B\}$ and with curvature and torsion κ, τ . Let P be an orthogonal matrix with determinant 1 and let $\beta = \alpha P + \vec{c}$, where \vec{c} is a constant vector. Then the Frenet frame of β is TP, NP, BP with same curvature and torsion.

Proof: Exercise.

Proof of Uniqueness

Uniqueness: Let α, β as in the theorem. Let $T_\alpha, N_\alpha, B_\alpha$ be the unit tangent, principal normal, binormal of α ; and let $T_\beta, N_\beta, B_\beta$ be the unit tangent, principal normal, binormal of β . Fix $s_0 \in (a, b)$. Let P be an orthogonal matrix with determinant 1 such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} T_\beta(s_0) \\ N_\beta(s_0) \\ B_\beta(s_0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} T_\alpha(s_0) \\ N_\alpha(s_0) \\ B_\alpha(s_0) \end{pmatrix} P.$$

Here T_α, \dots , etc are considered as row vectors. Let $\gamma(s) = \alpha(s)P$. Let $T_\gamma, N_\gamma, B_\gamma$ be unit tangent, principal normal, binormal of γ .

Then

$$T_\gamma = \gamma' = \alpha' P = T_\alpha P,$$

$$\kappa N_\gamma = T'_\gamma = T'_\alpha P = \kappa N P.$$

and so $T_\gamma = T_\alpha P$, $N_\gamma = N_\alpha P$. Hence $B_\gamma = B_\alpha P$. We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} T_\gamma \\ N_\gamma \\ B_\gamma \end{pmatrix}' = \begin{pmatrix} T_\alpha \\ N_\alpha \\ B_\alpha \end{pmatrix}' P = A \begin{pmatrix} T_\alpha \\ N_\alpha \\ B_\alpha \end{pmatrix} P = A \begin{pmatrix} T_\gamma \\ N_\gamma \\ B_\gamma \end{pmatrix}$$

where A is as above. Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} T_\gamma(s_0) \\ N_\gamma(s_0) \\ B_\gamma(s_0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} T_\alpha(s_0) \\ N_\alpha(s_0) \\ B_\alpha(s_0) \end{pmatrix} P = \begin{pmatrix} T_\beta(s_0) \\ N_\beta(s_0) \\ B_\beta(s_0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

we have $T_\gamma = T_\beta$, by uniqueness theorem of ODE. So

$\gamma(s) + \vec{c} = \beta(s)$ for some constant vector \vec{c} . That is:

$$\beta(s) = \alpha(s)P + \vec{c}.$$

Geometric meaning of curvature

Proposition

Let $\alpha(s)$ be a plane curve parametrized by arc length defined on (a, b) . Let $s_0 \in (a, b)$. Suppose $\kappa(s_0) > 0$. Then the following are true:

- (i) For any $s_1 < s_2 < s_3$ sufficiently close to s_0 , $\alpha(s_1), \alpha(s_2), \alpha(s_3)$ are not collinear.
- (ii) For $s_1 < s_2 < s_3$ sufficiently close to s_0 so that $\alpha(s_1), \alpha(s_2), \alpha(s_3)$ are not collinear,
- (iii) Let $c(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ be the center of the unique circle $C(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ passing through $\alpha(s_1), \alpha(s_2), \alpha(s_3)$.

As $s_1, s_2, s_3 \rightarrow s_0$, $C(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ will converge to a circle passing through $\alpha(s_0)$ tangent to α at $\alpha(s_0)$ with radius $1/\kappa(s_0)$.

[Proof] (i) Suppose $\alpha(s_1), \alpha(s_2), \alpha(s_3)$ lie on a straight line. Then

$$\langle \alpha(s_i) - \vec{v}, \vec{n} \rangle = 0$$

for some constant vectors \vec{v}, \vec{n} with $|\vec{n}| = 1$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Let $f(s) = \langle \alpha(s) - \vec{v}, \vec{n} \rangle$. Then $f(s_i) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Hence $f'(\xi_1) = f'(\xi_2) = 0$ for some $s_1 < \xi_1 < s_2 < \xi_2 < s_3$ and $f''(\eta) = 0$ for some $\xi_1 < \eta < \xi_2$. That is:

$$\begin{cases} \langle \alpha'(\xi_1), \vec{n} \rangle = \langle \alpha'(\xi_2), \vec{n} \rangle = 0; \\ \langle \alpha''(\eta), \vec{n} \rangle = 0. \end{cases}$$

As $s_1, s_2, s_3 \rightarrow s_0$, $\vec{n} \rightarrow N(s_0)$ and $\alpha''(\eta) = \kappa(s_0)N(s_0)$. This implies $\kappa(s_0) = 0$. Contradiction.

(ii) Let $C(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ be given by

$$\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c}\| = r.$$

where $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c}(s_1, s_2, s_3)$.

Let $h(s) = \|\alpha(s) - \mathbf{c}\|^2$. Then $h(s_i) = r^2$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Hence $h'(\xi_1) = h'(\xi_2) = 0$ for some $s_1 < \xi_1 < s_2 < \xi_2 < s_3$ and $h''(\eta) = 0$ for some $\xi_1 < \eta < \xi_2$. Hence

$$\begin{cases} \langle \alpha'(\xi_1), \alpha(\xi_1) - \mathbf{c} \rangle &= \langle \alpha'(\xi_2), \alpha(\xi_2) - \mathbf{c} \rangle = 0; \\ \langle \alpha''(\eta), \alpha(\eta) - \mathbf{c} \rangle + 1 &= 0. \end{cases}$$

If $\mathbf{c} \rightarrow \mathbf{c}_\infty$ for some sequence $s_1 < s_2 < s_3 \rightarrow s_0$, then

$$\langle \alpha'(s_0), \alpha(s_0) - \mathbf{c}_\infty \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \alpha''(s_0), \alpha(s_0) - \mathbf{c}_\infty \rangle = -1$$

So $\mathbf{c}_\infty - \alpha(s_0) = \frac{1}{\kappa(s_0)} N(s_0)$. From this the result follows.

The limiting circle is called the *osculating circle*.