

Multiplicative Markoff-Lagrange spectrum and symbolic dynamics

Shigeki Akiyama (Univ. Tsukuba)

HongKong 11-15 Dec 2023

ArXiv:2204.10510

Joint work with Teturo Kamae and Hajime Kaneko

Lagrange Spectrum

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$. Hurwitz showed that

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}q^2}$$

has infinitely many rational solutions p/q . The equality is attained if and only if α is tail equivalent to $(1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ in their continued fractions. Excluding such numbers,

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}q^2}$$

has infinitely many rational solutions. The equality is attained if and only if α is tail equivalent to $1 + \sqrt{2}$. Next we have $\sqrt{221}/5$ and so on. Therefore,

we are interested in the Lagrange spectrum:

$$\mathcal{L} = \left\{ \limsup_n \frac{1}{n \|n\alpha\|} \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} \right\}.$$

1. \mathcal{L} is closed (Cusick).
2. $\mathcal{L} \supset [6, \infty)$ (Hall). 6 is replaced by Freiman constant:

$$\frac{2221564096 + 283748\sqrt{462}}{491993569} = 4.52782956616087914\dots$$

3. $\mathcal{L} \cap [0, 3) = \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{9m^2-4}}{m} \mid m = 1, 2, 5, \dots \right\}$ where m are Markoff numbers appear as integer solutions of $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 3xyz$. 3 is the minimum accumulation point (Markoff).

The key is known as Perron formula

$$\mathcal{L} = \left\{ \limsup_n [a_n; a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \dots] + [0; a_{n-1}, a_{n-2}, \dots] \mid (a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{Z}} \right\}$$

which transfer the problem to the optimization problem on the shift space.

Multiplicative version in Pisot base

In the previous paper [1] with H. Kaneko, for a Pisot number $\alpha > 1$ we showed that

$$\mathcal{L}(\alpha) = \left\{ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\xi \alpha^n\| \mid \xi \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

is closed. Further we derived a complete description of the minimum accumulation point and the discrete points below when α is an integer > 1 or quadratic Pisot unit > 3 . When α is an integer, Lebesgue measure of $\mathcal{L}(\alpha)$ is zero. If α is a quadratic Pisot unit > 3 , there exists $v < 1/2$ that $\mathcal{L}(\alpha) \supset [v, 1/2]$.

The key is the formula that lift the problem to a symbolic dynamical setting. Here is a schematic view:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Omega & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \Omega \\
 h \downarrow & & h \downarrow \\
 \xi\alpha^n \pmod{\mathbb{Z}} & \xrightarrow{\times\alpha} & \xi\alpha^{n+1} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}
 \end{array}$$

where Ω is a shift space and $\sigma((t_m)) = (t_{m+1})$ is the shift map acting on bi-infinite sequences $(t_m)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ over a finite number of symbols.

Note that there is no appropriate dynamical system for $\times\alpha$. Beta expansion works only when $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Example: Quadratic Pisot unit case

Theorem 1. *Let $\alpha = (b + \sqrt{b^2 - 4})/2 > 3$ and $\alpha_2 = (b - \sqrt{b^2 - 4})/2$. Consider the **negative continued fraction expansion***

$$\frac{1}{1 + \alpha} = \frac{1}{1 + b - \frac{1}{b - \frac{1}{b - \frac{1}{\dots}}}} =: [0; 1 + b, b, b, b, \dots]_{neg}.$$

Using its n -th convergent $P_n/Q_n = [0, \underbrace{1 + b, b, b, \dots, b}_n]_{neg}$, we define

$$\frac{p_{2n}}{q_{2n}} = \frac{P_n}{Q_n} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{p_{2n-1}}{q_{2n-1}} = \frac{P_{n-1} + P_n}{Q_{n-1} + Q_n}.$$

Then $(p_n/q_n)_{n=0,1,\dots}$ is a strictly increasing sequence converging to $1/(1+\alpha)$ and we have

$$\left\{ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\xi \alpha^n\| \mid \xi \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \cap \left[0, \frac{1}{1+\alpha} \right] = \left\{ \frac{p_n}{q_n} \mid n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \right\} \cup \left\{ \frac{1}{1+\alpha} \right\}.$$

Moreover, $X_n := \{\xi \in \mathbb{R} \mid \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\xi \alpha^n\| = p_n/q_n\}$ is a subset of $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$, explicitly described in terms of Christoffel words, and

$$X_\infty := \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R} \mid \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\xi \alpha^n\| = \frac{1}{1+\alpha} \right\}$$

is an uncountable set, described by eventually balanced words, a generalization of Sturmian words.

Multiplicative version in Linear recurrence

Let $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be a hyperbolic polynomial. We are interested in the set $\Xi(f)$ consisting of all complex sequences (x_n) which satisfy a fixed linear recurrence whose characteristic polynomial is $f(X) = \sum_{i=0}^d A_i X^i$, i.e.,

$$\Xi(f) = \left\{ (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mid \sum_{i=0}^d A_i x_{n+i} = 0 \right\}.$$

and set

$$\mathcal{L}(f) := \left\{ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\operatorname{Re}(x_n)\| \mid x_n \in \Xi(f) \right\}.$$

Without loss of generality, one assume that $x_{-n} \rightarrow 0$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Symbolic Dynamics related to this problem

Let $x = u(x) + \varepsilon(x)$ where $u(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\varepsilon(x) \in [-1/2, 1/2)$. Given monic hyperbolic polynomial $f(X) = \sum_{i=0}^d A_i X^i$ and $(y_n) \in \Xi(f)$. Define $x_n = \operatorname{Re}(y_n)$ and

$$s(x_n) = \sum_{i=0}^d A_i u(x_{n+i}) = - \sum_{i=0}^d A_i \varepsilon(x_{n+i}). \quad (1)$$

Note that $s(x_n) \in [-m, m] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ with $m = (\sum_{i=0}^d |A_i|)/2$.

We wish to prove that there exists a bi-infinite real sequence $(\rho_n^f)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ that decreases exponentially as $n \rightarrow \pm\infty$ that

$$\varepsilon(x_n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} s(x_n) \rho_{-n}^f.$$

Conversely for ANY bounded sequence s_n that $s_{-m} = 0$ for sufficiently large m , then there exists $(x_n) \in \text{Re}(\Xi(f))$ that

$$\varepsilon(x_n) \equiv \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} s_n \rho_{-n}^f \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

We consider square matrices $\mathcal{A} = (a_{m,n})_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $\mathcal{B} = (b_{m,n})_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with the index set $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. When $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{m,j} b_{j,n}$ converge for any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define the product of \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} by

$$(a_{m,j})_{m,j \in \mathbb{Z}} (b_{j,n})_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} = \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{m,j} b_{j,n} \right)_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}. \quad (2)$$

We call that \mathcal{B} an inverse matrix of \mathcal{A} if both of $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}$ are defined and if

$$\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}\mathcal{A} = (\mathbf{1}_{m=n})_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}.$$

We also consider the column vector $\mathbf{y} = (y_m)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with the index set \mathbb{Z} . When $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{m,j} y_j$ converges for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, then we define the product

$\mathcal{A}\mathbf{y}$ by

$$(a_{m,j})_{m,j \in \mathbb{Z}}(y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} = \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{m,j} y_j \right)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}. \quad (3)$$

Note that even if both of $(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})\mathbf{y}$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B}\mathbf{y})$ are defined, these two vectors do not necessarily coincide with each other.

We consider the column vector $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = (\epsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbf{E}_f$. We define a column vector $\mathbf{s} = (s_m)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ by $\mathbf{s} = \mathcal{A}_f \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$, where the square matrix \mathcal{A}_f with the index set $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}_f := (-A_{n-m} \mathbf{1}_{0 \leq n-m \leq D})_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}.$$

This is a matrix representation of the relation (1):

$$s_m = - \sum_{n=m}^{m+D} A_{n-m} \epsilon_n = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-A_{n-m} \mathbf{1}_{0 \leq n-m \leq D}) \epsilon_n.$$

We shall represent ϵ , using the inverse matrix of \mathcal{A}_f . For any integer n , put

$$\rho_n^f = \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=1+0} \frac{z^{n-1}}{f(z)} dz,$$

where the integral is around the unit circle to the positive direction, avoiding poles, if exist, from outside. It is easily seen that ρ_n^f is a real number for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We now define the square matrix \mathcal{B}_f with the index set $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\mathcal{B}_f = (\rho_{m-n}^f)_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}. \quad (4)$$

Theorem 2. (1) \mathcal{B}_f is the inverse matrix of \mathcal{A}_f if the domain is restricted to $\mathcal{A}_f \mathbf{E}_f$.

(2) If (s_m) is defined in (1) with respect to (ϵ_m) , then we have

$$(\epsilon_m) = \mathcal{B}_f(s_m).$$

(3) For any $(t_m) \in \Omega_0$, there exists $(\epsilon_m) \in \mathbf{E}_f$ such that

$$\mathcal{B}_f(t_m) \equiv (\epsilon_m) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Lemma 3. For any integer n , we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^D -A_j \rho_{j+n}^f = \mathbf{1}_{n=0}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=0}^D -A_j \rho_{j+n}^f &= \sum_{j=0}^D A_j \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=1+0} \frac{z^{j+n-1}}{f(z)} dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=1+0} \frac{\sum_{j=0}^D A_j z^j}{f(z)} z^{n-1} dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=1+0} z^{n-1} dz = \mathbf{1}_{n=0}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4. *If $f(X)$ is monic, then*

$$\operatorname{Res} \left(\frac{z^{n-1}}{f(z)}, \infty \right) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Results

Theorem 5. *Suppose that $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ is monic and hyperbolic. Then the set $\mathcal{L}(f)$ is closed in $[0, 1/2]$.*

Theorem 6. *Suppose that f is monic and hyperbolic. Then 0 is an isolated point of the set $\mathcal{L}(f)$. If f is monic and not hyperbolic, then $\mathcal{L}(f)$ is dense in $[0, 1/2]$.*

Theorem 7. *Suppose that $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ is monic and hyperbolic. Let*

$$\beta_1 := \min\{|\alpha_1|, |\alpha_2|, \dots, |\alpha_p|\}$$

$$\beta_2 := \min\{|\alpha_{p+1}|^{-1}, \dots, |\alpha_d|^{-1}\}$$

$$\beta := \min\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$$

(1) $1/2$ is an accumulation point of $\mathcal{L}(f)$.

(2) Let f be separable. Assume that either $\beta_1 = \beta$ is attained by $|\alpha_i|$ at

a single index $i = 1, \dots, p$, or $\beta_2 = \beta$ is attained by $|\alpha_i|^{-1}$ at a single index $i = p + 1, \dots, d$, and

$$\left\lceil \frac{\beta - 1}{2} \right\rceil \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\rho_n^f| < \frac{1}{2} \quad (5)$$

holds, then there exists a sequence of proper intervals $[c_i, d_i]$ contained in $\mathcal{L}(f)$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} c_i = 1/2$.

(3) Let f be separable. Assume that β_1 is attained by $|\alpha_i|$ at a single index $i = 1, \dots, p$ and that β_2 is attained by $|\alpha_i|^{-1}$ at a single index $i = p + 1, \dots, d$. Moreover, suppose that

$$\left\lceil \frac{\tilde{\beta} - 1}{2} \right\rceil \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\rho_n^f| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad (6)$$

where $\tilde{\beta} := \max\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$. Then there exists a $v < 1/2$ such that $[v, 1/2] \subset$

$\mathcal{L}(f)$.

Let

$$E^{(k)}(X) := \frac{1 + X^{2^k} - (1 - X) \prod_{m=0}^{k-1} (1 - X^{2^m})}{2X(1 + X^{2^k})}$$

for $k \geq 0$. Moreover, for any $r \geq 1$, put

$$E_r^{(k)}(X_1, \dots, X_r) := \sum_{i=1}^r \left(\prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq r \\ j \neq i}} \frac{X_i}{X_i - X_j} \right) E^{(k)}(X_i).$$

Theorem 8. *Assume that $f(X)$ is monic and that $\alpha_j > 1$ for any $1 \leq$*

$j \leq d$. Moreover, suppose that

$$\alpha_1^{-1} + \alpha_2^{-1} + \cdots + \alpha_d^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (7)$$

Then $|a_0|^{-1}E_d^{(\infty)}(\alpha_1^{-1}, \dots, \alpha_d^{-1})$ is the minimal limit point of $\mathcal{L}(f(X))$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}(f(X)) \cap \left(0, \frac{1}{|a_0|} E_d^{(\infty)}(\alpha_1^{-1}, \dots, \alpha_d^{-1}) \right) \\ &= \left\{ \frac{1}{|a_0|} E_d^{(k)}(\alpha_1^{-1}, \dots, \alpha_d^{-1}) \mid k = 0, 1, \dots \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Examples

Let

$$f(X) = X^3 + 2X^2 + 6X - 2$$

and k be a non negative integer. Then $f(X)$ has two complex roots $\alpha, \bar{\alpha}$ and a real root β with $\alpha \approx -1.1495 + 2.3165\sqrt{-1}$ and $\beta \approx 0.2991$. The set

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \left\{ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\operatorname{Re}(p(n)\alpha^n)\| \mid p(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x], \deg(p) \leq k \right\}$$

is closed by Theorem 5. By Theorem 6, the point 0 is an isolated point. One can confirm (5), and thus there exists proper intervals in \mathcal{L}_1 whose end points converge to $1/2$ by Theorem 7. Moreover, by using fractal geometry discussion, we can find an interval $[1/2 - \varepsilon, 1/2] \subset \mathcal{L}_1$ with $\varepsilon > 0$.

For $f(X) = X^2 - 20X + 82$, the set

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = \left\{ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \xi_1(10 + 3\sqrt{2})^n + \xi_2(10 - 3\sqrt{2})^n \right\| \mid \xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

is closed and 0 is an isolated point. Moreover, Theorem 8 gives a very precise description of the discrete part of the spectrum below the smallest accumulation point. Also one can confirm (5) and there are proper intervals in \mathcal{L}_2 whose endpoints converge to $1/2$.

References

- [1] S. Akiyama and H. Kaneko, *Multiplicative analogue of Markoff-Lagrange spectrum and Pisot numbers*, Adv. Math. **380** (2021), Paper No. 107547, 39, Corrigendum : Paper No. 107996, 2.