

Suggested Answer to Assignment 1

• **Page 31, Problem 1.** Does there exist an infinite σ -algebra which has only countably many members?

Answer: There doesn't exist an infinite σ -algebra which has only countably many members. Suppose not, \mathfrak{M} is a such σ -algebra on X . For $x \in X$, define

$$A_x \equiv \bigcap_{A \in \mathfrak{M}, x \in A} A.$$

Obviously $x \in A_x$. Since \mathfrak{M} is countable, $A_x \in \mathfrak{M}$. We claim that if $y \in A_x$, then $A_y = A_x$. Suppose not, let $y \in A_x$, since $A_x \in \mathfrak{M}$, $A_y \subseteq A_x$. If also $x \in A_y$, then $A_x \subseteq A_y$ and thus $A_y = A_x$. This is a contradiction. Hence $x \notin A_y$. Since $x \in A_x \setminus A_y$ and $A_x \setminus A_y \in \mathfrak{M}$, $A_x \subseteq A_x \setminus A_y$. This is a contradiction since $y \in A_x$ and $y \notin A_x \setminus A_y$.

Set $\mathfrak{N} \equiv \{A_x : x \in X\}$, then $\mathfrak{N} \subset \mathfrak{M}$ and thus has only countable many members. Define $f : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow 2^{\mathfrak{N}}$ by

$$f(B) = \{A_x : x \in B\}, \quad \text{for } B \in \mathfrak{M}.$$

Obviously for $B \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $x \in B$, we have $A_x \subseteq B$.

We claim that f is bijective. First we show that f is surjective. Let E be a subset of \mathfrak{N} , then $E = \{A_x : x \in I\}$, where $I \subseteq X$ has only countable many members. Set $B = \bigcup_{x \in I} A_x$, then $I \subseteq B$ and $B \in \mathfrak{M}$. Furthermore, let $y \in B$, then $y \in A_x$ for some $x \in I$ and thus $A_y = A_x$. Hence $\{A_y : y \in B\} = \{A_x : x \in I\}$ and then

$$f(B) = \{A_x : x \in B\} = \{A_x : x \in I\} = E.$$

Second we show that f is injective. Let $B_1, B_2 \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $B_1 \neq B_2$. Then there exists $y \in B_1 \setminus B_2$ or $y \in B_2 \setminus B_1$. Without loss of generality, we assume $y \in B_1 \setminus B_2$ and then $A_y \in f(B_1)$. We claim that $A_y \notin f(B_2)$. Suppose not, there exists $x \in B_2$ such that $A_x = A_y$. Then $y \in A_y \subseteq A_x \subseteq B_2$, a contradiction. Therefore, $f(B_1) \neq f(B_2)$ if $B_1 \neq B_2$ and then f is injective.

Since f is bijective, the cardinality of \mathfrak{M} equals that of $2^{\mathfrak{N}}$. Thus if \mathfrak{N} has only n elements, then \mathfrak{M} has 2^n elements. This contradicts with \mathfrak{M} has infinite many numbers. On the other hand, if \mathfrak{N} has countable infinity many numbers, then \mathfrak{M} has uncountable many numbers. This is a contradiction and we complete the proof. \square

• **Page 31, Problem 3.** Prove that if f is a real function on a measurable space X such that $\{x : f(x) \geq r\}$ is measurable for every rational r , then f is measurable.

Answer: By the argument in the proof of (c) in Theorem 1.12, we only need to prove $E_a = \{x : f(x) > a\}$ is measurable for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we can choose $\{r_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$, $r_n > a$ and $r_n \rightarrow a$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since

$$E_a = f^{-1}((a, +\infty)) = f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [r_n, +\infty)\right) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}([r_n, +\infty)) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x : f(x) \geq r_n\},$$

E_a is measurable. \square

• **Page 31, Problem 4.** Let $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ be sequences in $[-\infty, \infty]$, and prove the following assertions:

(a)

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}(-a_n) = -\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n.$$

(b)

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}(a_n + b_n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n$$

provided none of the sums is of the form $\infty - \infty$.

(c) If $a_n \leq b_n$ for all n , then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n.$$

Show by an example that strict inequality can hold in (b).

Answer:

(a) Since $\inf_{k \geq n} a_k \leq a_k$ for all $k \geq n$, $-\inf_{k \geq n} a_k \geq -a_k$ for all $k \geq n$ and then $-\inf_{k \geq n} a_k \geq \sup_{k \geq n}(-a_k)$. Similarly, we have $\inf_{k \geq n} a_k \leq -\sup_{k \geq n}(-a_k)$. Thus $-\inf_{k \geq n} a_k = \sup_{k \geq n}(-a_k)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}(-a_n) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{k \geq n}(-a_k) \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(-\inf_{k \geq n} a_k \right) \\ &= -\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\inf_{k \geq n} a_k \right) \\ &= -\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Since $a_k \leq \sup_{k \geq n} a_k$ for all $k \geq n$ and $b_k \leq \sup_{k \geq n} b_k$ for all $k \geq n$, $a_k + b_k \leq \sup_{k \geq n} a_k + \sup_{k \geq n} b_k$ for all $k \geq n$. Thus $\sup_{k \geq n}(a_k + b_k) \leq \sup_{k \geq n} a_k + \sup_{k \geq n} b_k$, which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}(a_n + b_n) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{k \geq n}(a_k + b_k) \right) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{k \geq n} a_k + \sup_{k \geq n} b_k \right) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{k \geq n} a_k \right) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{k \geq n} b_k \right) \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n. \end{aligned}$$

The strict inequality can hold, for example, let $a_n = (-1)^n$ and $b_n = (-1)^{n+1}$.

(c) If $a_n \leq b_n$ for all n , then $\inf_{k \geq n} a_k \leq a_k \leq b_k$ for all $k \geq n$ and thus $\inf_{k \geq n} a_k \leq \inf_{k \geq n} b_k$. Hence

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\inf_{k \geq n} a_k \right) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\inf_{k \geq n} b_k \right) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n. \quad \square$$

• **Page 32, Problem 5.** (a) Suppose $f : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ and $g : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ are measurable. Prove that the sets

$$\{x : f(x) < g(x)\}, \{x : f(x) = g(x)\}$$

are measurable.

(b) Prove that the set of points at which a sequence of measurable real-valued functions converges (to a finite limit) is measurable.

Answer: (a) Since

$$\{x : f(x) < g(x)\} = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} \{x : f(x) < r \leq g(x)\} = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} (\{x : f(x) < r\} \cap \{x : g(x) \geq r\}),$$

and

$$\{x : f(x) = g(x)\} = \left(\{x : f(x) < g(x)\} \cup \{x : g(x) < f(x)\} \right)^c,$$

then both $\{x : f(x) < g(x)\}$ and $\{x : f(x) = g(x)\}$ are measurable.

(b) Let f_n be a sequence of real measurable functions. Then $g = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$ and $h = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$ are measurable. Recall that a sequence of real numbers $\{a_n\}$ converges if and only if $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$, hence

$$\{x : f_n(x) \text{ converges (to a finite limit)}\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\{x : g(x) = h(x)\} \cap \{x : -n < g(x) < n\} \right).$$

By result in (a) we get the desired result. \square

• **Page 32, Problem 6.** Let X be an uncountable set, let \mathfrak{M} be the collection of all sets $E \subset X$ such that either E or E^c is at most countable, and define $\mu(E) = 0$ in the first case, $\mu(E) = 1$ in the second. Prove that \mathfrak{M} is a σ -algebra in X and that μ is a measure on \mathfrak{M} . Describe the corresponding measurable functions and their integrals.

Answer: Set $\mathfrak{F} \equiv \{A \subset X : A \text{ is at most countable}\}$, $\mathfrak{G} \equiv \{A \subset X : A^c \text{ is at most countable}\}$. Since X is uncountable, \mathfrak{F} and \mathfrak{G} are disjoint, hence $\{\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{G}\}$ form a partition of \mathfrak{M} .

Now we show that \mathfrak{M} is a σ -algebra. Obviously, $\emptyset \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $X \in \mathfrak{G}$.

- (i) For $A \in \mathfrak{M}$, then $A \in \mathfrak{F}$ or $A \in \mathfrak{G}$, then $A^c \in \mathfrak{G}$ in the first case and $A^c \in \mathfrak{F}$ in the second. Hence $A^c \in \mathfrak{M}$.
- (ii) Let $A_n \in \mathfrak{M}, n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$. If $A_n \in \mathfrak{F}$ for all n , then A is at most countable, hence $A \in \mathfrak{F}$. If $A_{n_0} \in \mathfrak{G}$ for some n_0 , then $A^c = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^c \subset A_{n_0}^c$, with $A_{n_0}^c \in \mathfrak{F}$, hence $A^c \in \mathfrak{F}$ and then $A \in \mathfrak{G}$. In any case, $A \in \mathfrak{M}$. Hence \mathfrak{M} is a σ -algebra.

To show μ is a measure, we only need to prove that μ is countably additive. First note that $\mu(B) = 0$ if $B \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $\mu(B) = 1$ if $B \in \mathfrak{G}$. Let $A_n \in \mathfrak{M}, n = 1, 2, \dots$, satisfy $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$. Set $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$. If $A_n \in \mathfrak{F}$ for all n , then $A \in \mathfrak{F}$. Thus $\mu(A_n) = 0$ for all n and also $\mu(A) = 0$, hence $\mu(A) = 0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n)$. If $A_{n_0} \in \mathfrak{G}$ for some n_0 , from the above discussion $A \in \mathfrak{G}$. Since for any $m \neq n_0, A_m \cap A_{n_0} = \emptyset$, which implies $A_m \subset A_{n_0}^c$ and then $A_m \in \mathfrak{F}$. Thus $\mu(A_{n_0}) = 1, \mu(A) = 1$ and $\mu(A_m) = 0$ for all $m \neq n_0$. Hence $\mu(A) = 1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n)$. In any case, countable additive property holds and then μ is a measure.

Claim: Let $f : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ be a measurable function. Then there is exact one value α_0 such that $f^{-1}(\{\alpha_0\}) \in \mathfrak{G}$, that is $f = \alpha_0$ a.e., and then $\int_X f d\mu = \alpha_0$.

Proof of claim: First we prove the uniqueness. Suppose α_0 satisfies $f^{-1}(\{\alpha_0\}) \in \mathfrak{G}$, then by the definition of \mathfrak{G} , $\{x : f(x) \neq \alpha_0\}$ is at most countable. Hence there do not exist another such value.

Next we prove the existence. Set $A \equiv \{a \in \mathbb{R} : f^{-1}((a, \infty]) \in \mathfrak{F}\}$. If $A = \emptyset$, then we have $f^{-1}((n, \infty]) \in \mathfrak{G}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $f^{-1}([-\infty, n]) \in \mathfrak{F}$, i.e., $f^{-1}([-\infty, n])$ is at most countable. Thus $f^{-1}([-\infty, \infty))$ is at most countable. Since X is uncountable, $f^{-1}(\{\infty\}) \in \mathfrak{G}$. If $A \neq \emptyset$, then $\alpha_0 \equiv \inf A \in [-\infty, \infty)$. If $A = -\infty$, then for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $f^{-1}((-n, \infty]) \in \mathfrak{F}$. Thus $f^{-1}((-\infty, \infty])$ is at most countable. Since X is uncountable, $f^{-1}(\{-\infty\}) \in \mathfrak{G}$. If $A > -\infty$, then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f^{-1}((\alpha_0 - \frac{1}{n}, \infty]) \in \mathfrak{G}$ and $f^{-1}((\alpha_0 + \frac{1}{n}, \infty]) \in \mathfrak{F}$. Hence $f^{-1}([-\infty, \alpha_0)) \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $f^{-1}((\alpha_0, \infty]) \in \mathfrak{F}$. Since X is uncountable, $f^{-1}(\{\alpha_0\}) \in \mathfrak{G}$. \square

• **Page 32, Problem 7.** Suppose $f_n : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is measurable for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, $f_1 \geq f_2 \geq f_3 \geq \dots \geq 0$, $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for every $x \in X$, and $f_1 \in L^1(\mu)$. Prove that then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_n d\mu = \int_X f d\mu$$

and show that this conclusion does not follow if the condition “ $f_1 \in L^1(\mu)$ ” is omitted.

Answer: Set $g_n = f_1 - f_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$, since $f_n \leq f_1$ and $f_1 \in L^1(\mu)$, g_n 's are well defined for almost all $x \in X$ and satisfy

- (i) $0 \leq g_1 \leq g_2 \leq g_3 \leq \dots \leq \infty$ on X ,
- (ii) $g_n(x) \rightarrow f_1(x) - f(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for every $x \in X$.

Lebegue's Monotone Convergence Theorem implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X (f_1 - f_n) d\mu = \int_X (f_1 - f) d\mu. \tag{1}$$

Since $f \in L^1(\mu)$, we have

$$\int_X (f_1 - f_n) d\mu = \int_X f_1 d\mu - \int_X f_n d\mu, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_X (f_1 - f) d\mu = \int_X f_1 d\mu - \int_X f d\mu.$$

Plug them into equation (1) we get the desired result.

Next we give an example to show that this conclusion does not follow if the condition “ $f_1 \in L^1(\mu)$ ” is omitted. Let μ be the counting measure on the set $X \equiv \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. Set $A_n \equiv \{n, n+1, n+2, \dots\}$ and $f_n \equiv \chi_{A_n}$. Obviously, $f_1 \geq f_2 \geq \dots \geq 0$, $f_n \rightarrow f \equiv 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. But

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_n d\mu = \infty \neq 0 = \int_X f d\mu. \quad \square$$

• **Extra Problem 1.** Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set and $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a real function. Show that the set $\{x \in G : f \text{ is not continuous at } x\}$ is a F_σ set.

Answer: Let

$$\varphi(x, \delta) \equiv \sup\{|f(s) - f(t)| : s, t \in B_\delta(x)\},$$

and

$$\varphi(x) \equiv \inf\{\varphi(x, \delta) : \delta > 0\}.$$

We claim that $\varphi(x)$ is upper semicontinuous, i.e., for every real a , $E_a \equiv \{x : \varphi(x) < a\}$ is open. Let $x_0 \in E_a$, then there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$\sup\{|f(s) - f(t)| : s, t \in B_{\delta_0}(x)\} < a.$$

Thus for any $x \in B_{\delta_0/2}(x_0)$,

$$\varphi(x, \frac{\delta_0}{2}) = \sup\{|f(s) - f(t)| : s, t \in B_{\delta_0/2}(x)\} \leq \sup\{|f(s) - f(t)| : s, t \in B_{\delta_0}(x_0)\} < a.$$

Hence $\varphi(x) < a$ for all $x \in B_{\delta_0/2}(x_0)$. Then E_a is open and $\varphi(x)$ is upper semicontinuous.

By definition of continuity, we know that f is continuous at x if and only if $\varphi(x) = 0$. Hence

$$\{x : \varphi(x) > 0\} = \{x : f \text{ is not continuous at } x\}.$$

On the other hand

$$\{x : \varphi(x) > 0\} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{x : \varphi(x) \geq \frac{1}{k}\}.$$

Therefore $\{x \in G : f \text{ is not continuous at } x\}$ is a F_σ set since $\{x : \varphi(x) \geq \frac{1}{k}\}$ is closed. \square

• **Extra Problem 2.** Suppose that f is a continuous function on \mathbb{R}^1 . Show that the set $\{x | f \text{ is differentiable at } x\}$ is a $F_{\sigma\delta}$ set.

Answer: Recall the right upper Dini derivative of a continuous function f is denoted by D^+f , and defined by

$$D^+f(x) = \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h};$$

the right lower Dini derivative D_+f , is defined by

$$D_+f(x) = \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h};$$

the left upper Dini derivative D^-f , is defined by

$$D^-f(x) = \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h};$$

and the left lower Dini derivative D_-f , is defined by

$$D_-f(x) = \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}.$$

By the definition of differentiable,

$$\begin{aligned} & \{x | f \text{ is differentiable at } x\} \\ &= \left\{x \mid \infty > D_-f(x) = D^+f(x) = D_+f(x) = D^-f(x) > -\infty\right\} \\ &= \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{a \in \mathbb{Q}} \left\{a + \frac{1}{m} > D^+f(x) \geq D_+f(x) > a, a + \frac{1}{m} > D^-f(x) \geq D_-f(x) > a\right\} \\ &= \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{a \in \mathbb{Q}} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} \left\{a + \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{n} \geq \frac{f(x+1/k) - f(x)}{1/k} \geq a + \frac{1}{n}, \right. \\ & \quad \left. a + \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{n} \geq \frac{f(x-1/k) - f(x)}{-1/k} \geq a + \frac{1}{n}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since f is continuous, the set

$$\left\{ a + \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{n} \geq \frac{f(x + 1/k) - f(x)}{1/k} \geq a + \frac{1}{n}, a + \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{n} \geq \frac{f(x - 1/k) - f(x)}{-1/k} \geq a + \frac{1}{n} \right\}$$

is closed. Thus the set $\{x|f \text{ is differentiable at } x\}$ is a $F_{\sigma\delta}$ set. \square