Elementary proof of Picard little theorem

We present the proof of Picard little theorem by John L. Lewis in [1]. Here we only extract the essential part for the proof in the paper.

For any harmonic function $u$ on $\mathbb{C}$, by Poisson formula, we have

$$u(a + re^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_\pi^\pi \left[ \frac{R^2 - r^2}{R^2 - 2Rr\cos(\theta - t) + r^2} u(a + Re^{it}) \right] dt, \forall a \in \mathbb{C}, 0 \leq r < R.$$ 

And thus, if $u \geq 0$ on $B(a, R)$

$$\frac{R - r}{R + r} u(a) \leq u(a + re^{i\theta}) \leq \frac{R + r}{R - r} u(a) \quad (1)$$

by mean of mean value property of harmonic function. This inequality is called Harnack inequality. In particular, we have

$$\sup\{u(z) : |z - a| < r\} \leq 9 \cdot \inf\{u(z) : |z - a| < r\}$$

whenever $u \geq 0$ on $B(a, 2r)$.

For simplicity, we denote $M(x, r) = \sup\{u(z) : |z - x| < r\}$.

**Lemma 0.1.** Let $u$ be a harmonic function, $u(a) = 0$, and $R > 0$. Then there exists $r \in (0, R)$, $x_1 \in B(a, 2R)$, and a universal constant $c_1 \geq 2$ such that $u(x_1) = 0$ and

$$c_1^{-1} M(a, R) \leq M(x_1, 10r) \leq c_1 M(x_1, r).$$

**Proof.** Let $\delta(x) = 2R - |x - a|$. Put $E = \{x : u(x) = 0\} \cap B(a, 2R)$. Let $F = \bigcup_{x \in E} B(x, \delta(x)/100)$. Set

$$\gamma = \sup\{M(x, \delta(x)/100) : x \in E\}.$$

Noted that $\gamma > 0$ otherwise $u \equiv 0$ by maximum principle. Choose $x_1 \in E$ such that

$$\gamma \leq 2M(x_1, r) \quad \text{where } r = \delta(x_1)/100.$$

We finish the proof by showing that this $x_1$ and $r$ satisfy our goal. First we have for $y \in B(x_1, 20r)$,

$$\delta(x_1) \leq 2\delta(y) \leq 4\delta(x_1).$$

Pick a $x_2 \in \overline{B}(x_1, 10r)$ with

$$M(x_1, 10r) \leq 2u(x_2).$$

**case 1:** If $x_2 \in F$,

$$M(x_1, 10r) \leq 2u(x_2) \leq 2\gamma \leq 4M(x_1, r).$$

**case 2:** If $x_2 \notin F$,

Denote $(a, b)$ to be the open line segment connecting $a, b$. Denote closed, half open line
segment similarly. Since $F$ is closed, there exists $z \in (x_1, x_2) \cap F$ such that $[x_2, z] \cap F = \emptyset$. For each $w \in [x_2, z)$, it contains a ball of radius $r/4$ on which $u \geq 0$. Otherwise, by continuity there exists a $y$ such that $u(y) = 0$ with

$$|y - w| \leq \frac{r}{4} = \frac{\delta(x_1)}{400} < \frac{\delta(y)}{100}.$$ 

Thus $w \in F$ which contradicts with our choice of $z$.

Since $[x_2, z]$ can be covered by 80 balls of radius $r/8$, and $u$ is nonnegative on each balls, apply Harnack inequality, we yield

$$M(x_1, 10r) \leq 2u(x_2) \leq 2 \cdot 9^{80} u(z) \leq 4 \cdot 9^{80} M(x_1, r).$$

For the left hand side, choose $x_3 \in \overline{B(a, R)}$ such that $2u(x_3) \geq M(a, R)$. Then argue in the case of $x_3 \in F$ or $x_3 \notin F$ as before.

Theorem 0.2. Little Picard theorem: A nonconstant entire function in the complex plane omits atmost one value.

Proof. We prove by contradiction. Without loss of generality, we assume $f$ omits 0 and 1. Put $u_1 = \log |f| - 2$, $u_2 = \log |f - 1| - 2$ which are harmonic in $\mathbb{C}$. It can be seen that all positive (or negative) harmonic functions are constant by letting $R \to \infty$ in (1). So we can choose $a \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $u_1(a) = 0$. Applying Lemma 0.1 to $u_1$, with $R = 2j, j = 1, 2, \ldots$ to obtain a sequence $\{z_j\}, \{r_j\}$ with

1. $\lim_{j \to \infty} M(z_j, r_j) = +\infty$,
2. $M(z_j, 10r_j) \leq c_1 M(z_j, r_j)$,
3. $u_1(z_j) = 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots$.

Define

$$v_{i,j}(z) = u_i(z_j + 10r_j z) / M(z_j, 10r_j) \quad \text{on } B(0,1), \quad i = 1, 2, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$ 

Since $v_{i,j}$ is bounded from above by 1, we consider the harmonic function $w_{i,j} = -v_{i,j} + 1 \geq 0$. Using Harnack inequality, we have for all $z \in B(a, R), j \in \mathbb{N}, i = 1, 2$,

$$\frac{R - |z - a|}{R + |z - a|} w_{i,j}(a) \leq w_{i,j}(z) \leq \frac{R + |z - a|}{R - |z - a|} w_{i,j}(a)$$

whenever $\overline{B(a, R)} \subset B(0,1)$.

Thus, $\{v_{i,j}\}$ is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous on any compact set in $B(0,1)$. By Arzela-Ascoli, we have a subsequent convergence of $v_{i,j} \to v_1$ uniform on any compact subset of $B(0,1)$. Clearly, $v_1$ satisfy the mean value property (In fact, they are harmonic in $C^\infty$ sense.). And it satisfies

(*) $v_1(0) = 0$.

(**) $v_1 = v_2$ on $\{x : v_1(x) > 0\} \cup \{x : v_2(x) > 0\} \not= \emptyset$.

(***) $\{x : v_1(x) < 0\} \cap \{x : v_2(x) < 0\} = \emptyset$. 
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Since $M(z_j, 10r_j) \leq c_1 M(z_j, r_j)$, there exists $x_j \in B(0, 1/2)$ such that

$$M(z_j, 10r_j) \leq c_1 M(z_j, r_j) = c_1 u_1(z_j + 10r_jx_j).$$

Taking limit implying $\{x : v_1(x) > 0\} \cup \{x : v_2(x) > 0\} \neq \emptyset$ (pass to subsequence if necessary). If $v_1(z) = c > 0$, for large $j$, $|f(z_j + 10r_jz)| > c$, thus $\log \frac{|f-1|}{|f|}$ is bounded implying $v_2(z) = v_1(z)$. So we have (**).

If $z \in \{x : v_1(x) < 0\} \cap \{x : v_2(x) < 0\}$, we have for some $c < 0$, for large $j$

$$\begin{cases}
\log |f(z_j + 10r_jz)| < 2 + cM(z_j, 10r_j) \to -\infty, \\
\log |f(z_j + 10r_jz) - 1| < 2 + cM(z_j, 10r_j) \to -\infty
\end{cases} \tag{2}$$

which is not possible. Thus (***) is verified.

Since $v_i$ are real analytic, by identity theorem and (**), $v_1 \equiv v_2$. By (***) $v_1 \geq 0$. By mean value property and (*), $v_1 \equiv 0$ which contradicts with (**). \qed
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