

0. You are supposed to have a lot of practical experience in **solving equations and inequalities (and systems of such things)** in school mathematics, and in a beginning course in linear algebra.

Recall:

A **predicate with variables** x, y, z, \dots is a statement ‘modulo’ the ambiguity of possibly one or several variables x, y, z, \dots . In general, it may fail to be a statement. However, provided we have specified x, y, z, \dots in such a predicate, it becomes a statement, for which it makes sense to say it is true or false.

1. Equations and inequalities as predicates.

- (a) Every **equation** with one unknown (or more) is a predicate in which the variables are the unknowns of the equation.

Examples.

- | | | |
|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| i. $x^2 - 1 = 0.$ | iv. $x^2 + y^2 = 1.$ | vii. $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1.$ |
| ii. $x^2 + 1 = 0.$ | v. $x^2 - y^2 = 1.$ | |
| iii. $x + 2y + 3 = 0.$ | vi. $x + y + z = 1.$ | viii. $x^2 + y^2 = z^2.$ |

- (b) Every **inequality** with one unknown (or more) is a predicate in which the variables are the unknowns of the inequality.

Examples.

- | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| i. $x^2 - 1 \geq 0.$ | iv. $x^2 + y^2 < 1.$ | vii. $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \leq 1.$ |
| ii. $x^2 + 1 > 0.$ | v. $x^2 - y^2 < 1.$ | |
| iii. $x + 2y + 3 \leq 0.$ | vi. $x + y + z \geq 1.$ | viii. $x^2 + y^2 \leq z^2.$ |

2. Systems of equations/inequalities.

A **collection of equations/inequalities** with one unknown (or more) joint by the word ‘*and*’ and/or ‘*or*’ is referred to as a system of equations/inequalities. Such a system may be regarded as a predicate in which the variables are the unknowns in the equations and the inequalities.

- (a) When both ‘*and*’ and ‘*or*’ are involved in a system, we use brackets appropriately to indicate how the system is supposed to be understood.

Examples.

- | | |
|--|--|
| i. $x < 3$ and $x > -1$ | vi. $x + y < 3$ or $2x - y > 4$ |
| ii. $x > 3$ or $x < -1$ | vii. $x > 1$ or $y < 2$ or $z > 3$ |
| iii. $x + y = 1$ and $x^2 + y^2 \leq 9$ | viii. $(x < 3y$ and $y < 2z)$ or $x + y + z = 1$ |
| iv. $x + y < 2$ and $x^2 + y^2 \leq 4$ | ix. $x < 3y$ and $(y < 2z$ or $x + y + z = 1)$ |
| v. $x + y + z < 1$ and $x > 0$ and $y > 0$ and $z > 0$ | |

- (b) When only the word ‘*and*’ is involved, we usually present the system by listing the equations/inequalities ‘line-by-line’, with the ‘left curly bracket’ placed on the left side of this list.

Examples.

- | | |
|---|---|
| i. $\begin{cases} x + 2y = -3 \\ 3x + y = 1 \end{cases}$ | iv. $\begin{cases} x + 2y - z = -3 \\ 3x + y + 3z = 1 \end{cases}$ |
| ii. $\begin{cases} x + 2y = 2 \\ x^2 + y^2 = 1 \end{cases}$ | v. $\begin{cases} x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 4 \\ x^2 + y^2 - z^2 = 1. \end{cases}$ |
| iii. $\begin{cases} x^2 + y^2 = 1 \\ x^2 - y^2 = 1 \end{cases}$ | vi. $\begin{cases} x + y - z = 0 \\ 3x + y + 3z = 1 \\ 2x - 4y + z = 3 \end{cases}$ |

3. What is ‘solving an equation/inequality’?

To **solve** an equation/inequality with unknowns x, y, z, \dots amongst *so-and-so* is to specify, for that equation/inequality regarded as a predicate with variables x, y, z, \dots , all the ‘concrete objects’ amongst *so-and-so* which, upon ‘substitution into the variables’ of the predicate, turn the predicate into a true statement. Each such ‘concrete object’ which turn the predicate into a true statement is called a **solution** for that equation/inequality.

In practice, this is what we usually do:

- First perform some manipulation, starting from the equation/inequality concerned, in order to find all possible candidates for x, y, z, \dots .
- Then substitute these candidates for x, y, z, \dots into the predicate (which is the equation/inequality concerned) to see whether we obtain a true statement.

4. What is ‘solving an equation/inequality with one or more unknowns in so-and-so’?

To solve an equation/inequality with unknowns x, y, z, \dots in *so-and-so* is to specify, for that equation/inequality regarded as a predicate with variables x, y, z, \dots , all the ‘concrete objects’ amongst *so-and-so* which, upon ‘substitution into the variables’ of the predicate, turn the predicate into a true statement.

Each such ‘concrete object’ which turn the predicate into a true statement is called a solution in *so-and-so* for that equation/inequality.

Remark. In this course, the ‘*so-and-so*’ concerned are often the reals or the complex numbers. But the same idea applies when the ‘*so-and-so*’ concerned are the rationals, the integers, or perhaps other ‘exotic objects’ which you did not encounter at school.

5. What is ‘solving a system of equations/inequalities with one or more unknowns in so-and-so’?

To solve a system of equations/inequalities with unknowns x, y, z, \dots in *so-and-so* is to specify, for all the equations/inequalities in the system regarded as predicates with variables x, y, z, \dots , the common ‘concrete objects’ amongst *so-and-so* which, upon ‘substitution into the variables’ of the predicates, turn all predicates simultaneously into true statements.

Each such ‘concrete object’ which turn all predicates into a true statement is called a solution in *so-and-so* for that system of equations/inequalities.

6. What is the solution set of an equation/inequality or a system of equations/inequalities with one or more unknowns in so-and-so?

The solution set of an equation/inequalities (or a system of equations/inequalities) with one unknown in *so-and-so* is the collection of all objects amongst *so-and-so* which are solutions of the equation/inequalities (or the system of equations/inequalities) concerned.

The solution set of an equation/inequality (or a system of equations/inequalities) with two unknowns in *so-and-so* is the collection of ‘ordered pairs’ of concrete objects amongst *so-and-so* which are solutions of the equation/inequalities (or the system of equations/inequalities) concerned.

The solution set of an equation/inequality (or a system of equations/inequalities) with three unknowns in *so-and-so* is the collection of ‘ordered triples’ of concrete objects amongst *so-and-so* which are solutions of the equation/inequality (or the system of equations/inequalities) concerned.

Et cetera.

7. Illustrations: what are we really doing when we solve an equation with one unknown in the reals?

Here we look back from a more advanced standpoint at ‘**solving equations**’ in school mathematics.

- (a) Below is a typical example for the topic *quadratic equations* in a typical school mathematics textbook:

‘Solve the equation $x^2 - 3x + 2 = 0$ with unknown x in the reals.’

It is likely that you find such a ‘chain’ of calculations from the textbook:

$$\begin{aligned}x^2 - 3x + 2 &= 0 \\(x - 1)(x - 2) &= 0 \\x - 1 = 0 &\text{ or } x - 2 = 0 \\x = 1 &\text{ or } x = 2\end{aligned}$$

This ‘chain’ of calculations is likely followed by a paragraph to the effect below:

We check whether ‘ $x = 1$ ’, ‘ $x = 2$ ’ are solutions of the equation $x^2 - 3x + 2 = 0$.

Put $x = 1$ into $x^2 - 3x + 2 = 0$. LHS = RHS.

Put $x = 2$ into $x^2 - 3x + 2 = 0$. LHS = RHS.

Hence we conclude that the solution of the equation $x^2 - 3x + 2 = 0$ is given by $x = 1$ or $x = 2$.

(b) Below is a typical example for the topic *absolute value* in a typical school mathematics textbook:

‘Solve the equation $3x = |2x - 3|$ with unknown x in the reals.’

It is likely that you find such a ‘chain’ of calculations from the textbook:

$$\begin{aligned} 3x &= |2x - 3| \\ 3x = 2x - 3 &\quad \text{or} \quad 3x = -(2x - 3) \\ x = -3 &\quad \text{or} \quad x = \frac{3}{5} \end{aligned}$$

This ‘chain’ of calculations is definitely followed by a paragraph to the effect below: We check whether ‘ $x = -3$ ’, ‘ $x = \frac{3}{5}$ ’ are solutions of the equation $3x = |2x - 3|$.

Put $x = -3$ into $3x = |2x - 3|$. LHS \neq RHS.

Put $x = \frac{3}{5}$ into $3x = |2x - 3|$. LHS = RHS.

Hence we conclude that the (only) solution of the equation $3x = |2x - 3|$ is given by $x = \frac{3}{5}$.

When we think very carefully on the ‘logic’ in the examples above, we will realize we are giving a very much garbled version of the chain of reasoning below, in the respective examples:

(a) (*₁) We proceed to solve for all real solutions of the equation $x^2 - 3x + 2 = 0$ — (★) with unknown x below.

(*₂) Let α be a real number. Suppose $x = \alpha$ is a solution of (★). Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 2 &= 0 \\ (\alpha - 1)(\alpha - 2) &= 0 \\ \alpha - 1 = 0 &\quad \text{or} \quad \alpha - 2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\alpha = 1$ or $\alpha = 2$.

(We have only argued for the statement

‘if $x = \alpha$ is a solution of (★) then $\alpha = 1$ or $\alpha = 2$.’

We cannot immediately conclude that $x = 1$ is a solution of (★); nor can we conclude that $x = 2$ is a solution of the equation (★.)

(*₃) (Now we check whether $x = 1$ is a solution of (★). Then we check whether $x = 2$ is a solution of (★).)

- Suppose $\alpha = 1$. Then $\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 2 = 1^2 - 3(1) + 2 = 0$.

It follows that $x = 1$ is a solution of the equation (★).

- Suppose $\alpha = 2$. Then $\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 2 = 2^2 - 3(2) + 2 = 0$.

It follows that $x = 2$ is a solution of the equation (★).

(*₄) It follows (from (*₂), (*₃) combined) that the solution of (★) is $x = 1$ or $x = 2$.

(b) (*₁) We proceed to solve for all real solutions of the equation $3x = |2x - 3|$ — (★) with unknown x below.

(*₂) Let α be a real number. Suppose $x = \alpha$ is a solution of (★). Then:

$$\begin{aligned} 3\alpha &= |2\alpha - 3| \\ 3\alpha = 2\alpha - 3 &\quad \text{or} \quad 3\alpha = -(2\alpha - 3) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\alpha = -3$ or $\alpha = \frac{3}{5}$.

(We have only argued for the statement

'if $x = \alpha$ is a solution of (\star) then $\alpha = -3$ or $\alpha = \frac{3}{5}$.'

We cannot immediately conclude that $x = -3$ is a solution of (\star) ; nor can we conclude that $x = \frac{3}{5}$ is a solution of the equation (\star) .)

(\star_3) (Now we check whether $x = -3$ is a solution of (\star) . Then we check whether $x = \frac{3}{5}$ is a solution of (\star) .)

- Suppose $\alpha = -3$. Then $3\alpha = 3(-3) = -9 \neq 9 = |2 \cdot (-3) - 3| = |2\alpha - 3|$.

It follows that $x = -3$ is not a solution of the equation (\star) .

- Suppose $\alpha = \frac{3}{5}$. Then $3\alpha = 3 \cdot \frac{3}{5} = \frac{9}{5} = |2 \cdot \frac{3}{5} - 3| = |2\alpha - 3|$.

It follows that $x = \frac{3}{5}$ is a solution of the equation (\star) .

(\star_4) It follows (from (\star_2) , (\star_3) combined) that the only solution of (\star) is $x = \frac{3}{5}$.

What we are actually seeing in these school maths examples is a schema for solving an equation with one unknown in the reals:

- (Step 1.) First perform some manipulation, starting from the equation concerned, in order to find all possible candidates for the unknown x in the reals.

In terms of logic, this is what we are telling others after the completion of this step:

Let α be a real number. Suppose ' $x = \alpha$ ' is a solution of this equation concerned. Then the real number α is of value this-or-that-or-blah-blah-blah.'

Be careful:

- * If it happens that there is no candidate amongst the reals to talk about, we declare that there is no real solution for the equation concerned.
- * It can happen that some false candidates are found because of the nature of certain kinds of manipulations (for example, '*squaring both sides of the equation*', '*multiplying both sides of the equation by an expression involving the unknowns*', '*removing logarithm by exponentiating both sides of the equations*'). Such false candidates need be removed by 'checking solution' (which is Step 2 below).
- (Step 2.) Substitute each candidate for x named above (in Step 1) into the predicate (which is the equation concerned) to see whether we obtain a true statement.
 - * If we obtain a true statement from such a candidate, we declare it to be a solution of the equation concerned.
 - * If we obtain a false statement from such a candidate, we declare it to be not a solution of the equation concerned.

In terms of logic, this is what we are telling others after the completion of this step:

Let α be a real number. Suppose α is of value this-or-that-or-blah-blah-blah. Then ' $x = \alpha$ ' is a solution of the equation concerned.'

Remark. The process for solving a system of equations/inequalities with one or more unknowns in *so-and-so* is analogous to what has been described.