

Tutorial 11

Tools of ☆☆☆☆☆☆☆☆ Finding Extrema

- > First derivative test
- > Second derivative test
- > Lagrange Multiplier(s)

- ① (a) State Second Derivative Test for multivariable function.
(b) Describe briefly how Second Derivative Test is obtained from Taylor's Theorem.

Ans: 1(a) A "general version" of 2nd Derivative Test:

Let $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n .

If $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is twice continuously differentiable,
and $a \in \Omega$ is a critical point of f ,
(i.e. $\nabla f(a) = \vec{0}$ in this case)

then $\left(\left(\forall h \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\vec{0}\}, h^T (Hf(a)) h > 0 \right) \Rightarrow f(a) \text{ is a local min.} \right)$
(i.e. $Hf(a)$ is +ve definite)

and $\left(\left(\forall h \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\vec{0}\}, h^T (Hf(a)) h < 0 \right) \Rightarrow f(a) \text{ is a local max.} \right)$
(i.e. $Hf(a)$ is -ve definite)

and $\left(\left(\exists h, k \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\vec{0}\} \text{ s.t. } h^T (Hf(a)) h > 0 \text{ and } k^T (Hf(a)) k < 0 \right) \right)$
(i.e. $Hf(a)$ is indefinite)
 $\Rightarrow a$ is a saddle point of f

Remark: Using results from Linear Algebra (MATH 2040),
(Spectral Theorem)

We may show that

$$\left(Hf(a) \text{ is positive definite} \right) \Leftrightarrow \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{all eigenvalues of } Hf(a) \\ \text{is/are positive} \end{array} \right) \Leftrightarrow \left(\begin{array}{l} \forall k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \\ \det(H_k) > 0 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\left(Hf(a) \text{ is negative definite} \right) \Leftrightarrow \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{all eigenvalues of } Hf(a) \\ \text{is/are negative} \end{array} \right) \Leftrightarrow \left(\det(H_k) \begin{cases} < 0 \text{ if } k \text{ is odd} \\ > 0 \text{ if } k \text{ is even} \end{cases} \right)$$

$$\left(Hf(a) \text{ is indefinite} \right) \Leftrightarrow \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{some eigenvalue of } Hf(a) > 0 \text{ and} \\ \text{some eigenvalue of } Hf(a) < 0 \end{array} \right)$$

where H_k is the $k \times k$ submatrix of $Hf(a)$

defined as
$$H_k := \begin{pmatrix} f_{x_1 x_1}(a) & f_{x_1 x_2}(a) & \dots & f_{x_1 x_k}(a) \\ f_{x_2 x_1}(a) & f_{x_2 x_2}(a) & \dots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_{x_k x_1}(a) & \dots & \dots & f_{x_k x_k}(a) \end{pmatrix}$$

The above \Leftrightarrow 's may provide easier ways of checking definiteness of $Hf(a)$.

By completing square or using the above remark,
we may obtain a "less general" version of 2nd Derivative Test:

If $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ is open, $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is C^2 , $a \in \Omega$ and $\nabla f(a) = \vec{0}$,

then $\left((f_{xx}(a)f_{yy}(a) - f_{xy}(a)^2) > 0 \text{ and } f_{xx}(a) > 0 \right) \Rightarrow f(a) \text{ is a local min.}$

and $\left((f_{xx}(a)f_{yy}(a) - f_{xy}(a)^2) > 0 \text{ and } f_{xx}(a) < 0 \right) \Rightarrow f(a) \text{ is a local max.}$

and $\left((f_{xx}(a)f_{yy}(a) - f_{xy}(a)^2) < 0 \right) \Rightarrow a \text{ is a saddle point}$

1 (b) (How to get 2nd Derivative Test from Taylor's Thm?)

Rough idea (not rigorously written):

Taylor's Thm tells us when x is "close to" a ,

$$f(x) \approx f(a) + \underbrace{\nabla f(a)}_0 \cdot (x-a) + \frac{1}{2!} (x-a)^T Hf(a) (x-a),$$

0 (by assumption in 2nd Derivative Test)

which basically gives you the "general version" of 2nd Derivative Test.

We could continue to "show" the "less general version":

$$f(x) - f(a) \approx \frac{1}{2!} (x-a)^T Hf(a) (x-a) \quad (\text{by completing square})$$

$$f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \quad \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2!} (h_1, h_2) \begin{pmatrix} f_{xx}(a) & f_{xy}(a) \\ f_{yx}(a) & f_{yy}(a) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2!} (h_1, h_2) \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B & C \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2!} (h_1, h_2) \begin{pmatrix} Ah_1 + Bh_2 \\ Bh_1 + Ch_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2!} \begin{pmatrix} (Ah_1 + Bh_2)h_1 \\ + (Bh_1 + Ch_2)h_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2!} (Ah_1^2 + 2B(h_1)h_2 + Ch_2^2)$$

"WLOG" assume $A \neq 0$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2!} A \left[(h_1)^2 + \left(\frac{2B}{A}h_2\right)(h_1) + \left(\frac{C}{A}h_2^2\right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2!} A \left[\left(h_1 + \frac{B}{A}h_2\right)^2 - \left(\frac{B}{A}h_2\right)^2 + \left(\frac{C}{A}h_2^2\right) \right]$$

$$\therefore f(x) - f(a) \approx \frac{1}{2!} A \left[\left(h_1 + \frac{B}{A}h_2\right)^2 + \frac{AC - B^2}{A^2} h_2^2 \right],$$

which basically gives you the "less general" version.

(2) Define $g: B_{\frac{1}{2}}(1,1,1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$g(x,y,z) = -\frac{1}{4}(x^{-4} + y^{-4} + z^{-4}) + yz - x - 2y - 2z.$$

Let $a := (1, 1, 1)$. Determine whether $a = (1, 1, 1)$ corresponds to a local minimum, local maximum, or saddle point.

Ans: Let $(x, y, z) \in B_{\frac{1}{2}}(1, 1, 1)$.

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x, y, z) = x^{-5} - 1, \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(x, y, z) = y^{-5} + z - 2, \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial z}(x, y, z) = z^{-5} + y - 2.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \nabla g(x, y, z) &= (g_x(x, y, z), g_y(x, y, z), g_z(x, y, z)) \\ &= (x^{-5} - 1, y^{-5} + z - 2, z^{-5} + y - 2) \end{aligned}$$

Since $B_{\frac{1}{2}}(1, 1, 1)$ is open, g is C^2 and

$$\nabla g(1, 1, 1) = (0, 0, 0),$$

We may use 2nd Derivative Test (general version).

$$H_g(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} g_{xx}(x, y, z) & g_{xy}(x, y, z) & g_{xz}(x, y, z) \\ g_{yx}(x, y, z) & g_{yy}(x, y, z) & g_{yz}(x, y, z) \\ g_{zx}(x, y, z) & g_{zy}(x, y, z) & g_{zz}(x, y, z) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} -5x^{-6} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -5y^{-6} & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -5z^{-6} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$H_g(1, 1, 1) = \begin{pmatrix} -5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -5 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -5 \end{pmatrix}$$

Using the notation in remark for 1(a),
we have

$$\det(H_1) = \det(-5) = -5 < 0$$

$$\det(H_2) = \det\left(\begin{pmatrix} -5 & 0 \\ 0 & -5 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 25 > 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \det(H_3) &= \det\left(\begin{pmatrix} -5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -5 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -5 \end{pmatrix}\right) \\ &= (-5)^3 - (-5) = (-5) \cdot 24 < 0 \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore H_g(1,1,1)$ is negative definite.

\therefore By 2nd Derivative Test,

g attains local maximum at $a = (1,1,1)$.