

**THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG**  
**Department of Mathematics**  
**MATH 3030 Abstract Algebra 2019-20**  
**Homework 6 Solution**  
**Due Date: 24th October 2019**

**Compulsory part**

1.  $\Rightarrow$ : Suppose that the action is not faithful. Then there is an element  $e \neq g \in G$  such that  $gx = x$  for all  $x \in X$ . Since  $X$  is a  $G$ -set,  $ex = x$  for all  $x \in X$ , so  $g$  and  $e$  these two distinct elements of  $G$  have the same action on each element of  $X$ .

$\Leftarrow$ : Suppose there are two distinct elements of  $G$  have the same action on each element of  $X$ , say  $g_1$  and  $g_2$ . One has

$$\forall x \in X, g_1x = g_2x \Rightarrow \forall x \in X, g_2^{-1}g_1x = x.$$

This suggests that the action is not faithful. We are done by contrapositive.

2. We show by the definition.

- We first have  $g_1, g_2 \in G_Y \Rightarrow \forall y \in Y, g_1y = y$  and  $g_2y = y$ . So

$$g_1g_2y = g_1y = y, \forall y \in Y.$$

Therefore  $g_1g_2 \in G_Y$ .

- Clearly, the identity of  $G$  is also in  $G_Y$ .
- Note that  $g \in G_Y \Rightarrow \forall y \in Y, gy = y$ . So  $\forall y \in Y, g^{-1}y = y$ . Hence  $g^{-1} \in G_Y$ .

3. (a) Because rotation through 0 radians leaves each point of the plane fixed,  $0Q = Q$  for all  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . For any  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $(\theta_1 + \theta_2)Q = \theta_1(\theta_2)Q$  is also valid, because a rotation counterclockwise through  $\theta_1 + \theta_2$  radians can be achieved by sequentially rotating through  $\theta_2$  radians and then through  $\theta_1$  radians.

(b) The orbit containing  $P$  is a circle centered at the origin  $(0, 0)$  with radius the distance from  $P$  to the origin.

(c) It is a cyclic subgroup  $\langle 2\pi \rangle$  of  $G$ .

4. Note that every  $G$ -set is the union of its orbits  $X_i$  for  $i \in I$ . These  $X_i$ 's are transitive. We are now going to show that  $X_i$  is isomorphic to the  $G$ -set  $L$  of all left cosets of  $G_{a_i}$  where  $a_i \in X_i$ . First of all, for any  $x \in X_i$  we have  $x = ga_i$  for some  $g \in G$ , since  $X_i$  is transitive and now we are able to define a map  $\phi : X_i \rightarrow L$  by  $\phi(x) = gG_{a_i}$ . We need to show that the definition of  $\phi$  is independent of the choice of  $g$ : suppose that  $g_1a_i = x_1$  and  $g_2a_i = x_2$ , then  $g_1a_i = g_2a_i \Rightarrow g_2^{-1}g_1 \in G_{a_i}$ , so  $g_1G_{a_i} = g_2G_{a_i}$ . It remains to show that it is one-to-one and onto. Suppose  $\phi(x_1) = \phi(x_2)$  where  $x_1, x_2 \in X_i$  and let  $g_1x_1 = a_i$  and  $g_2x_2 = a_i$  for some  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ .  $\phi(x_1) = \phi(x_2)$  implies that  $g_1G_{a_i} = g_2G_{a_i}$ , so we have  $g_1 = g_2g_0$  for some  $g_0 \in G_{a_i}$ .  $g_1a_i = x_1$  leads to  $g_2g_0a_i = x_1$  saying that  $g_2a_i = x_1$  and hence  $x_2 = x_1$ . This shows that  $\phi$  is one-to-one. For any coset  $gG_{a_i}$ ,  $\phi(ga_i) = gG_{a_i}$

provided that  $ga_i \in X_i$  by the transitivity. This shows that  $\phi$  is onto. Finally, to show that  $\phi$  is an isomorphism of  $G$ -sets, that is  $g\phi(x) = \phi(gx)$ . Let  $x = g_1a_i$ . Then

$$gx = g(g_1a_i) = (gg_1)a_i$$

so  $\phi(gx) = (gg_1)G_{a_i} = g(g_1G_{a_i}) = g\phi(x)$ .

It is possible that the group  $G_{a_i}$  may be the same as the group  $G_{a_j}$  for some  $i \neq j$  in  $I$ , but by attaching the index  $i$  to each coset of  $G_{a_i}$  and  $j$  to each coset of  $G_{a_j}$  as indicated in the statement of this question, we can consider these  $i$ th and  $j$ th coset  $G$ -sets to be disjoint. Identifying  $X_i$  with this isomorphic  $i$ th coset  $G$ -set, we see that  $X$  is isomorphic to a disjoint union of left coset  $G$ -sets.

5. (a) Let  $g \in K$ . We have  $g(g_0x_0) = g_0x_0$ , then  $(g_0^{-1}gg_0)x_0 = x_0$ , which means that  $g_0^{-1}gg_0 \in H$ , so  $g \in g_0Hg_0^{-1}$ . Hence  $K \subset g_0Hg_0^{-1}$ . Making a symmetric argument, starting with  $g \in H$ ,  $g_0x_0$  as initial base point, and obtaining  $x_0$  as second base point by  $g_0^{-1}$  acting on  $g_0x_0$ , we see that  $H \subset g_0^{-1}Kg_0$ , or equivalently,  $g_0Hg_0^{-1} \subset K$ . Thus  $K = g_0Hg_0^{-1}$ .
- (b) Conjecture: The  $G$ -set of left cosets of  $H$  is isomorphic to the  $G$ -set of left cosets of  $K$  if and only if  $H$  and  $K$  are two subgroups of  $G$  with conjugation to each other.
- (c) We first show that if  $H$  and  $K$  are conjugate subgroups of  $G$ , then the  $G$ -set  $L_H$  of left cosets of  $H$  is isomorphic to the  $G$ -set  $L_K$  of left cosets of  $K$ . Let  $g_0 \in G$  be chosen such that  $K = g_0Hg_0^{-1}$ . Note that for  $aH \in L_H$ , we have

$$aHg_0^{-1} = agg_0^{-1}g_0Hg_0^{-1} = ag_0^{-1}K \in L_K.$$

We now define  $\phi : L_H \rightarrow L_K$  by  $\phi(aH) = ag_0^{-1}K$ . We just saw that  $ag_0^{-1}K = (aH)g_0^{-1}$  so the map  $\phi$  is independent of the choice of  $a \in H$ , that is,  $\phi$  is well defined. Because  $ag_0^{-1}$  assumes all values in  $G$  as  $a$  varies through  $G$ , we see that  $\phi$  is onto. If  $\phi(aH) = \phi(bH)$ , then we have

$$(aH)g_0^{-1} = ag_0^{-1}K = \phi(aH) = \phi(bH) = bg_0^{-1}K = (bH)g_0^{-1},$$

so  $aH = bH$  and  $\phi$  is one to one. To show  $\phi$  is an isomorphism of  $G$ -sets, it only remains to show that  $\phi(g(aH)) = g\phi(aH)$  for all  $g \in G$  and  $aH \in L_H$ . But  $\phi(g(aH)) = \phi((ga)H) = (ga)g_0^{-1}K = g(ag_0^{-1}K) = g\phi(aH)$ , and we are done.

Conversely, suppose that  $\phi : L_H \rightarrow L_K$  is an isomorphism of the  $G$ -set of left cosets of  $H$  onto the  $G$ -set of left cosets of  $K$ . Because  $\phi$  is an onto map, there exists  $g_0 \in G$  such that  $\phi(g_0H) = K$ . Because  $\phi$  commutes with the action of  $G$ , we have

$$(g_0hg_0^{-1})K = (g_0hg_0^{-1})\phi(g_0H) = \phi(g_0hg_0^{-1}g_0H) = \phi(g_0H) = K,$$

so  $g_0hg_0^{-1} \in K$  for all  $h \in H$ , that is,  $g_0Hg_0^{-1} \subset K$ . From  $\phi(g_0H) = K$ , we can see that  $\phi^{-1}(g_0^{-1}K) = H$ , and an argument similar to the one just made then shows that  $g_0^{-1}Kg_0 \subset H$ . Thus  $g_0Hg_0^{-1} = K$ , that is, the subgroups are indeed conjugate to each other.

6. There are four of them. From the proof of  $|Gx| = (G : G_x)$ , a transitive  $G$ -set is isomorphic to  $G/G_x$  (as the set of left cosets). The group  $S_3$  has subgroups  $\{Id\}$ ,  $\langle(1, 2)\rangle$ ,  $\langle(1, 3)\rangle$ ,  $\langle(2, 3)\rangle$ ,  $\langle(1, 2, 3)\rangle$  and  $S_3$ . So there are four transitive  $S_3$ -set up to isomorphism:

- (a)  $X = S_3/\{Id\}$ ;
- (b)  $X = S_3/\langle(1, 2)\rangle \simeq S_3/\langle(1, 3)\rangle \simeq S_3/\langle(3, 2)\rangle$ ;
- (c)  $X = S_3/\langle(1, 2, 3)\rangle$ ;
- (d)  $X = S_3/S_3$ .