1. Recall the definition for the notion transpose of a matrix from the handout Miscellanies on matrices:

Let A be an  $(m \times n)$ -matrix, whose (i, j)-th entry is denoted by  $a_{ij}$ .

The  $(n \times m)$ -matrix whose  $(k, \ell)$ -th entry is given by  $a_{\ell k}$  is called the transpose of A, and is denoted by  $A^t$ .

$$(So\ A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & a_{m3} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix} \text{ whereas } A^t = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} & \cdots & a_{m1} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{m2} \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & \cdots & a_{m2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{1n} & a_{2n} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix}.)$$

### 2. Theorem ( $\alpha$ ). (Basic properties of transpose.)

The statements below hold:

- (a) Suppose A, B are  $(m \times n)$ -matrices. Then  $(A + B)^t = A^t + B^t$ .
- (b) Suppose A is an  $(m \times n)$ -matrix, and  $\alpha$  is a real number. Then  $(\alpha A)^t = \alpha A^t$ .
- (c) Suppose A is an  $(m \times n)$ -matrix, and B is an  $(n \times p)$ -matrix. Then  $(AB)^t = B^t A^t$ .
- (d) Suppose A is an  $(m \times n)$ -matrix. Then  $(A^t)^t = A$ .

**Proof of Theorem** ( $\alpha$ ). Exercise. (It is necessary to go back to the definition for equalities between matrices in terms of equalities between respective entries.)

# 3. Theorem ( $\beta$ ). (Transpose and nonsingularity.)

Let A be an  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix.

Suppose A is non-singular and invertible.

Then  $A^t$  is non-singular and invertible, and the matrix inverse of  $A^t$  is given by  $(A^t)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^t$ .

#### 4. Proof of Theorem ( $\beta$ ).

Let A be an  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix. Suppose A is non-singular and invertible.

By assumption, the matrix inverse of A is well-defined. Write  $B = A^{-1}$ .

By definition,  $BA = I_n$  and  $AB = I_n$ .

Then 
$$B^t A^t = (AB)^t = I_n^t = I_n$$
.

Also, 
$$A^t B^t = (BA)^t = I_n^{\ t} = I_n$$
.

Therefore, by definition,  $A^t$  is non-singular and invertible, and the matrix inverse of  $A^t$  is given by  $(A^t)^{-1} = B^t = (A^{-1})^t$ .

#### 5. Definition. (Row space of a matrix.)

Let G be an  $(m \times n)$ -matrix.

The row space of G is defined to be the column space of the  $(n \times m)$ -matrix  $G^t$ . It is denoted by  $\mathcal{R}(G)$ .

**Remark.** Denote the rows of 
$$G$$
, from top to bottom, by  $\mathbf{g}_1, \mathbf{g}_2, \dots, \mathbf{g}_m$ . (So  $G = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathbf{g}_1}{\mathbf{g}_2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\mathbf{g}_m}{\mathbf{g}_m} \end{bmatrix}$ .)

Then, according to the 'dictionary' between the notions of span and column space, we have  $\mathcal{R}(G) = \mathcal{C}(G^t) = \operatorname{Span}(\{\mathbf{g}_1^t, \mathbf{g}_2^t, \cdots, \mathbf{g}_m^t\})$ .

1

### 6. Lemma $(\gamma)$ .

Suppose H is an  $(n \times p)$ -matrix, and B is a non-singular  $(p \times p)$ -matrix. Then  $\mathcal{C}(HB) = \mathcal{C}(H)$ .

**Remark.** The conclusion in Lemma  $(\gamma)$  is a set equality, which reads:

Both (†) and (‡) below hold:

- (†) For any  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ , if  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(HB)$  then  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ .
- (‡) For any  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ , if  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$  then  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{C}(HB)$ .

### 7. Proof of Lemma $(\gamma)$ .

Suppose H is an  $(n \times p)$ -matrix, and B is a non-singular  $(p \times p)$ -matrix.

• Pick any  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(HB)$ .

By definition, there exists some  $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^p$  such that  $\mathbf{y} = (HB)\mathbf{s}$ .

[We want to verify  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ .

We are in fact trying to verify that there is some  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^p$  for which the equality  $\mathbf{y} = H\mathbf{u}$  holds.

Ask: Can we name such a vector  $\mathbf{u}$ ? How about naming  $\mathbf{u}$  as  $B\mathbf{s}$ ?

Take  $\mathbf{u} = B\mathbf{s}$ . By definition,  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ .

Also, 
$$\mathbf{y} = (HB)\mathbf{s} = H(B\mathbf{s}) = H\mathbf{u}$$
.

Then, by definition,  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ .

• Pick any  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ .

By definition, there exists some  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^p$  such that  $\mathbf{z} = H\mathbf{t}$ .

[We want to verify  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{C}(HB)$ .

We are in fact trying to verify that there is some  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^p$  for which the equality  $\mathbf{z} = (HB)\mathbf{v}$  holds.

Ask: Can we name such a vector  $\mathbf{v}$ ? How about naming  $\mathbf{v}$  as  $B^{-1}\mathbf{t}$ ?

Take  $\mathbf{v} = B^{-1}\mathbf{t}$ . By definition,  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ .

Also, 
$$\mathbf{z} = H\mathbf{t} = H(I_p\mathbf{t}) = H[(BB^{-1})\mathbf{t}] = H[B(B^{-1}t)] = H(B\mathbf{v}) = (HB)\mathbf{v}.$$

Then, by definition,  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{C}(HB)$ .

It follows that C(H) = C(HB).

#### 8. Theorem $(\delta)$ .

Suppose G is an  $(m \times n)$ -matrix, and A is a non-singular  $(m \times m)$ -matrix. Then  $\mathcal{R}(AG) = \mathcal{R}(G)$ .

## Proof of Theorem $(\delta)$ .

Suppose G is an  $(m \times n)$ -matrix, and A is a non-singular  $(m \times m)$ -matrix.

Note that  $A^t$  is a non-singular  $(m \times m)$ -matrix.

Then 
$$\mathcal{R}(AG) = \mathcal{C}((AG)^t) = \mathcal{C}(G^tA^t) = \mathcal{C}(G^t) = \mathcal{R}(G)$$
.

**Remark.** In plain words, this result is saying that

the row space of a matrix is preserved upon multiplication of a non-singular square matrix from the left to matrix.

When we think in terms of row operations, this result is saying that

the row space of a matrix is preserved upon the application of row operations on the matrix.

#### 9. Theorem $(\varepsilon)$ .

Suppose G is an  $(m \times n)$ -matrix, and  $\hat{G}$  is the reduced row-echelon form which is row-equivalent to G. Then the statements below hold:

(a) 
$$\mathcal{R}(\hat{G}) = \mathcal{R}(G)$$
.

(b) Denote the rank of  $\hat{G}$  by r. Suppose r > 0.

Denote the top r rows of  $\hat{G}$  by  $\hat{\mathbf{g}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{g}}_2, \cdots, \hat{\mathbf{g}}_r$ .

Then  $\hat{\mathbf{g}}_1^t, \hat{\mathbf{g}}_2^t, \cdots, \hat{\mathbf{g}}_r^t$  constitute a basis for  $\mathcal{R}(G)$ .

## 10. Proof of Theorem ( $\varepsilon$ ).

Suppose G is an  $(m \times n)$ -matrix, and  $\hat{G}$  is the reduced row-echelon form which is row-equivalent to G.

(a) There exists some non-singular  $(m \times m)$ -square matrix A such that  $\hat{G} = AG$ .

Then 
$$\mathcal{R}(\hat{G}) = \mathcal{R}(AG) = \mathcal{R}(G)$$
.

(b) Denote the rank of  $\hat{G}$  by r. Suppose r > 0.

Denote the top r rows of  $\hat{G}$  by  $\hat{\mathbf{g}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{g}}_2, \cdots, \hat{\mathbf{g}}_r$ .

Note that the bottom m-r rows of  $\hat{G}$  are rows of zeros. Their respective transposes are the zero vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

We verify that  $\hat{\mathbf{g}}_1^t, \hat{\mathbf{g}}_2^t, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{g}}_r^t$  constitute a basis for  $\mathcal{R}(\hat{G})$ :

• We have 
$$\mathcal{R}\Big(\hat{G}\Big) = \mathcal{C}\Big(\hat{G}^t\Big) = \operatorname{Span}\left(\{\hat{\mathbf{g}}_1^t, \hat{\mathbf{g}}_2^t, \cdots, \hat{\mathbf{g}}_r^t, \underbrace{\mathbf{0}_n, \mathbf{0}_n, \cdots, \mathbf{0}_n}_{m-r \text{ copies}}\}\right) = \operatorname{Span}\left(\{\hat{\mathbf{g}}_1^t, \hat{\mathbf{g}}_2^t, \cdots, \hat{\mathbf{g}}_r^t\}\right).$$

• [We want to verify that  $\hat{\mathbf{g}}_1^t, \hat{\mathbf{g}}_2^t, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{g}}_r^t$  are linearly independent.] Label the pivot columns of  $\hat{G}$ , from left to right, by  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_r$ . Then by definition, for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$ , the j-th entry  $c_{ij}$  of  $\hat{\mathbf{g}}_i^t$  is given by

$$c_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} & i = j \\ 0 & \text{if} & i \neq j \end{cases}$$

Pick any  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r \in \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose  $\alpha_1 \hat{\mathbf{g}}_1^t + \alpha_2 \hat{\mathbf{g}}_2^t + \dots + \alpha_r \hat{\mathbf{g}}_r^t = \mathbf{0}_n$ .

For each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$ , the j-th entry of the vector  $\alpha_1 \hat{\mathbf{g}}_1^t + \alpha_2 \hat{\mathbf{g}}_2^t + \dots + \alpha_r \hat{\mathbf{g}}_r^t$  is given by  $\alpha_1 c_{1j} + \alpha_2 c_{2j} + \dots + \alpha_r c_{rj} = \alpha_j$ .

The *j*-th entry of  $\mathbf{0}_n$  is 0.

Then  $\alpha_j = 0$ .

Hence  $\hat{\mathbf{g}}_1^t, \hat{\mathbf{g}}_2^t, \cdots, \hat{\mathbf{g}}_r^t$  are linearly independent.

It follows that  $\hat{\mathbf{g}}_1^t, \hat{\mathbf{g}}_2^t, \cdots, \hat{\mathbf{g}}_r^t$  constitute a basis for  $\mathcal{R}(\hat{G})$ . Hence they also constitute a basis for  $\mathcal{R}(G)$ .

11. Theorem ( $\varepsilon$ ) suggests another method for determining a basis for the span of several vectors (which is different from the method described in the handout *Minimal spanning set*).

'Algorithm' associated with Theorem ( $\varepsilon$ ).

Let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{u}_p$  be non-zero vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

We proceed to determine a basis for Span  $(\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{u}_p\})$  as described below:

• Step (1).

Form the 
$$(p \times n)$$
-matrix  $G = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathbf{u_1}^t}{\mathbf{u_2}^t} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{u_p}^t \end{bmatrix}$ .

• Step (2).

Obtain the reduced row-echelon form  $\hat{G}$  which is row equivalent to G.

• Step (3).

Denote the rank of  $\hat{G}$  by r.

(Since G is not the zero matrix,  $\hat{G}$  is not the zero matrix. The rank of  $\hat{G}$  will be at least 1.)

Denote the top r rows of  $\hat{G}$  by  $\hat{\mathbf{g}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{g}}_2, \cdots, \hat{\mathbf{g}}_r$ .

 $\hat{\mathbf{g}}_1^t, \hat{\mathbf{g}}_2^t, \cdots, \hat{\mathbf{g}}_r^t$  constitute a basis for Span  $(\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{u}_p\})$ .

12. Illustrations.

(a) Let 
$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 6 \\ 12 \\ 33 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $\mathbf{u}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 24 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$ , and  $V = \mathsf{Span} \ (\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3\})$ .

We want to obtain a basis for V.

Define 
$$G = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1^t \\ \mathbf{u}_2^t \\ \mathbf{u}_3^t \end{bmatrix}$$
.

We find the reduced row-echelon form  $\hat{G}$  which is row equivalent to G:

$$G = \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} 7 & 6 & 12 & 33 \\ 5 & 5 & 7 & 24 \\ 1 & 0 & 4 & 5 \end{array} \right] \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \hat{G} = \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \right].$$

3

The rank of  $\hat{G}$  is 3. For each i, denote the transpose of the i-th row of  $\hat{G}$  by  $\mathbf{t}_i$ .

We have 
$$\mathbf{t}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $\mathbf{t}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\mathbf{t}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

A basis for V is constituted by  $\mathbf{t}_1, \mathbf{t}_2, \mathbf{t}_3$ .

(b) Let 
$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\7\\1\\-1 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $\mathbf{u}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\3\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 3\\2\\5\\-1\\9 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\-1\\-5\\2\\0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $V = \mathsf{Span}\;(\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3, \mathbf{u}_4\}).$ 

We want to obtain a basis for V.

Define 
$$G = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathbf{u}_1^t}{\mathbf{u}_2^t} \\ \frac{\mathbf{u}_3^t}{\mathbf{u}_4^t} \end{bmatrix}$$
.

We find the reduced row-echelon form  $\hat{G}$  which is row equivalent to G:

$$G = \left[ \begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 2 & 7 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 5 & -1 & 9 \\ 1 & -1 & -5 & 2 & 0 \end{array} \right] \longrightarrow \cdots \cdots \longrightarrow \hat{G} = \left[ \begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right].$$

The rank of  $\hat{G}$  is 3. For each i, denote the transpose of the i-th row of  $\hat{G}$  by  $\mathbf{t}_{i}$ .

We have 
$$\mathbf{t}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\-1\\0\\3 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $\mathbf{t}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\4\\0\\-1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\mathbf{t}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\0\\1\\-2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

A basis for V is constituted by  $\mathbf{t}_1, \mathbf{t}_2, \mathbf{t}_3$ .

(c) Let 
$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 5 \\ -7 \\ 12 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $\mathbf{u}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -4 \\ -1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -6 \\ -1 \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $V = \text{Span } (\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3, \mathbf{u}_4\})$ .

We want to obtain a basis for V

Define 
$$G = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathbf{u}_1^t}{\mathbf{u}_2^t} \\ \frac{\mathbf{u}_3^t}{\mathbf{u}_4^t} \end{bmatrix}$$
.

We find the reduced row-echelon form  $\hat{G}$  which is row equivalent to G:

The rank of  $\hat{G}$  is 3. For each i, denote the transpose of the i-th row of  $\hat{G}$  by  $\mathbf{t}_{i}$ .

We have 
$$\mathbf{t}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $\mathbf{t}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ -2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\mathbf{t}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

A basis for V is constituted by  $\mathbf{t}_1, \mathbf{t}_2, \mathbf{t}_3$ .