

MATH 2050C Mathematical Analysis I

2020-21 Term 2

Solution to Problem Set 1

Notation: Ex. = Exercise; A.P. = Algebraic Properties of \mathbb{R} .

2.1-2

a) Note $(-a) + (-b) + (a + b) \stackrel{\text{A.P.}(A2)}{=} (-a) + (-b) + a + b \stackrel{\text{A.P.}(A1)}{=} (-a) + a + (-b) + b \stackrel{\text{A.P.}(A4,A2)}{=} 0 + 0 \stackrel{\text{A.P.}(A3)}{=} 0$.

Then by A.P.(A4), $-(a + b) = (-a) + (-b)$.

b) $(-a) \cdot (-b) \stackrel{\text{Ex.1c}}{=} ((-1) \cdot a) \cdot ((-1) \cdot b) \stackrel{\text{A.P.}(M2)}{=} (-1) \cdot (-1) \cdot a \cdot b \stackrel{\text{Ex.1d}}{=} a \cdot b$.

c) If $a \neq 0$, $(1/(-a)) \cdot (-a) = 1$ and $-(1/a) \cdot (-a) \stackrel{\text{Ex.1c}}{=} -(1/a) \cdot (-1) \cdot a \stackrel{\text{Ex.1d}}{=} (1/a) \cdot a = 1$. By Theorem 2.1.3(a), $1/(-a) = -(1/a)$.

d) $-(a/b) + (a/b) = 0$ and $(-a)/b + a/b \stackrel{\text{A.P.}(D)}{=} ((-a) + a) \cdot (1/b) = 0$. By Exercise 1(a), $-(a/b) = (-a)/b$.

2.1-8

(a). Note that for $b \neq 0, c \neq 0$, we have $a/b = (ac)/(bc)$. (This is a consequence of A.P.(M1,M2,M4))

Since x, y are rational numbers, we can find $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $q_1 \neq 0, q_2 \neq 0$ such that $x = \frac{p_1}{q_1}, y = \frac{p_2}{q_2}$. So

$$x + y = \frac{p_1 q_2}{q_1 q_2} + \frac{p_2 q_1}{q_1 q_2} \stackrel{\text{A.P.}(M4,D)}{=} \frac{p_1 q_2 + p_2 q_1}{q_1 q_2}, xy \stackrel{\text{A.P.}(M2,M4)}{=} \frac{p_1 p_2}{q_1 q_2}$$

Hence, $x + y, xy$ are both rational numbers.

(b). Suppose x is a rational number and y is an irrational number. If on the contrary, $z := x + y$ is a rational number, we know that $-x$ is a rational number and hence $z - x = z + (-x)$ is a rational number. This contradicts with the fact that $y = z - x$ is irrational.

Further more, if $x \neq 0$, we still assume $z := xy$ is rational. Then by result of (a), we know $y = z/x$ is rational. This is a contradiction. Hence xy is irrational.

2.1-11

a) Clearly, we will have $1/a \neq 0$. If not, we have $1 = a \cdot (1/a) = a \cdot 0 = 0$, a contradiction. By Theorem 2.1.8(a), we know $(1/a)^2 > 0$. Hence $a \cdot (1/a)^2 > 0$, which implies $1/a > 0$. $1/(1/a) \stackrel{(M3)(M4)}{=} (a \cdot (1/a)) \cdot (1/(1/a)) \stackrel{(M2)}{=} a \cdot ((1/a) \cdot (1/(1/a))) \stackrel{(M4)(M3)}{=} a$

b) Since $1/2 > 0$, by Theorem 2.1.7 (c),

$$\frac{1}{2}a < \frac{1}{2}b$$

Again, use Theorem 2.1.7 (b), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}a + \frac{1}{2}a < \frac{1}{2}b + \frac{1}{2}a \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2}a + \frac{1}{2}b < \frac{1}{2}b + \frac{1}{2}b$$

Using (D) algebraic properties of \mathbb{R} , and $(1/2) + (1/2) = 1 \cdot (1/2) + 1 \cdot (1/2) = 2 \cdot (1/2) = 1$, we have

$$a < \frac{1}{2}(a+b) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2}(a+b) < b$$

This is exactly what we want.

2.1-23

Clearly the conclusion holds for $n = 1$. So by Mathematical induction, we only need to show when the conclusion holds for $n = k$, then it will hold for $n = k+1$.

First, if we have $a < b$, then by the assumption of induction, we will have $a^k < b^k$ (conclusion holds for k). Then

$$a^{k+1} < ab^k < b \cdot b^k = b^{k+1}$$

On the other hand, if we have $a^{k+1} < b^{k+1}$, by the Order Properties, we have three cases, $a > b, a = b, a < b$. we need to rule out first two cases.

Indeed, if $a = b$, we will have $a^{k+1} = b^{k+1}$, which contradicts our assumption. (You can also show this result by induction). And if $a > b$, then by our conclusion holds for k , we will have $a^k > b^k$ and similar as above, we can get $a^{k+1} > b^{k+1}$, which also contradicts our assumption. Hence we can only have $a < b$. This will finish our proof.