

**UGEB2530 Game and strategic thinking**  
**Solution to Assignment 4**

1. ) Explain whether the following bimatrix games can be transformed to a zero sum game.

**Solution:**

- (a) If it can be transformed to a 0 sum game, then there are  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that:

$$\alpha A + \beta I = -B.$$

$$\text{where: } A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} -8 & -2 \\ 7 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

This equation has solution that:  $\alpha=3, \beta=-1$ . So this game can be transformed to a zero sum game.

- (b) If it can be transformed to a 0 sum game, then there are  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that:

$$\alpha A + \beta I = -B.$$

$$\text{where: } A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 5 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

This equation has no solution, So this game cannot be transformed to a zero sum game.

2. Find all pure Nash equilibrium of the games with the following game bimatrices and state whether they are Pareto optimal.

**Solution:**

- (a) The Nash equilibrium are (4, 6) and (2, 4), with (4, 6) is a Pareto optimal and (2, 4) is not a Pareto optimal.
- (b) The Nash equilibrium are (3, 3) and (4, 2), with both (3, 3) and (4, 2) are not Pareto optimal.

**3. Solution:**

- (a) The prudential strategy for player I is  $(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{4}{5})$  and the prudential strategy for player II is  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ . So the payoff of each player using the strategy are:

$$v_I = [ 0.2 \quad 0.8 ] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix} = 3.4.$$

$$v_{II} = [ 0.2 \quad 0.8 ] \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix} = 2.5.$$

- (b) The Nash equilibrium for player I is  $(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4})$  and the prudential strategy for player I is  $(\frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5})$ . So the payoff of each player using the strategy are:

$$v_I = [ 0.25 \quad 0.75 ] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.4 \\ 0.6 \end{bmatrix} = 3.4.$$

$$v_{II} = [ 0.25 \quad 0.75 ] \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.4 \\ 0.6 \end{bmatrix} = 2.5.$$

#### 4. Solution:

- (a) The prudential strategy for player I is  $(1, 0)$  and the prudential strategy for player II is  $(\frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5})$ . So the payoff of each player using the strategy are:

$$v_I = [ 1 \quad 0 ] \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.4 \\ 0.6 \end{bmatrix} = 3.2.$$

$$v_{II} = [ 1 \quad 0 ] \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 4 \\ 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.4 \\ 0.6 \end{bmatrix} = 1.2.$$

- (b) The Nash equilibrium for player I is  $(1, 0)$  and the prudential strategy for player II is  $(0, 1)$ . So the payoff of each player using the strategy are:

$$v_I = [ 1 \quad 0 ] \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 2.$$

$$v_{II} = [ 1 \quad 0 ] \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 4 \\ 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 4.$$