

Even in a complete metric space, a bounded closed set need not be compact. For example, with $X = \mathbb{N}$, the (discrete) metric ρ considered in Example (2) on p.III.1 makes $\mathbb{N}$ a complete metric space, $\mathbb{N}$ itself is bounded and closed but non-compact (because the sequence $(n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ does not have a convergent subsequence as $\rho(m, n) > \frac{1}{2}$ whenever $m \neq n$). To present a generalization of Heine-Borel Theorem, we need total boundedness. A subset A of a metric space (X, ρ) is totally bounded if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subset X$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) such that
$$A \subset \bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq n} B_\varepsilon(x_k).$$

Clearly A is bounded if A is totally bounded, but the converse is false in general (consider $(\mathbb{N}, \rho)$ above), ^{though} true for $\mathbb{R}^p$.

Thm. 28 Let (X, ρ) be a complete metric space, and $A \subset X$. Then A is compact iff A is closed and totally bounded.

Pf. $(\Rightarrow)$ Suppose A is compact. By Prop. 19, A is closed. To see that A is totally bounded, we suppose the contrary and construct a sequence in A which does not have a convergent subsequence. Thus, $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for every finite subset $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n\} \subset X$, $A \not\subset \bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq n} B_\varepsilon(z_k)$. So $A \neq \emptyset$, and we may choose arbitrarily $x_1 \in A$. $A \not\subset B_\varepsilon(x_1)$, $A \setminus B_\varepsilon(x_1) \neq \emptyset$ and we choose $x_2 \in A \setminus B_\varepsilon(x_1)$. Then $\rho(x_1, x_2) \geq \varepsilon$. Inductively, for an integer $n \geq 3$, we pick $x_n \in A \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} B_\varepsilon(x_k)$. Then $\rho(x_n, x_k) \geq \varepsilon$ for all $k=1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Obviously the sequence (x_n) is in A , but (x_n) does not have a convergent subsequence. This is impossible because A is compact. Therefore A is totally bounded.

($\Leftarrow$) Suppose A is totally bounded and closed. To see that A is compact, let $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in A , and we will show that (a_n) has a Cauchy subsequence (then by the completeness of X and the closedness of A , this subsequence converges to an element of A). Denote $A_0 = A$, $n_0 = 1$. Because A_0 is totally bounded, $\exists \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m\} \subset X$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$) such that $A_0 \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^m B_1(q_k)$. Thus $\exists p_1 \in \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m\}$ such that $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : a_n \in A_0 \cap B_1(p_1)\}$ is infinite. Define

$$A_1 = A_0 \cap B_1(p_1)$$

and choose $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_{n_1} \in A_1$ and $n_1 > n_0$.

Being a subset of A_0 (which is totally bounded), A_1 is also totally bounded. By an argument similar to the above, $\exists p_2 \in X$ such that $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : a_n \in A_1 \cap B_{1/2}(p_2)\}$ is infinite. Define

$$A_2 = A_1 \cap B_{1/2}(p_2)$$

and choose $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n_2 > n_1$. Proceed inductively, such that $a_{n_2} \in A_2$

for ^{an} integer $k \geq 3$, $\exists p_k \in X$ such that $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : a_n \in A_{k-1} \cap B_{\frac{1}{k}}(p_k)\}$ is infinite; define

$$A_k = A_{k-1} \cap B_{\frac{1}{k}}(p_k)$$

and choose $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_{n_k} \in A_k$ and $n_k > n_{k-1}$.

Then $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_{n_j} \in A_j \subset A_k = A_{k-1} \cap B_{\frac{1}{k}}(p_k)$ whenever $j \geq k$; thus for all $j, j' \geq k$,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(a_{n_j}, a_{n_{j'}}) &\leq \rho(a_{n_j}, p_k) + \rho(p_k, a_{n_{j'}}) \\ &< \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k} = 2/k. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $(a_{n_j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy, and we are done.

Cor. A metric space is compact iff it is complete and totally bounded.

¹³
[13] Let (X, ρ) be a metric space. A contraction of X is a mapping $f: X \rightarrow X$ such that for some constant $k \in (0, 1)$,

$$(*) \quad \rho(f(x), f(y)) \leq k \rho(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Note that in general, we cannot

replace $(*)$ by

$$\rho(f(x), f(y)) < \rho(x, y) \text{ whenever } x \neq y \text{ in } X.$$

For example, let $X = (0, \frac{1}{2})$ with the usual metric, and $f: X \rightarrow X: x \mapsto x^2$. Then $|f(x) - f(y)| < |x - y|$ whenever $x \neq y$ in X , but there does not exist $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $(*)$ is satisfied. Moreover, there does not exist $x \in X$ such that $f(x) = x$.

Thm. 29 Let (X, ρ) be a complete metric space, and $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a contraction. Then

(i) for every $x \in X$, $f^{(n)}(x) = \underbrace{f \circ f \circ \dots \circ f}_{n \text{ of them}}(x)$ converges to an element p in X (as $n \rightarrow \infty$),

(ii) $f(p) = p$,

(iii) p is unique (independent of x).

Pf. Denote $x_0 = x$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$x_n = f^{(n)}(x).$$

Then $x_n = f(x_{n-1})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= \rho(f(x_{n-1}), f(x_n)) \leq k \rho(x_{n-1}, x_n) \text{ (by } (*)) \\ &\leq k^2 \rho(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) \leq \dots \\ &\leq k^n \rho(x_0, x_1). \end{aligned}$$

We will see that $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy. Indeed, let $\varepsilon > 0$.
Choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{k^N}{1-k} \rho(x_0, x_1) < \varepsilon.$$

Then for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $n \geq m \geq N$,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x_m, x_n) &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-m-1} \rho(x_{m+j}, x_{m+j+1}) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-m-1} k^{m+j} \rho(x_0, x_1) = k^m \rho(x_0, x_1) \sum_{j=0}^{n-m-1} k^j \\ &\leq k^N \frac{1}{1-k} \rho(x_0, x_1) < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (x_n) is Cauchy. Because (X, ρ) is complete, (x_n) converges to some element, say p , in X . Because

f is continuous, $x_{n+1} = f(x_n) \rightarrow f(p)$ (as $n \rightarrow \infty$).

Because $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = p$, $p = f(p)$. Such a p

is unique, because if $q = f(q)$, $q \in X$, then

$$\rho(p, q) = \rho(f(p), f(q)) \leq k \rho(p, q),$$

$$(1-k) \rho(p, q) \leq 0,$$

$$\rho(p, q) = 0 \text{ (as } k \in (0, 1) \text{) and } p = q.$$

Thm 11 (Picard's theorem)

Suppose that $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and that for some real positive constant number L ,

$$|F(y) - F(y')| \leq L |y - y'|$$

for all $y, y' \in \mathbb{R}$. ^{Let $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$.} Then there exist $\tau \in (0, \infty)$ and a unique function $y: [-\tau, \tau] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that y is differentiable on $[-\tau, \tau]$ and satisfies

$$\begin{cases} y'(x) = F(y(x)), & x \in [-\tau, \tau], \\ y(0) = y_0. \end{cases}$$

Pf. Arbitrarily choose $\tau \in (0, L^{-1})$. Define $T: C_b([-\tau, \tau], \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_b([-\tau, \tau], \mathbb{R})$: $f \mapsto T(f)$, where

$$T(f)(x) = y_0 + \int_0^x F(f(s)) ds, \quad x \in [-\tau, \tau].$$

Note that indeed $T(f) \in C_b([-\tau, \tau], \mathbb{R})$ (e.g. by continuity). (127)

of $F \circ f$ and the compactness of $[-\tau, \tau]$, $F[f([-\tau, \tau])]$ is bounded).

Now, for all $f, g \in C_b([-\tau, \tau], \mathbb{R})$:

$$\begin{aligned}\|T(f) - T(g)\| &= \sup \left\{ \left| \int_0^x [F(f(s)) - F(g(s))] ds \right| : x \in [-\tau, \tau] \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \left| \int_0^x |F(f(s)) - F(g(s))| ds \right| : x \in [-\tau, \tau] \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ L \left| \int_0^x |f(s) - g(s)| ds \right| : x \in [-\tau, \tau] \right\} \\ &\leq L \|f - g\| \sup \{ |x| : x \in [-\tau, \tau] \} \\ &= \tau L \|f - g\|.\end{aligned}$$

Because $\tau L < 1$, T is a contraction on the Banach space $C_b([-\tau, \tau]; \mathbb{R})$. By Banach's fixed point theorem, there exists ^{uniquely} a fixed point f of T in $C_b([-\tau, \tau]; \mathbb{R})$, i.e.

$\exists f \in C_b([-\tau, \tau]; \mathbb{R}) = C([-\tau, \tau])$ such that

$$f = T(f),$$

or
$$f(x) = y_0 + \int_0^x F(f(s)) ds, \quad x \in [-\tau, \tau]$$

which implies that f is differentiable on $[-\tau, \tau]$ and

$$f'(x) = F(f(x)), \quad x \in [-\tau, \tau],$$

and
$$f(0) = y_0.$$

This proves the theorem. ■

IV.8

VII.13