

# MATH1050 Proof-writing Exercise 5 (Answers and selected solution)

## 1. Solution.

*Acceptable argument (A).*

Let  $r$  be a real number greater than 1. Denote by  $P(n)$  the proposition below:

Suppose  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  are positive real numbers. Then  $\log_r \left( \prod_{j=1}^n a_j \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \log_r(a_j)$ .

- Suppose  $a, b$  are positive real numbers. Then  $\log_r(ab) = \log_r(a) + \log_r(b)$  by (#).

It follows that  $P(2)$  is true.

- Let  $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ . Suppose  $P(k)$  is true. We verify that  $P(k+1)$  is true:

\* Suppose  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, a_{k+1}$  are positive real numbers.

Since  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k$  are positive real numbers,  $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k$  is a positive real number.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \log_r \left( \prod_{j=1}^{k+1} a_j \right) &= \log_r \left( \left( \prod_{j=1}^k a_j \right) \cdot a_{k+1} \right) \\ &= \log_r \left( \prod_{j=1}^k a_j \right) + \log_r(a_{k+1}) \quad (\text{by } (\#)) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k \log_r(a_j) + \log_r(a_{k+1}) \quad (\text{by } P(k)) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \log_r(a_j) \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $P(k+1)$  is true.

By the Principle of Mathematical Induction,  $P(n)$  is true for any positive integer  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ .

*Acceptable argument (B), but not preferable.*

Let  $r$  be a real number greater than 1. Let  $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  be an infinite sequence of positive real numbers. Denote by  $S(n)$  the proposition below:

$$\log_r \left( \prod_{j=1}^n a_j \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \log_r(a_j).$$

- We have  $\log_r(a_1 a_2) = \log_r(a_1) + \log_r(a_2)$  by (#).

Then  $S(2)$  is true.

- Let  $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ . Suppose  $S(k)$  is true. We verify that  $S(k+1)$  is true:

\* Since  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k$  are positive real numbers,  $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k$  is a positive real number.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \log_r \left( \prod_{j=1}^{k+1} a_j \right) &= \log_r \left( \left( \prod_{j=1}^k a_j \right) \cdot a_{k+1} \right) \\ &= \log_r \left( \prod_{j=1}^k a_j \right) + \log_r(a_{k+1}) \quad (\text{by } (\#)) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k \log_r(a_j) + \log_r(a_{k+1}) \quad (\text{by } S(k)) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \log_r(a_j) \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $S(k + 1)$  is true.

By the Principle of Mathematical Induction,  $S(n)$  is true for any positive integer  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ .

2. —

3. (a) **Solution.**

Let  $A, B$  be  $(m \times m)$ -square matrices with real entries. Suppose  $A, B$  are non-singular.

We verify that  $AB$  is non-singular:

Pick any  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Suppose  $AB\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

We have  $A(B\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$ . Then, since  $A$  is non-singular,  $B\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

Then, since  $B$  is non-singular, we have  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

It follows that  $AB$  is non-singular.

(b) i. **Answer.**

Let  $n$  be an integer greater than 1. Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  be  $(m \times m)$ -square matrices. Suppose  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  are non-singular. Then  $A_1 A_2 \cdots A_n$  is non-singular.

ii. **Solution.**

Denote by  $P(n)$  the proposition below:

Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  be  $(m \times m)$ -square matrices. Suppose  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  are non-singular. Then  $A_1 A_2 \cdots A_n$  is non-singular.

- $P(2)$  is true by the result of part (a).
- Let  $k$  be an integer greater than 1. Suppose  $P(k)$  is true.

We verify that  $P(k + 1)$  is true:

Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k, A_{k+1}$  be  $(m \times m)$ -square matrix.

Suppose  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k, A_{k+1}$  is non-singular. Write  $C = A_1 A_2 \cdots A_k$ .

By  $P(k)$ , since  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k$  are non-singular, the product  $C$  is non-singular.

Note that  $A_1 A_2 \cdots A_k A_{k+1} = C A_{k+1}$ .

Then, by the result of part (a),  $A_1 A_2 \cdots A_k A_{k+1}$  is non-singular.

By the Principle of Mathematical Induction,  $P(n)$  is true for any integer greater than 1.

*Alternative 'inductive step'?*

- Let  $k$  be an integer greater than 1. Suppose  $P(k)$  is true.

We verify that  $P(k + 1)$  is true:

Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k, A_{k+1}$  be  $(m \times m)$ -square matrix.

Suppose  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k, A_{k+1}$  is non-singular.

By the result in part (a), since  $A_k, A_{k+1}$  are non-singular,  $A_k A_{k+1}$  is non-singular.

$A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{k-1}, A_k A_{k+1}$  are non-singular. Then by  $P(k)$ , the product  $A_1 A_2 \cdots A_{k-1} A_k A_{k+1}$  is non-singular.

4. —