

THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG
Department of Mathematics
MATH2040A (First Term, 2021-22)
Linear Algebra II
Tutorial 3

Optional Part

Sec. 1.6

- 29 Q: (a) Prove that if W_1 and W_2 are finite-dimensional subspaces of a vector space V , then the subspace $W_1 + W_2$ is finite-dimensional, and $\dim(W_1 + W_2) = \dim(W_1) + \dim(W_2) - \dim(W_1 \cap W_2)$. *Hint:* Start with a basis $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$ for $W_1 \cap W_2$ and extend this set to a basis $\{u_1, \dots, u_k, v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ for W_1 and to a basis $\{u_1, \dots, u_k, w_1, \dots, w_p\}$ for W_2 .
- (b) Let W_1 and W_2 be finite-dimensional subspaces of a vector space V , and let $V = W_1 + W_2$. Deduce that V is the direct sum of W_1 and W_2 if and only if $\dim(V) = \dim(W_1) + \dim(W_2)$.

Sol: (a) We pick a basis $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$ for $W_1 \cap W_2$. In particular, $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$ is linearly independent. By Corollary 2 in Sec. 1.6, we can extend $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$ to a basis $\{u_1, \dots, u_k, v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ for W_1 and to a basis $\{u_1, \dots, u_k, w_1, \dots, w_p\}$ for W_2 . Then we claim that $\{u_1, \dots, u_k, v_1, \dots, v_m, w_1, \dots, w_p\}$ is a basis for $W_1 + W_2$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} W_1 + W_2 &= \text{span}(\{u_1, \dots, u_k, v_1, \dots, v_m\}) + \text{span}(\{u_1, \dots, u_k, w_1, \dots, w_p\}) \\ &= \text{span}(\{u_1, \dots, u_k, v_1, \dots, v_m\} \cup \{u_1, \dots, u_k, w_1, \dots, w_p\}) \\ &= \text{span}(\{u_1, \dots, u_k, v_1, \dots, v_m, w_1, \dots, w_p\}). \end{aligned}$$

(See Exercise Q14 in Sec. 1.4.)

On the other hand, suppose $a_1, \dots, a_k, b_1, \dots, b_m, c_1, \dots, c_p$ are scalars such that

$$a_1u_1 + \dots + a_ku_k + b_1v_1 + \dots + b_mv_m + c_1w_1 + \dots + c_pw_p = \vec{0}. \quad (1)$$

Rearranging, we have

$$b_1v_1 + \dots + b_mv_m = -(a_1u_1 + \dots + a_ku_k + c_1w_1 + \dots + c_pw_p) \in W_1 \cap W_2$$

and hence \exists scalars d_1, \dots, d_k such that $b_1v_1 + \dots + b_mv_m = -(d_1u_1 + \dots + d_ku_k)$. Rearranging again,

$$d_1u_1 + \dots + d_ku_k + b_1v_1 + \dots + b_mv_m = \vec{0}.$$

By linear independence of $\{u_1, \dots, u_k, v_1, \dots, v_m\}$, we have, in particular, $b_1 = \dots = b_m = 0$. Then (1) reduces to

$$a_1u_1 + \dots + a_ku_k + c_1w_1 + \dots + c_pw_p = \vec{0}.$$

We apply the same trick again, making use of linear independence of $\{u_1, \dots, u_k, w_1, \dots, w_p\}$ to show that $a_1 = \dots = a_k = c_1 = \dots = c_p = 0$. $\{u_1, \dots, u_k, v_1, \dots, v_m, w_1, \dots, w_p\}$ is

then linearly independent and hence a basis for $W_1 + W_2$. In particular, $W_1 + W_2$ is finite-dimensional.

Finally, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\dim(W_1 + W_2) &= k + m + p = (k + m) + (k + p) - k \\ &= \dim(W_1) + \dim(W_2) - \dim(W_1 \cap W_2).\end{aligned}$$

(b) (\Rightarrow) Suppose $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$. Then $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{\vec{0}\}$ and by (a)

$$\begin{aligned}\dim(V) &= \dim(W_1 + W_2) \\ &= \dim(W_1) + \dim(W_2) - \dim(W_1 \cap W_2) \\ &= \dim(W_1) + \dim(W_2)\end{aligned}$$

since $\dim(W_1 \cap W_2) = 0$.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose $\dim(V) = \dim(W_1) + \dim(W_2)$. Then by (a), $\dim(W_1 \cap W_2) = \dim(V) - \dim(W_1) - \dim(W_2) = 0$, whence $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{\vec{0}\}$. By hypothesis, $V = W_1 + W_2$. Thus, $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$.

- 33 Q: (a) Let W_1 and W_2 be subspaces of a vector space V such that $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$. If β_1 and β_2 are bases for W_1 and W_2 , respectively, show that $\beta_1 \cap \beta_2 = \emptyset$ and $\beta_1 \cup \beta_2$ is a basis for V .
- (b) Conversely, let β_1 and β_2 be disjoint bases for subspaces W_1 and W_2 , respectively, of a vector space V . Prove that if $\beta_1 \cup \beta_2$ is a basis for V , then $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$.

Sol: (a) We first show that $\beta_1 \cup \beta_2$ is a basis for V .

Indeed, fix $v \in V$. $\exists w_1 \in W_1$ and $w_2 \in W_2$ such that $v = w_1 + w_2$. Then \exists scalars $a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_n$, vectors $u_1, \dots, u_m \in \beta_1$ and $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \beta_2$ such that

$$v = a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m + b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_n v_n \in \text{span}(\beta_1 \cup \beta_2).$$

Hence, $V = \text{span}(\beta_1 \cup \beta_2)$.

Suppose a_1, \dots, a_n are scalars and $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \beta_1 \cup \beta_2$ such that

$$a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_n u_n = \vec{0}.$$

By relabelling the u_i 's if necessary, assume $u_1, \dots, u_k \in \beta_1$ and $u_{k+1}, \dots, u_n \in \beta_2$. Then

$$a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_k u_k = -(a_{k+1} u_{k+1} + \dots + a_n u_n) \in W_1 \cap W_2 = \{\vec{0}\},$$

whence $a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_k u_k = (-a_{k+1}) u_{k+1} + \dots + (-a_n) u_n = \vec{0}$. By linear independence of both β_1 and β_2 , $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 0$. Hence, $\beta_1 \cup \beta_2$ is linearly independent.

Therefore, $\beta_1 \cup \beta_2$ is a basis for V .

We next prove $\beta_1 \cap \beta_2 = \emptyset$ by contradiction.

Assume the contrary that $\beta_1 \cap \beta_2 \neq \emptyset$. Then we can pick an element $u \in \beta_1 \cap \beta_2$. In particular, $u \in W_1 \cap W_2 = \{\vec{0}\}$. It forces that $1 \cdot u = u = \vec{0}$. But $1 \neq 0$. It violates the linear independence of β_1 . Therefore, $\beta_1 \cap \beta_2 = \emptyset$.

(b) Suppose $v \in V$. Then \exists scalars a_1, \dots, a_n and vectors $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \beta_1 \cup \beta_2$ such that $v = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i u_i$. By relabelling the u_i 's if necessary, assume $u_1, \dots, u_k \in \beta_1$ and $u_{k+1}, \dots, u_n \in \beta_2$. Then $v = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i u_i + \sum_{i=k+1}^n a_i u_i \in W_1 + W_2$. Hence, $V = W_1 + W_2$.

Suppose $w \in W_1 \cap W_2$. \exists scalars $a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_n$, distinct vectors $u_1, \dots, u_m \in \beta_1$ and distinct vectors $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \beta_2$ such that $w = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i u_i = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j v_j$. Then we have $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i u_i + \sum_{j=1}^n (-b_j) v_j = \vec{0}$. Note that $\beta_1 \cap \beta_2 = \emptyset$. It implies that $u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_n$ are all distinct. Then by linear independence of $\beta_1 \cup \beta_2$, $a_1 = \dots = a_m = b_1 = \dots = b_n = 0$, whence $w = \vec{0}$. It implies that $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{\vec{0}\}$.

All in all, $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$.

34 Q: (a) Prove that if W_1 is any subspace of a finite-dimensional vector space V , then there exists a subspace W_2 of V such that $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$.

(b) Let $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ and $W_1 = \{(a_1, 0) : a_1 \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Give examples of two different subspaces W_2 and W'_2 such that $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$ and $V = W_1 \oplus W'_2$.

Sol: (a) Choose a basis B_1 for W_1 . In particular, B_1 is linearly independent. By Corollary 2 in Sec. 1.6, B_1 can be extended to a basis B for V . Let $B_2 = B \setminus B_1$ and define $W_2 = \text{span } B_2$. We claim that $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$.

Indeed, $W_1 + W_2 \subset V$ clearly. Consider any $v \in V$. \exists scalars a_1, \dots, a_n and $u_1, \dots, u_n \in B$ such that $v = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i u_i$. Note that $B = B_1 \cup B_2$. Without loss of generality, we assume $u_1, \dots, u_k \in B_1$ and $u_{k+1}, \dots, u_n \in B_2$ (otherwise we just relabel the u_i 's and a_i 's). Then

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i u_i + \sum_{i=k+1}^n a_i u_i \in W_1 + W_2.$$

Therefore, $V = W_1 + W_2$.

On the other hand, obviously $\vec{0} \in W_1 \cap W_2$. Suppose $w \in W_1 \cap W_2$. Then \exists scalars $a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_n$, distinct vectors $u_1, \dots, u_m \in B_1$ and distinct vectors $v_1, \dots, v_n \in B_2$ such that

$$w = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i u_i = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j v_j.$$

Since $B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$, $u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_n$ are distinct. Rearranging the above equation yields

$$a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m + (-b_1) v_1 + \dots + (-b_n) v_n = \vec{0}.$$

Then by linear independence of B , $a_1 = \dots = a_m = b_1 = \dots = b_n = 0$, whence $w = \vec{0}$. Thus, $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{\vec{0}\}$.

To conclude, $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$.

(b) Let $W_2 = \{(0, a_2) : a_2 \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $W'_2 = \{(a, a) : a \in \mathbb{R}\}$. They are subspaces of V . $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $(a, b) = (a, 0) + (0, b) \in W_1 + W_2$ and $(a, b) = (a - b, 0) + (b, b) \in W_1 + W'_2$. Hence, $V = W_1 + W_2 = W_1 + W'_2$. It remains to show that $W_1 \cap W_2 = W_1 \cap W'_2 = \{(0, 0)\}$. Suppose $v \in W_1 \cap W_2$. Then $v = (a_1, 0) = (0, a_2)$ for some $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and hence $v = (0, 0)$. Thus $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{(0, 0)\}$. Suppose $w \in W_1 \cap W'_2$. Then $w = (a_1, 0) = (a, a)$ for some $a_1, a \in \mathbb{R}$, whence $w = (0, 0)$. Thus $W_1 \cap W'_2 = \{(0, 0)\}$. To conclude, $V = W_1 \oplus W_2 = W_1 \oplus W'_2$.