1 (2021 Home Test 1 Q1). Let  $f(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(\sin \frac{\pi}{x})$  for  $x \neq 0$  and f(0) = 0, where sgn denotes the sign function. Show that f is Riemann integrable over [-1, 1] and find  $\int_{-1}^{1} f(x) dx$ .

**Solution.** Note it is not hard to see that f is an odd function over [-1,1], that is, f(-x) = -f(x) for all  $x \in [-1,1]$ . Therefore for all partition  $P \subset [0,1]$ , considering  $-P \subset [-1,0]$  to be a partition over the other interval, we have that U(f,P) = -L(f,-P) and L(f,P) = U(f,-P). It is also not hard to see that there is a one-to-one correspondence between partitions over [0,1] and [-1,0] respecting refinements of partitions. Therefore, we have  $\overline{\int_0^1} f = - \underline{\int_{-1}^0} f$  and  $\underline{\int_0^1} f = - \overline{\int_{-1}^0} f$  by taking limit of nets. Hence it clearly suffices to show that the restriction  $f \mid_{[0,1]}$  is Riemann integrable.

To this end, observe that f(x) = 0 on [0,1] if and only if x = 0 or x = 1/n for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In addition, f is constant on the open intervals (1/(n+1), 1/n) for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $(\epsilon_n)$  be a sequence of real numbers such that  $0 < \epsilon_n < 1/2n$  and  $\epsilon_n < 1/n^2$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we consider for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the partitions

$$P_n := \{0, \frac{1}{n} - \epsilon_n, \frac{1}{n} + \epsilon_n, \cdots, \frac{1}{2} - \epsilon_n \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon_n, 1 - \epsilon_n, 1\} =: \{x_i\}_{i=1}^k$$

The choice of  $(\epsilon_n)$  makes the elements in  $P_n$  increase strictly from left to right as written above. It is not hard to see that f is constant on the intervals  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$  except for  $[0, \frac{1}{n} - \epsilon_n], [1 - \epsilon_n, 1]$  and  $[\frac{1}{p} - \epsilon_n, \frac{1}{p} + \epsilon_n]$  where 1 . Hence, we have

$$U(f, P_n) - L(f, P_n) \le \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{diam}(f[\frac{1}{i} - \epsilon_n, \frac{1}{i} + \epsilon_n]) 2\epsilon_i \le \sum_{i=1}^n 4\epsilon_n \le 4n\epsilon_n \le 4n/n^2 = 4/n$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is not hard to see that this implies  $f \in \mathcal{R}([0,1])$ . By the remark on the first paragraph, it follows that  $f \in \mathcal{R}([-1,0])$  and so  $f \in \mathcal{R}([-1,1])$ . In addition. we have  $\int_0^1 f = -\int_1^0 f$  from the first paragraph as f is odd. Therefore  $\int_{-1}^1 f = \int_{-1}^0 f + \int_0^1 f = 0$ .

*Remark.* In fact one can observe that for all  $\epsilon > 0$  we have  $f \in \mathcal{R}([-1, -\epsilon])$  and  $f \in \mathcal{R}([1, \epsilon])$  since functions with finitely many continuity are Riemann integrable. This gives another way to show that f is integrable.

**2** (2021 Home Test 1 Q2). Let f be a continuous real-valued function defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(a) Suppose that there are constants  $c_0$  and  $c_1$  such that

$$\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{f(x) - c_0 - c_1 x}{x} = 0.$$

Show that f'(0) exists.

(b) Suppose that f is a  $C^1$ -function and there are constants  $c_0, c_1$  and  $c_2$  such that

$$\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{f(x) - c_0 - c_1 x - c_2 x^2}{x^2} = 0.$$

Does it imply that the second derivative of f at 0 exist? Prove your assertion.

## Solution.

- a. Write  $g(x) := \frac{f(x)-c_0-c_1x}{x}$  for  $x \neq 0$ . Then for  $x \neq 0$ , we have  $xg(x) = f(x) c_0 c_1x$ . This implies  $f(0) = c_0$  as f is continuous. It is then not hard to see that  $g(x) + c_1 = \frac{f(x)-f(0)}{x}$  for  $x \neq 0$  and so  $f'(0) = c_1$ . It particular, f'(0) exists.
- b. No. Consider  $c_0 = c_1 = c_2 = 0$  and consider  $f(x) = x^3 \sin(1/x)$  for  $x \neq 0$  and f(0) = 0. Then  $f'(x) = 3x^2 \sin(1/x) x \cos(1/x^2)$  for  $x \neq 0$  and f'(0) = 0. Note that f' is continuous as  $\lim_{x\to 0} f'(x) = 0$ . Nonetheless, f'(x) does not have derivative at 0.

*Remark.* It is incorrect to use L'Hospital Rule on the limit of part (b) so that the result on part (a) could be used.

**3** (2021 Home Test 1 Q3). Let  $f: (0,1) \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p} & \text{if } x = \frac{q}{p} \text{ and } p, q \text{ are relatively prime positive integers;} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \text{ is irrational.} \end{cases}$$

a) Describe the continuity of f.

b) Describe the differentiability of f.

Justify your answer by using the definitions.

## Solution.

- a. This was come across in 2058: f is continuous precisely at the irrationals.
- b. Since f is only continuous at irrationals, it suffices to consider differentiability at the irrationals. We proceed to claim that f is no-where differentiable. Suppose not. Then f is differentiable at some irrational c. By approaching c with irrationals, then it must be the case that  $f'(c) := \lim_{x \to c} \frac{f(x) f(c)}{x c} = 0$ . It suffices to show that f'(c) cannot be 0. In particular, we show that there exists a sequence of rational numbers  $(x_n)$  such that  $x_n \to c$  but  $\left| \frac{f(x_n)}{x_n c} \right| \ge 1$ . Enumerate the prime numbers  $(p_n)$ . We want to find that for large enough n, there exists  $0 < q_n < p_n$  with  $q_n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|x_n c| < 1/p_n$ . This would then imply eventually, we have  $\left| \frac{f(x_n)}{x_n c} \right| \ge 1$ . To this end, we consider the (unsolved) inequality

$$|x_n - c| < \frac{1}{p_n}$$
$$\iff \left| \frac{q_n}{p_n} - c \right| < \frac{1}{p_n}$$
$$\iff -1 + cp_n < q_n < 1 + cp_r$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Note that by the unboundedness of  $(p_n)$ , or the existence of infinitely many primes, it is clear that there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $-1 + cp_n > 0$  and  $1 + cp_n < p_n$  for all  $n \ge N$ . Also with  $n \ge N$ , we have  $(-1 + cp_n, 1 + cp_n) \subset (0, p_n) \subset (0, \infty)$  to be of length 2 and so must contain some  $q_n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore, we have solved the required inequality for large enough N. It follows there exists a sequence of rational numbers  $(x_n)$  in (0, 1) such that  $\left|\frac{f(x_n)}{x_n - c}\right| \ge 1$  for large enough n. This implies clearly that  $f'(c) \ne 0$  which is a contradiction.

*Remark.* In a sense, the solution to 3(b) is natural because first it is natural to consider rationals with prime denominators to simplify the question; and secondly the  $(x_n)$  we consider is basically just the solution to the desired inequality  $\left|\frac{f(x_n)}{x_n-c}\right| \ge 1$ . It is a standard technique in analysis to identify inequalities that we want and then solve them (in an  $\epsilon - \delta$  argument, we identify the  $\epsilon$ -inequality that we want and try to solve for a  $\delta$ ).

4 (Motivated from 1920 Home Test 1 Q2). Recall that a function  $s : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$  is a step function over [0, 1] if there exists a partition  $P := \{x_i\}_{i=0}^k \subset [0, 1]$  such that s is constant over  $(x_{i-1}, x_i)$ .

- (a) Let  $f \in \mathcal{R}([0,1])$ . Show that there exists a sequence of step functions  $(s_n)$  over [0,1] such that  $s_n \leq s_{n+1}$  pointwise for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lim_n \int_0^1 s_n = \int_0^1 f$ .
- (b) Let  $f \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1])$ , that is f is continuous. Show that there exists a sequence of step functions  $(s_n)$  uniformly approximating f, that is,  $\lim_n \sup_{x \in [0,1]} |s_n(x) f(x)| = 0$ . Hence, show that the sequence also satisfies  $\lim_n \int_0^1 s_n = \int_0^1 f$ .
- (c) Suppose  $f \in \mathcal{R}([0, 1])$ . Is it always true that f is uniformly approximated by step functions, that is, can the assumption in (b) be relaxed to only integrable functions?

**Solution.** We begin with a general observation that every lower (and upper) sum corresponds to an integral of step function. Let  $P := \{x_i\}_{i=1}^k$  be a partition over [0,1]. Then  $L(f,P) = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i(f,P)(x_{i-1},x_i)$ . Now we define a step function  $s_P$  by  $s_P \equiv m_i(f,P)$  on  $(x_{i-1},x_i)$ . Note that there is a unique way of defining  $s_P$  on the end-points  $P \subset [0,1]$  such that  $s_P$  is right-continuous on [0,1) and left-continuous at 1. We define  $s_P$  on the end-points according to that. Then it is clear that by splitting domains (or by Lecture Theorems), we have  $\int_0^1 s_P = L(f,P)$ . Furthermore, it is not hard it see that for a refinement  $Q \supset P$ , we have  $s_Q \ge s_P$  point-wise everywhere. Now we proceed to do the questions:

- a. Let  $(\epsilon_n)$  be a sequence of decreasing positive number such that  $\epsilon_n \downarrow 0$ . By considering lower integral, there exists a sequence of partitions  $(P_n)$  such that  $\int_0^1 f \epsilon_n < L(f, P_n)$ . Now define  $Q_n := \bigcup_{i=1}^n P_i$ . Then it is not hard to see that  $(Q_n)$  is increasing with respect to refinements and we have  $\int_0^1 f \epsilon_n < L(f, Q_n)$ . Therefore the step functions defined by  $s_n := s_{Q_n}$  according to the way stated in the beginning is point-wise increasing. Furthermore we have  $\int_0^1 f \epsilon_n < \int_0^1 s_n = L(f, Q_n)$ . This clearly implies that  $\lim_n \int_0^1 s_n = \int_0^1 f$  as  $n \to \infty$ .
- b. Let  $(\epsilon_n)$  be a sequence of decreasing positive number such that  $\epsilon_n \downarrow 0$ . By compactness, f is uniformly continuous. Hence, there exists  $\delta_n > 0$  such that  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon_n$  when  $|x - y| < \delta_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  choose a partition  $P_n := \{x_i^n\}_{i=1}^k \subset [0, 1]$  such that  $\max_{i=1}^k |x_i^n - x_{i-1}^n| < \delta_n$ . Define  $s_n$  to be some step function such that  $s_n$  is right continuous on [0, 1) and left continuous at 1 such that  $s_n \equiv c_{i,n}$ on  $(x_{i-1}^n, x_i^n)$  for some  $c_{i,n} \in (x_{i-1}^n, x_i^n)$ . It follows clearly that  $\sup_{x \in [0,1]} |s_n(x) - f(x)| \le \epsilon_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence,  $(s_n)$  approximates f uniformly.

Next we show that  $\int_0^1 s_n \to \int_0^1 f$ . This follows because we have for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  that

$$\left|\int_{0}^{1} s_{n} - \int_{0}^{1} f\right| = \left|\int_{0}^{1} (s_{n} - f)\right| \le \int_{0}^{1} |s_{n} - f| \le \sup_{x \in [0,1]} |s_{n}(x) - f(x)|$$

c. No. We claim that the indicator function  $f := \mathbb{1}_{\{\frac{1}{n}:n\in\mathbb{N}\}}$  cannot be uniformly approximated by step functions. Suppose not. Then there exists a step function  $s := \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i \mathbb{1}_{(x_i,x_{1-i})}$  where  $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\{x_i\} \subset P$ is a partition such that  $\sup_{x\in[0,1]} |f(x) - s(x)| < \frac{1}{3}$ . It follows that  $|f(x) - s(x)| \le \frac{1}{3}$  for all  $x \in (0 =: x_0, x_1)$ . In other words, we have  $|c_1 - f(x)| \le \frac{1}{3}$  for all  $x \in (0, x_1)$ . Nonetheless, note that f attains both 0 and 1 infinitely over  $(0, x_1)$ . Therefore contradiction arises as it cannot happen at the same time that  $|c_1| \le \frac{1}{3}$ and  $|c_1 - 1| \le \frac{1}{3}$  by the triangle inequality.