

## MATH1050 Proof-writing Exercise 7

### Advice.

- Study the Handouts *Statements with several quantifiers, Dis-proofs* (with emphasis on ‘dis-proofs by counter-example’) before answering the questions.
- Besides the handouts mentioned above, Question (6a) of Exercise 7 is also suggestive on what it takes to give a correct argument with dis-proof by counter-example.

1. Consider the statement  $(\star)$  below.

$(\star)$  Let  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $x + y, y + z$  are divisible by 3. Then  $x + z$  is divisible by 3.

(a) Write down the negation of the statement  $(\star)$ .

(b) Dis-prove the statement  $(\star)$ .

2. Dis-prove the statements below:

(a) Suppose  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(b) For any  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ , if both of  $s + t, st$  are rational, then at least one of  $s, t$  is rational.

(c) Let  $n$  be a positive integer, and  $\zeta$  be a complex number. Suppose  $\zeta$  is an  $n^2$ -th root of unity. Then  $\zeta^2$  is an  $n$ -th root of unity.

3. Dis-prove the statements below:

(a) Suppose  $A, B, C$  are sets. Then  $A \setminus (C \setminus B) \subset A \cap B$ .

(b) Suppose  $A, B, C$  are non-empty sets. Then  $B \setminus A \subset (C \setminus A) \setminus (C \setminus B)$ .

4. Dis-prove the statements below:

(a) Let  $I$  be an open interval, and  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function. Suppose  $f$  is differentiable on  $I$ , and  $f$  is strictly increasing on  $I$ . Then  $f'(x) > 0$  for any  $x \in I$ .

(b) Let  $I$  be an open interval, and  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function. Suppose  $f$  is differentiable on  $I$ , and  $f'(x) \geq 0$  for any  $x \in I$ . Then  $f$  is strictly increasing on  $I$ .

(c) Let  $I, J$  be open intervals, and  $f : I \cup J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function. Suppose  $f$  is differentiable at every point of  $I \cup J$ , and  $f'(x) = 0$  for any  $x \in I \cup J$ . Then  $f$  is constant on  $I \cup J$ .

5. We introduce/recall the definition for the notion of *non-singularity* for square matrices with real entries:

Let  $A$  be an  $(m \times m)$ -square matrix with real entries. The matrix  $A$  is said to be **non-singular** if the statement (NS) holds:

(NS) For any  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , if  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  then  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

Dis-prove the statements below.

(a) Let  $A, B$  be non-zero  $(2 \times 2)$ -square matrices with real entries. Suppose  $A, B$  are non-singular and  $A + B$  is not the zero matrix. Then  $A + B$  is non-singular.

(b) Let  $A, B$  be non-zero  $(2 \times 2)$ -square matrices with real entries. Suppose  $A, B$  are non-singular and  $A + B$  is not the zero matrix. Then  $A + B$  is singular.

(c) Let  $A, B$  be non-zero  $(2 \times 2)$ -square matrices with real entries. Suppose  $A, B$  are singular and  $A + B$  is not the zero matrix. Then  $A + B$  is singular.

(d) Let  $A, B$  be non-zero  $(2 \times 2)$ -square matrices with real entries. Suppose  $A, B$  are singular and  $A + B$  is not the zero matrix. Then  $A + B$  is non-singular.