

**THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG**  
**Department of Mathematics**  
**2018-2019 semester 1 MATH4060**  
**Homework 4 solution**

**5.10** By (5.2b), the orders of both functions are 1 (cos is a sum of exp). Then by Hadamard factorisation theorem and symmetric grouping of factors,

$$f(z) = e^z - 1 = e^{Az+B} z \prod_{n \neq 0} \left(1 - \frac{z}{2\pi in}\right) e^{z/(2\pi in)} = e^{Az+B} z \prod_{n > 0} \left(1 + \frac{z^2}{(2\pi n)^2}\right)$$

$$g(z) = \cos \pi z = e^{Cz+D} \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{n + 1/2}\right) e^{z/(n+1/2)} = e^{Cz+D} \prod_{n > 0} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{(n - 1/2)^2}\right)$$

Considering  $f'(0) = g(0) = 1$  shows  $e^B = e^D = 1$  and considering the constant terms in  $(f'/f)(0)$  and  $(g'/g)(0)$  shows  $A = 1/2$  and  $C = 0$ .

**5.11** Suppose  $f$  misses  $a$  and  $b$ , and  $a \neq b$ . By Hadamard's factorisation theorem, since  $f - a$  has no zero,  $f(z) = e^{P(z)}$  for some polynomial  $P$ . By fundamental theorem of algebra,  $P$  is surjective on  $\mathbb{C}$ , and hence  $(f - a)(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . In particular,  $b - a = f(z) - a$  for some  $z$ , contradictory to the assumption that  $f$  misses  $b$ .

**5.14** We prove the contrapositive. Suppose  $f$  has finitely many zeros  $a_1, \dots, a_k$ . Then  $f/Q$  has no zero for the polynomial  $Q(z) = \prod (z - a_i)$ , and hence  $f = Qe^P$  for some polynomial  $P$ . Then the order of  $f$  is the degree of  $P$ , and hence is integral.

**5.15** By Weierstrass factorisation theorem, there exist holomorphic  $f$  and  $g$  such that  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$  are the set of zeros of  $f$  and  $g$  respectively. Then  $h = f/g$  is a meromorphic function that vanishes exactly at  $\{a_n\}$  and has poles exactly at  $\{b_n\}$ . Now, let  $\varphi$  be a meromorphic function with zeros  $\{\tilde{a}_n\}$  and poles  $\{\tilde{b}_n\}$ . Then  $\varphi/h$  is entire without zeros if  $h$  is defined with  $a_n = \tilde{a}_n$  and  $b_n = \tilde{b}_n$ . Then by taking log,  $\varphi/h = e^\psi$  for some entire  $\psi$ . Then  $\varphi = (e^\psi f)/g$ , where  $e^\psi f$  and  $g$  are entire.

**6.1** By the factorisation of  $1/\Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma(s) = e^{-\gamma s} \frac{1}{s} \prod_{n > 0} \frac{n}{n+s} e^{s/n}$ . Since  $e^{-\gamma s} = \lim_N e^{s(\log N - \sum_1^N 1/n)}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(s) &= \lim_N e^{s(\log N - \sum_1^N 1/n)} \frac{1}{s} \prod_1^N \frac{n}{n+s} e^{s/n} \\ &= \lim_N \frac{e^{s \log N} N!}{s(s+1)\dots(s+N)} \\ &= \lim_N \frac{N^s N!}{s(s+1)\dots(s+N)} \end{aligned}$$

**6.4** Since  $f^{(n)}(z) = \alpha(\alpha+1)\dots(\alpha+n-1)(1-z)^{-(\alpha+n)}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_n a_n(\alpha)/(n^{\alpha-1}/\Gamma(\alpha)) &= \Gamma(\alpha) \lim_n \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)\dots(\alpha+n-1)}{n!n^{\alpha-1}} \\
&= \lim_n \frac{n^\alpha n!}{\alpha(\alpha+1)\dots(\alpha+n)} \lim_n \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)\dots(\alpha+n-1)}{n!n^{\alpha-1}} \\
&= \lim_n \frac{n}{\alpha+n} \\
&= 1
\end{aligned}$$

**6.5** Since  $\overline{\Gamma(\bar{z})}$  is meromorphic and agrees on the positive real axis with  $\Gamma(z)$ ,  $\Gamma(\bar{z}) = \overline{\Gamma(z)}$ .

The result then follows from the following chain of equations.

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Gamma(1/2 + it)|^2 &= \Gamma(1/2 + it)\overline{\Gamma(1/2 - it)} \\
&= \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi(1/2 + it)} \\
&= \frac{\pi}{\cosh \pi t} \dots (\sin(x + iy) = \sin x \cosh y + i \cos x \sinh y)
\end{aligned}$$

**6.7** a. Proceed hinted. The new bounds are then  $0 < u < \infty$  and  $0 < r < 1$  and the Jacobian is  $\left| \frac{\partial(s,t)}{\partial(u,r)} \right| = u$ , and hence

$$\Gamma(\alpha+\beta) = \int_0^\infty e^{-u} u^{\alpha+\beta-1} du \int_0^1 r^{\alpha-1} (1-r)^{\beta-1} dr = \Gamma(\alpha+\beta) \int_0^1 r^{\alpha-1} (1-r)^{\beta-1} dr.$$

The result then follows by the change of variable  $t = 1 - r$ .

b. In the defining integral of  $B$ , do the change of variable  $u = 1/(1-t) - 1$  to change the domain of integration from  $(0, 1)$  to  $(0, \infty)$ . Then  $1-t = 1/(u+1)$ ,  $t = u/u+1$  and  $dt = du/(u+1)^2$ . This gives

$$B(\alpha, \beta) = \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{\beta-1}}{(u+1)^{\alpha+\beta}} du.$$

The result from interchanging  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  because part (a) implies  $B(\alpha, \beta) = B(\beta, \alpha)$ .

**6.10** a. Consider the holomorphic function  $f(w) = e^{-w} w^{z-1}$  on  $\{w : \Re w > 0, \Im w > 0\}$  as hinted. Note  $|f(w)| \leq e^{-\Re w} |w|^{u-1}$ , where  $u = \Re z \in (0, 1)$ .

The integral on the small arc is bounded by

$$\varepsilon^u \int_0^{\pi/2} e^{-\varepsilon \cos \theta} d\theta \leq (\pi/2) \varepsilon^u \rightarrow 0$$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

The integral on the large arc is bounded by

$$R^u \int_0^{\pi/2} e^{-R \cos \theta} d\theta \leq R^u \int_0^{\pi/2} e^{-R(1-(2/\pi)\theta)} d\theta = R^{u-1} \int_0^R e^{-t} dt \leq R^{u-1} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .

Therefore,  $\int_0^\infty e^{-it}t^{z-1}dt = i^{-z} \int_0^\infty e^{-t}t^{z-1}dt = e^{\pi iz/2}\Gamma(z)$ . Conjugating and replacing  $z$  by  $\bar{z}$  (note  $\bar{z}$  still lies in the vertical strip between 0 and 1) shows  $\int_0^\infty e^{it}t^{z-1}dt = e^{-\pi iz/2}\Gamma(z)$ . The result then follows by taking linear combinations.

- b. The equations follows by putting  $z = 0$  and  $z = 1/2$  into the second equation in (a). It remains to show the equation holds on  $|\Re z| < 1$ . Right-hand side is clearly holomorphic because the pole of  $\Gamma$  at 0 cancels with that of the zero of  $\sin$ . Left-hand side is holomorphic on  $-1 + \varepsilon < \Re z < -\varepsilon$  by Morera's theorem, (break the integral into one on  $(0, 1)$  and  $(1, \infty)$ , where on the former the integrand is bounded by  $t^{\Re z}$  as  $|\sin t| \leq |t|$ ).

- 6.12** a. For every positive integer  $k$ , applying  $s\Gamma(s) = \Gamma(s+1)$  gives  $\Gamma(-1/2 - k) = \frac{-2\sqrt{\pi}}{(-1/2-1)(-1/2-2)\dots(-1/2-k)}$ , and hence

$$|1/\Gamma(-1/2 - k)| \geq \frac{k!}{2\sqrt{\pi}}.$$

Since  $|-1/2 - k| \leq 2k$ ,  $\frac{1/\Gamma(-1/2-k)}{e^{A|1/2-k|}} \geq \frac{k!}{2\sqrt{\pi}(e^{2A})^k} \rightarrow \infty$ , and hence  $1/\Gamma(s)$  is not  $O(e^{A|s|})$ .

- b. By Hadamard's factorisation theorem,  $F = e^P/\Gamma$  for some linear polynomial  $P$ , and hence  $1/\Gamma = e^{-P}F$ . If  $F(z) = O(e^{C|z|})$ , then so is  $1/\Gamma$ . The contradiction then follows.

- 6.14** a. fundamental theorem of calculus

- b. Since  $\Gamma$ , and hence  $\log \Gamma$  is eventually increasing on the positive real axis (because  $\log \Gamma$  is convex (see Theorem 8.18c of Rudin's *Principles of Mathematical Analysis*), and  $\Gamma(3) > \Gamma(2)$ ),  $\log \Gamma(x) \leq \int_x^{x+1} \log \Gamma \leq \log \Gamma(x+1)$ , or equivalently,

$$(x-1) \log(x-1) - (x-1) + c \leq \int_{x-1}^x \log \Gamma \leq \Gamma(x) \leq \int_x^{x+1} \log \Gamma = x \log x - x + c,$$

Since for every  $\alpha < 1$ , for  $x$  sufficiently large,  $(x-1) \log(x-1) - (x-1) + c \geq \alpha x \log(\alpha x) + o(x \log x) \geq \alpha x \log x + o(x \log x)$ , and hence  $\Gamma(x) \sim x \log x - x + c$ , where  $-x + c = O(x)$ . The result then follows.

- 6.15**

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^s}{e^t - 1} \frac{dt}{t} &= \int_0^\infty t^s \sum_1^\infty e^{-nt} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \sum_1^\infty \int_0^\infty (t/n)^s e^{-t} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \sum_1^\infty \frac{1}{n^s} \Gamma(s) \\ &= \zeta(s) \Gamma(s) \end{aligned}$$

The exchange of integral is justified by Fubini's theorem for real  $s$  because the all expressions are nonnegative, and hence for all  $s$  with  $\Re s > 1$  because  $|(t/n)^s| \leq (t/n)^{\Re s}$ , which reduces to the real case. [There are two versions of Fubini's theorem. The first, not mentioned in the tutorial note, says if a function  $f$  is measurable and nonnegative,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f dA = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f dy dx$ . The second, mentioned in tutorial note 2, says the same conclusion holds if at least one of the three integrals is finite when  $f$  is replaced by  $|f|$ .]

**6.16** Split the integral in 6.15 to one over  $(0, 1)$  and  $(1, \infty)$ . The one on  $(1, \infty)$  converges absolutely uniformly on compact sets, and hence by Morera's theorem defines a holomorphic function. For the one on  $(0, 1)$ ,  $\frac{t^s}{e^t-1} \frac{1}{t} = t^{s-2} \frac{t}{e^t-1} = t^{s-2} \sum_0^\infty c_n t^n$ , where  $\frac{t}{e^t-1} = \sum_0^\infty c_n t^n$ , by holomorphy, converges uniformly on compact subsets of  $B(0, 2\pi)$ , and in particular,  $(0, 1)$ . Termwise integration, justified by uniform convergence, then shows  $\int_0^1 \frac{t^s}{e^t-1} \frac{dt}{t} = \sum_0^\infty \frac{c_n}{n+s-1}$ , whose poles are  $1, 0, -1, -2, \dots$ , all of which except 1 cancel with the zeros of  $\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}$ .

Therefore,  $\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^1 \frac{t^s}{e^t-1} \frac{dt}{t} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_1^\infty \frac{t^s}{e^t-1} \frac{dt}{t}$ , where the former term has a unique pole that is simple at 1 and the latter is entire.