

Unless otherwise specified, if we write  $(a, b)$  or  $[a, b]$ , it is always the case that  $a < b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

## 1 Revisiting Convexity

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function. Let  $c \in (a, b)$

- We say that  $f$  has a right-hand derivative at  $c \in (a, b)$  if  $f'_+(c) := \lim_{x \rightarrow c^+} \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c}$  exists.
- We say that  $f$  has a left-hand derivative at  $c \in (a, b)$  if  $f'_-(c) := \lim_{x \rightarrow c^-} \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c}$  exists.

### Quick Practice

0. Let  $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Show that  $f$  is differentiable at  $c \in (a, b)$  if and only if  $f$  has an equal right-hand and left-hand derivative at  $c$ , that is,  $f'_+(c) = f'_-(c)$ .

1. Let  $f : I := (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Show that  $f$  is convex if and only if for all  $x < y < z \in I$ , we have

$$\frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} \leq \frac{f(z) - f(y)}{z - y}$$

2. Let  $f : I := (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function. Let  $p \in I$ . Define  $Q_p(x) := \frac{f(x) - f(p)}{x - p}$  for all  $x \neq p \in I$ .

- Show that  $f$  is convex if and only if for all  $p \in I$  and  $x \leq y \in I \setminus \{p\}$  we have  $Q_p(x) \leq Q_p(y)$ , that is,  $Q_p$  is increasing on  $I \setminus \{p\}$
- Using (a), show that if  $f$  is convex, then  $f$  has left and right derivative at every  $p \in I$ .
- Hence, show that if  $f$  is convex, then for all  $x \leq y \in I$ , we have

$$f'_-(x) \leq f'_+(x) \leq f'_-(y) \leq f'_+(y)$$

3. Let  $f : I := (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a differentiable function.

- Show that  $f$  is convex if and only if  $f'$  is increasing.
- Show that every convex differentiable function on  $I$  is continuously differentiable.

4. Let  $f : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a convex function.

- Show that  $f$  is continuous.
- Can  $f$  be nowhere differentiable? Give an example or prove your assertion otherwise.

5. Let  $I := (a, b)$ . We say  $g : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  an affine function if  $g(x) := Mx + c$  for some  $M, c \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- Let  $L$  be a collection of affine functions on  $I$ . Define  $\phi(x) := \sup\{f(x) : f \in L\}$  for all  $x \in I$ . Show that  $\phi$  is a convex function.
  - Let  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be convex differentiable. Show that there exists a collection of affine functions  $T$  such that  $f(x) := \sup\{L(x) : L \in T\}$ .
  - Let  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be convex (not necessarily differentiable). Is the conclusion of part (b) still true? Prove your assertion or provide a counter-example.
6. Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded function. Let  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be convex. Suppose  $f$  is Riemann integrable over every compact interval  $[a, b]$ .
- Show that  $\phi(\int_0^1 f(t)dt) \leq \int_0^1 \phi(f(t))dt$ . This is called the Jensen's inequality.
  - Using (a), show that for all  $0 < p \leq q$  and  $f \in \mathcal{R}([a, b])$  we have  $(\int_0^1 |f|^p)^{1/p} \leq (\int_0^1 |f|^q)^{1/q}$
  - Is part (a) still true if the domain of integration is changed, that is, do we have  $\phi(\int_a^b f) \leq \int_a^b \phi \circ f$  for any  $a < b \in \mathbb{R}$ ? Prove your assertion or provide a counter-example.
7. Let  $X : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. Define  $\text{var}(X) := \int_0^1 X^2 - (\int_0^1 X)^2$ .
- Show that  $\text{var}(X) \geq 0$ .
  - Show that  $\text{var}(X - c) = \text{var}(X)$  for all  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .
  - Define  $\mu := \int_0^1 X$ . Show that  $\text{var}(X) = 0$  if and only if  $X(\omega) = \mu$  for all  $\omega \in [0, 1]$ , that is,  $X$  is constantly  $\mu$ .
  - Suppose  $m \leq X \leq M$  for some  $m, M \in \mathbb{R}$  point-wise. Show that  $\text{var}(X) \leq \frac{(M-m)^2}{4}$

## 2 Approximations in Integration

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{R}([0, 1])$ . Then for all partitions  $P \subset [0, 1]$ , there exists a step function  $s_P$  such that  $\int_0^1 s_P = L(f, P)$ . Furthermore, we can choose the collection  $\{s_P\}$  such that  $s_Q \geq s_P$  whenever  $Q \succeq P$ , that is, whenever  $Q$  refines  $P$ .

*Proof.* Let  $P := \{x_i\}_{i=1}^k$  be a partition over  $[0, 1]$ . Then  $L(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i(f, P)(x_{i-1}, x_i)$ . Now we define a step function  $s_P$  by  $s_P \equiv m_i(f, P)$  on  $(x_{i-1}, x_i)$ . Note that there is a unique way of defining  $s_P$  on the end-points  $P \subset [0, 1]$  such that  $s_P$  is right-continuous on  $[0, 1)$  and left-continuous at 1. We define  $s_P$  on the end-points according to that. Then it is clear that by splitting domains (or by Lecture Theorems), we have  $\int_0^1 s_P = L(f, P)$ . Furthermore, it is not hard to see that for a refinement  $Q \supset P$ , we have  $s_Q \geq s_P$  point-wise everywhere.  $\square$

**Example 2.2** (cf. Tutorial 6; motivated from 1920 Home Test 1 Q2). Recall that a function  $s : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a step function over  $[0, 1]$  if there exists a partition  $P := \{x_i\}_{i=0}^k \subset [0, 1]$  such that  $s$  is constant over  $(x_{i-1}, x_i)$ .

- (a) Let  $f \in \mathcal{R}([0, 1])$ . Show that there exists a sequence of step functions  $(s_n)$  over  $[0, 1]$  such that  $s_n \leq s_{n+1}$  pointwise for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lim_n \int_0^1 s_n = \int_0^1 f$ .
- (b) Let  $f \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1])$ , that is  $f$  is continuous. Show that there exists a sequence of step functions  $(s_n)$  uniformly approximating  $f$ , that is,  $\lim_n \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |s_n(x) - f(x)| = 0$ . Hence, show that the sequence also satisfies  $\lim_n \int_0^1 s_n = \int_0^1 f$ .
- (c) Suppose  $f \in \mathcal{R}([0, 1])$ . Is it always true that  $f$  is uniformly approximated by step functions, that is, can the assumption in (b) be relaxed to only integrable functions?

**Solution.** a. Let  $(\epsilon_n)$  be a sequence of decreasing positive number such that  $\epsilon_n \downarrow 0$ . By considering lower integral, there exists a sequence of partitions  $(P_n)$  such that  $\int_0^1 f - \epsilon_n < L(f, P_n)$ . Now define  $Q_n := \bigcup_{i=1}^n P_i$ . Then it is not hard to see that  $(Q_n)$  is increasing with respect to refinements and we have  $\int_0^1 f - \epsilon_n < L(f, Q_n)$ . Therefore the step functions defined by  $s_n := s_{Q_n}$  according to the way stated in the beginning is point-wise increasing. Furthermore we have  $\int_0^1 f - \epsilon_n < \int_0^1 s_n = L(f, Q_n)$ . This clearly implies that  $\lim_n \int_0^1 s_n = \int_0^1 f$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

- b. Let  $(\epsilon_n)$  be a sequence of decreasing positive number such that  $\epsilon_n \downarrow 0$ . By compactness,  $f$  is uniformly continuous. Hence, there exists  $\delta_n > 0$  such that  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon_n$  when  $|x - y| < \delta_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  choose a partition  $P_n := \{x_i^n\}_{i=1}^k \subset [0, 1]$  such that  $\max_{i=1}^k |x_i^n - x_{i-1}^n| < \delta_n$ . Define  $s_n$  to be some step function such that  $s_n$  is right continuous on  $[0, 1)$  and left continuous at 1 such that  $s_n \equiv c_{i,n}$  on  $(x_{i-1}^n, x_i^n)$  for some  $c_{i,n} \in (x_{i-1}^n, x_i^n)$ . It follows clearly that  $\sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |s_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \epsilon_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence,  $(s_n)$  approximates  $f$  uniformly.

Next we show that  $\int_0^1 s_n \rightarrow \int_0^1 f$ . This follows because we have for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  that

$$\left| \int_0^1 s_n - \int_0^1 f \right| = \left| \int_0^1 (s_n - f) \right| \leq \int_0^1 |s_n - f| \leq \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |s_n(x) - f(x)|$$

- c. No. We claim that the indicator function  $f := \mathbb{1}_{\{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}$  cannot be uniformly approximated by step functions. Suppose not. Then there exists a step function  $s := \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \mathbb{1}_{(x_i, x_{i-1})}$  where  $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\{x_i\} \subset P$  is a partition such that  $\sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |f(x) - s(x)| < \frac{1}{3}$ . It follows that  $|f(x) - s(x)| \leq \frac{1}{3}$  for all  $x \in (0, 1)$ . In other words, we have  $|c_1 - f(x)| \leq \frac{1}{3}$  for all  $x \in (0, x_1)$ . Nonetheless, note that  $f$  attains both 0 and 1 infinitely over  $(0, x_1)$ . Therefore contradiction arises as it cannot happen at the same time that  $|c_1| \leq \frac{1}{3}$  and  $|c_1 - 1| \leq \frac{1}{3}$  by the triangle inequality.