

**THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG**  
**Department of Mathematics**  
**MATH2040A Linear Algebra II, 1st Term, 2022-23**  
**Suggested Solution**

1. (20 points) Label each statement as TRUE or FALSE. Moreover, give detailed reasons if your answer is FALSE.
- (a) Every change of coordinate matrix is invertible.
  - (b) The sum of two eigenvectors of a linear operator  $T$  is always an eigenvector of  $T$ .
  - (c) Two distinct eigenvectors corresponding to the same eigenvalue are always linearly dependent.
  - (d) There exists a linear operator  $T$  on the vector space  $V$  that has no  $T$ -invariant subspace.
  - (e) If  $T$  is a linear operator on a finite-dimensional vector space  $V$  and  $W$  is a  $T$ -invariant subspace of  $V$ , then the characteristic polynomial of  $T_W$  divides the characteristic polynomial of  $T$ .

- Sol: (a) True.
- (b) False. Consider  $T(x, y) = (x, 2y)$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .  $(1, 0)$  and  $(0, 1)$  are two eigenvectors but  $(1, 1)$  is not.
- (c) False. Consider the identity transformation in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .  $(1, 0)$  and  $(0, 1)$  are two linearly independent eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalue 1.
- (d) False.  $\{0\}$  is always a  $T$ -invariant subspace.
- (e) True.

2. (20 points) Find the matrix presentation:

- (a) Define  $T : M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow P_2(\mathbb{R})$  by

$$T \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = (a + b) + (2d)x + bx^2.$$

Let

$$\beta = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad \gamma = \{1, x, x^2\}.$$

Compute  $[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma}$ .

- (b) Let  $V$  be a vector space with the ordered basis  $\beta = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ . Let  $T : V \rightarrow V$  be a linear transformation such that

$$T(v_j) = v_j + v_{j-1}, \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where we set  $v_0 = 0$ . Compute  $[T]_{\beta}$ .

Sol:

- (a) Direct calculation shows that

$$T \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 1, \quad T \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 1 + x^2,$$

$$T \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad T \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 2x.$$

Then we conclude that

$$[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (b) By definition, we have

$$T(v_1) = v_1 + v_0 = v_1 = 1 \cdot v_1,$$

$$T(v_k) = v_k + v_{k-1} = 1 \cdot v_{k-1} + 1 \cdot v_k \quad \text{for } k = 2, \dots, n$$

$$\text{So we have } [T]_{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

3. (25 points) Let  $V = M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ , and define the linear operator  $T$  on  $V$  by

$$T(A) = A^t,$$

where  $A^t$  is the transpose of  $A$ . Test  $T$  for diagonalizability, and if  $T$  is diagonalizable, find a basis  $\beta$  for  $V$  such that  $[T]_\beta$  is a diagonal matrix.

Sol: Let  $\gamma = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$  be an ordered basis of  $V$ . Then

$$[T]_\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of  $T$  is given by

$$\det([T]_\gamma - xI_4) = (x^2 - 1)(x - 1)^2 = (x - 1)^3(x + 1).$$

It splits over  $\mathbb{R}$  and the eigenvalues of  $T$  are  $1, -1$ , with multiplicity  $3, 1$  respectively. We check that

$$[T]_\gamma - I_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence  $4 - \text{rank}(T - I_V) = 4 - 1 = 3$  which is the multiplicity of  $1$ . We check that

$$[T]_\gamma + I_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

then  $\dim(E_{-1}) = 1$  which is the multiplicity of  $-1$ . Therefore  $T$  is diagonalizable.

By computation, the null space of  $[T]_\gamma - I_4$  is span by the linearly independent set

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Therefore

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

is a basis for the eigenspace  $E_1$ .

By direct calculation, the null space of  $[T]_\gamma + I_4$  is span by the linearly

independent set  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ . Therefore  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$  is a basis for the

eigenspace  $E_{-1}$ .

Combining the bases, we have

$$\beta = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

being an ordered basis for  $V$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $T$ . Hence  $[T]_\beta$  is a diagonal matrix.

4. (20 points) Answer the following questions:

(a) State without any proof the Cayley-Hamilton theorem you learned from the course.

(b) Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix. Use the Cayley-Hamilton theorem to prove that

$$\dim(\text{span}(\{I_n, A, A^2, \dots\})) \leq n,$$

where  $I_n$  is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix. *Hint: Cayley-Hamilton theorem tells that  $A^n$  is a linear combination of  $I_n, A, \dots, A^{n-1}$ .*

Sol:

(a) Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  with  $\dim(V) < \infty$ , and  $f(t)$  be the c.p. of  $T$ . Then,  $T$  satisfies the characteristic equation in the sense that  $f(T) = T_0$ , i.e.,  $f(T)$  is a zero transformation.

(b) Let  $U = \text{span}(\{I, \dots, A_{n-1}\})$ . Then  $\dim U \leq n$ .

To show the proposition, we show that  $\text{span}(\{I, A, \dots\}) = U$ . By definition,  $\text{span}(\{I, A, \dots\}) \supseteq U$ . It then suffices to show that  $A^k \in U$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . The case where  $k < n$  is trivial from the definition of  $U$ .

Suppose there exists  $l \geq n - 1$  such that  $I, A, \dots, A^l \in U$ . Let the characteristic polynomial of  $A$  be  $p(t)$ . Then  $\deg p = n$ . We may assume that  $p(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i t^i$  for some scalar  $c_0, \dots, c_n$  with  $c_n = (-1)^n$ . By Cayley-Hamilton theorem,  $p(A) = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i A^i = c_0 I + \dots + c_n A^n = 0$ . So  $A^n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} -\frac{c_i}{c_n} A^i$ ,  $A^{l+1} = A^{l-n+1} A^n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} -\frac{c_i}{c_n} A^{l-n+1+i} \in U$  as  $A^{l-n+1}, \dots, A^l \in U$ .

By induction,  $A^k \in U$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

So  $\text{span}(\{I, A, \dots\}) = U$  and  $\dim \text{span}(\{I, A, \dots\}) = \dim U \leq n$ .

5. (15 points) Let  $T$  be a linear operator on the vector space  $V$  with  $\text{rank}(T) = k$ . Prove that  $T$  has at most  $k + 1$  distinct eigenvalues. *Hint: Think about the otherwise case when  $T$  has at least  $k + 2$  distinct eigenvalues in which there should exist at least  $k + 1$  distinct nonzero eigenvalues.*

Sol: We prove by contradiction. Consider if  $T$  has at least  $k + 2$  distinct eigenvalues. Then at least  $k + 1$  of them are both distinct and nonzero. We denote them by  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k+1}$ . Also their corresponding eigenvectors are denoted by  $v_1, \dots, v_{k+1}$ . Since the eigenvalues are distinct,  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{k+1}\}$  is linearly independent. Next we prove that  $\text{span}(\{v_1, \dots, v_{k+1}\}) = \text{span}(\{\lambda_1 v_1, \dots, \lambda_{k+1} v_{k+1}\})$ . It is direct to see that  $\text{span}(\{v_1, \dots, v_{k+1}\}) \supset \text{span}(\{\lambda_1 v_1, \dots, \lambda_{k+1} v_{k+1}\})$ . For any  $x \in \text{span}(\{v_1, \dots, v_{k+1}\})$ , there exist  $c_1, \dots, c_{k+1}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} x &= c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_{k+1} v_{k+1} \\ &= \frac{c_1}{\lambda_1} \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \frac{c_{k+1}}{\lambda_{k+1}} \lambda_{k+1} v_{k+1} \in \text{span}(\{\lambda_1 v_1, \dots, \lambda_{k+1} v_{k+1}\}), \end{aligned}$$

since  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k+1}$  are nonzero. Hence we have  $\text{span}(\{v_1, \dots, v_{k+1}\}) \subset \text{span}(\{\lambda_1 v_1, \dots, \lambda_{k+1} v_{k+1}\})$ , which yields that  $\text{span}(\{v_1, \dots, v_{k+1}\}) = \text{span}(\{\lambda_1 v_1, \dots, \lambda_{k+1} v_{k+1}\})$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} k &= \dim(\mathcal{R}(T)) \geq \dim(\text{span}(\{Tv_1, \dots, Tv_{k+1}\})) \\ &= \dim(\text{span}(\{\lambda_1 v_1, \dots, \lambda_{k+1} v_{k+1}\})) = k + 1, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to contradiction.

—END—