

Global Solutions to the Coupled Chemotaxis-Fluid Equations

Renjun Duan

**Johann Radon Institute for Computational and Applied Mathematics
Austrian Academy of Sciences**

*This is a joint work with **Alexander Lorz** and **Peter Markowich***

Modern Topics in Nonlinear Kinetic Equations
University of Cambridge, April 20–22, 2009

Outline

- **Introduction**
 - ▶ Mathematical models under consideration
 - ▶ The main goal of this talk
 - ▶ Related results
- **Free energy functionals**
 - ▶ Identity I
 - ▶ Identity II
- **Global existence of weak solutions**
 - ▶ Theorem I for the case when forcing is small
 - ▶ Theorem II for the case when initial c_0 is small
- **Classical solutions near constant states**
 - ▶ Global existence of solutions
 - ▶ Rate of convergence of solutions to constant states
- **Final remarks**

1. Introduction

1.1 Consider the coupled chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes eqns:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t n + u \cdot \nabla n = \delta \Delta n - \nabla \cdot (\chi(c)n \nabla c), \\ \partial_t c + u \cdot \nabla c = \mu \Delta c - k(c)n, \\ \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla P = \nu \Delta u - n \nabla \phi, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \end{array} \right. \quad (cNS)$$

with initial data

$$(n, c, u)|_{t=0} = (n_0(x), c_0(x), u_0(x)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

where

• unknowns:

chemotaxis variables — $n = n(t, x) \geq 0$ (cell density)

$c = c(t, x) \geq 0$ (substrate concentration)

fluid variables — $u = u(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ (velocity)

$P = P(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}$ (pressure)

- **given:**

constant coefficients — $\delta > 0$ (cells diffusion)

$\mu > 0$ (substrate diffusion)

$\nu > 0$ (viscosity)

variable coefficients — $\chi(c)$ (chemotactic sensitivity)

$k(c)$ (consumption rate)

potential function — $\phi = \phi(t, x)$ (external forcing)

- **basic assumptions (A1):**

$$(i) \ n_0(x) \geq 0, \ c_0(x) \geq 0, \ \nabla \cdot u_0(x) = 0;$$

$$(ii) \ k(0) = 0, \ k'(c) \geq 0.$$

Remarks:

a) $-n\nabla\phi$ is the force exerted on the fluid by cells.

b) (ii) implies that $k(c) \geq 0$ holds for $c \geq 0$, that is the case of consumption of chemical substrates.

1.2 Our interest lies in

- ▶ the existence of the free energy functional;
- ▶ the global well-posedness of the Cauchy problem on (cNS);
- ▶ the large-time behavior of solutions and convergence rates.

So far, we can answer that

- ▶ any constant steady state $(n, c, u) \equiv (n_\infty \geq 0, 0, 0)$ is asymptotically stable under small perturbations, and the rate of trend to equilibrium can be obtained;
- ▶ \exists temporal *free* energy functionals $\mathcal{E}(n(t), c(t), u(t))$ and corresponding dissipation rate $\mathcal{D}(n(t), c(t), u(t))$ s.t.

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}(n(t), c(t), u(t)) = -\mathcal{D}(n(t), c(t), u(t)) \leq 0,$$

provided that

- ▶ the potential forcing is weak, or
- ▶ the substrate concentration is small.

1.3 Background of the model system:

- Bacteria live in thin fluid layers near solid-air-water contact lines
- Chemotactic Boycott effect in sedimentation:
 - ▶ Bacteria swim up to the free surface between water and air (chemotaxis), and slide down the bottom;
 - ▶ high concentrations of Bacteria are produced at two contact lines, and the oxygen in water is consumed;
 - ▶ Bacteria at upper contact line slide down due to gravitational forcing;
 - ▶ a vortex is formed in the water due to incompressibility
- This mathematical model consists of
 - ▶ diffusion, chemotaxis and transport for bacteria;
 - ▶ diffusion, consumption and transport for Oxygen;
 - ▶ viscosity and incompressibility for fluid

1.4 Formulation of the boundary conditions: Let Ω be a bounded domain with smooth bdries. Then, on $\partial\Omega$,

$$\left(\frac{\partial n}{\partial \nu} - \chi(x)n \frac{\partial c}{\partial \nu} \right) \Big|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \text{ (no-flux on } n),$$

$$c|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \text{ (Dirichlet) or } \frac{\partial c}{\partial \nu} \Big|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \text{ (Neumann),}$$

$$u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \text{ (Dirichlet).}$$

For the semi-dimension problem:

$$\nabla \phi = g \mathbf{e}_d,$$

c takes the mixed boundary conditions:

$$c|_{\Gamma_+} = c_+ > 0 \text{ Dirichlet on the upper bdy,}$$

$$\frac{\partial c}{\partial \nu} \Big|_{\Gamma_-} = 0 \text{ no-flux on the lower bdy,}$$

where

$$\Gamma_+ = \{x \in \partial\Omega : \mathbf{e}_d \cdot \nu(x) > 0\},$$

$$\Gamma_- = \{x \in \partial\Omega : \mathbf{e}_d \cdot \nu(x) < 0\}.$$

1.5 Related results:

- **Chemotaxis for the angiogenesis system:**

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t n &= \Delta n - \nabla \cdot (\chi n \nabla c), \\ \partial_t c &= -c^m n, \quad t > 0, x \in \Omega, \\ (n, c)(0, x) &= (n_0, c_0)(x), \quad x \in \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d.\end{aligned}$$

Rasle, Fontelos-Friedman-Hu, Guarguaglini-Natalini,
Corrias-Perthame-Zagg, ...

- **Kinetic-fluid-coupled model:**

kinetic equation: Vlasov-type

+

fluid dynamic equations: NS or Euler (C or IC)

Caflish-Papanicolaou, Hamdache, Jabin, Goudon, Carrillo-Goudon,
Mellet-Vasseur, ...

1.5 Related results (cont.):

- **Keller-Segel model (substrate is also produced by cells):**

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t n &= \Delta n - \nabla \cdot (\chi n \nabla c), \\ \partial_t c &= \Delta c - c + n.\end{aligned}$$

(recent progress only)

- ▶ **Chalub-Markowich-Perthame-Schmeiser:** the model was justified as a diffusion limit of a kinetic model
- ▶ **Blanchet-Dolbeault-Perthame,**
Blanchet-Carrillo-Masmoudi: Parabolic-elliptic in \mathbb{R}^2
- ▶ **Calvez-Corrias:** Parabolic-parabolic in \mathbb{R}^2
- ▶ ...

2. Free energy functionals

2.1 To expose the idea, consider

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n = \delta \Delta n - \nabla \cdot (\chi(c) n \nabla c), \\ \partial_t c = \mu \Delta c - k(c) n, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases}$$

where the fluid component was ignored. Define

$$\mathcal{E}(n(t), c(t)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} n \ln n \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla \Psi(c)|^2 \, dx,$$

with

$$\Psi(c) = \int_0^c \left(\frac{\chi(s)}{k(s)} \right)^{1/2} ds.$$

Then, one has

Proposition (identity I)

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(n(t), c(t)) = -\mathcal{D}(n(t), c(t)),$$

where the dissipation rate $\mathcal{D}(n(t), c(t))$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}(n(t), c(t)) = & \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\nabla n|^2}{n} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\chi'(c)k(c) + \chi(c)k'(c)}{2\chi(c)} n |\nabla \Psi|^2 dx \\
& + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \nabla^2 \Psi - \frac{d}{dc} \sqrt{\frac{k(c)}{\chi(c)}} \nabla \Psi \otimes \nabla \Psi \right|^2 dx \\
& - \frac{\mu}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{d^2}{dc^2} \left(\frac{k(c)}{\chi(c)} \right) |\nabla \Psi|^4 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\mathcal{D}(n(t), c(t)) \geq 0$$

holds provided that

$$\chi(c) > 0, \quad \frac{d}{dc}(\chi(c)k(c)) > 0, \quad \frac{d^2}{dc^2} \left(\frac{k(c)}{\chi(c)} \right) < 0. \quad (\mathbf{A2})$$

Proof of Proposition: it follows from the direct calculations.■

Remarks:

a) Identity I is inspired by Tupchiev-Fomina (CMMP '04) for the study of the two-dimensional case, where some inequalities were derived.

b) A typical example for $\chi(c)$ and $k(c)$ satisfying the above condition is

$$\chi(c) = \chi_0 c^{-\alpha}, \quad k(c) = k_0 c^m$$

with constants $\chi_0 > 0$, $k_0 > 0$ and

$$0 < m < 1, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < \min\{m, 1 - m\}.$$

c) When the transportation occurs, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n + u \cdot \nabla n = \delta \Delta n - \nabla \cdot (\chi(c) n \nabla c), \\ \partial_t c + u \cdot \nabla c = \mu \Delta c - k(c) n, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases}$$

one has

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(n(t), c(t)) + \mathcal{D}(n(t), c(t)) = - \sum_{ij} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_i u_j \partial_i \Psi \partial_j \Psi dx.$$

2.2 Consider the (cNS), that is the coupled chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes, with

$$\phi = \phi(x)$$

independent of time t . Then one has

Proposition (identity II)

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(n\phi + \frac{1}{2}|u|^2 \right) dx + \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\ &= \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} n \Delta \phi dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sqrt{k(c)\chi(c)} n \nabla \Psi \cdot \nabla \phi dx. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Proposition: it follows from the integration by parts and replacing $\phi u \cdot \nabla n$ by the eqn of n . ■

Remark. The r.h.s terms of identities I and II can be controlled provided that

- ▶ ϕ is small in some sense, or
- ▶ ϕ is bounded in some sense and c is small in L^∞ .

3. Global existence of weak solutions

3.1 Consider the simplified model system of the coupled chemotaxis-Stokes equations:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t n + u \cdot \nabla n = \delta \Delta n - \nabla \cdot (\chi(c)n \nabla c), \\ \partial_t c + u \cdot \nabla c = \mu \Delta c - k(c)n, \\ \partial_t u + \nabla P = \nu \Delta u - n \nabla \phi, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \end{array} \right. \quad (cS)$$

with

$$(n, c, u)|_{t=0} = (n_0(x), c_0(x), u_0(x)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Remark. The nonlinear convective term $u \cdot \nabla u$ may produce the new difficulty for regularity of u .

Theorem 1 *Let assumptions A1- A2 hold and let $\phi = \phi(x) \geq 0$ be independent of t with $\nabla \phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Suppose that*

$$\begin{aligned} n_0(|\ln n_0| + \langle x \rangle + \phi(x)) &\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \\ c_0 &\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \nabla \Psi(c_0) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), \\ u_0 &\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle x \rangle := \sqrt{1 + x^2}$. Then, $\exists \epsilon_\phi > 0$, depending only on $\delta, \mu, \nu, \|c_0\|_{L^\infty}$, s.t. if

$$\sup_x |x| |\nabla \phi(x)| + \sup_x |x|^2 |\Delta \phi(x)| \leq \epsilon_\phi,$$

the Cauchy problem of (cS) has a global-in-time weak solution (n, c, u) , satisfying that

$$\begin{aligned} n(t, x) &\geq 0, \quad \sup_{t \geq 0} \|n(t)\|_{L^1} \leq \|n_0\|_{L^1}, \\ c(t, x) &\geq 0, \quad \sup_{t \geq 0} \|c(t)\|_{L^p} \leq \|c_0\|_{L^p}, \quad \text{for any } 1 \leq p \leq \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_1(t) + \int_0^t \mathcal{D}_1(s) ds \leq \mathcal{E}_1(0), \text{ for any } t \geq 0,$$

where the free energy $\mathcal{E}_1(t)$ and its dissipation rate $\mathcal{D}_1(t)$ are given by

$$\mathcal{E}_1(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(n \ln n + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \Psi(c)|^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda_1 \mu \nu} n \phi + \frac{1}{2 \lambda_1 \mu \nu} |u|^2 \right) dx,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_1(t) = & \frac{\delta}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\nabla n|^2}{n} dx + \frac{\lambda_0}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} n |\nabla \Psi|^2 dx + \frac{\lambda_1 \mu}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \Psi|^4 dx \\ & + \frac{1}{2 \lambda_1 \mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \mu \sum_{ij} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \partial_i \partial_j \Psi - \frac{d}{dc} \sqrt{\frac{k(c)}{\chi(c)}} \partial_i \Psi \partial_j \Psi \right|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

for constants λ_0 and λ_1 depending only $\|c_0\|_\infty$, and moreover, for any $T > 0$,

$$n(|\ln n| + \langle x \rangle) \in L^\infty([0, T], L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad u \in L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3).$$

Theorem II *Let the assumption **A1** and also $k'(c) > 0$ hold, and let $\phi = \phi(x) \geq 0$ be independent of t with*

$$\sup_x (1 + |x|) |\nabla \phi(x)| + \sup_x |x|^2 |\Delta \phi(x)| < \infty.$$

Suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} n_0(|\ln n_0| + \langle x \rangle + \phi(x)) &\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \\ c_0 &\in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3). \end{aligned}$$

Then, $\exists c_ > 0$, depending only on δ, μ, ν and ϕ , s.t. if*

$$\|c_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq c_*,$$

the Cauchy problem of (cS) has a global-in-time weak solution (n, c, u) , satisfying that

$$\begin{aligned} n(t, x) &\geq 0, \quad \sup_{t \geq 0} \|n(t)\|_{L^1} \leq \|n_0\|_{L^1}, \\ c(t, x) &\geq 0, \quad \sup_{t \geq 0} \|c(t)\|_{L^p} \leq \|c_0\|_{L^p}, \quad \text{for any } 2 \leq p \leq \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_2(t) + \lambda \int_0^t \mathcal{D}_2(s) ds \leq C(\|n_0 \ln n_0\|_{L^1} + \|c_0\|_{H^1}^2 + \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2),$$

for any $t \geq 0$, where the free energy $\mathcal{E}_2(t)$ and its dissipation rate $\mathcal{D}_2(t)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_2(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} n(\ln n + \lambda \phi) dx + \lambda(\|c\|_{H^1}^2 + \|u\|^2) \\ \mathcal{D}_2(t) &= \|\nabla \sqrt{n}\|^2 + \|\nabla c\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\sqrt{n}c\|^2 + \|\sqrt{n}\nabla c\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^2,\end{aligned}$$

and $\lambda > 0$ is a small constant, and moreover, for any $T > 0$,

$$n(|\ln n| + \langle x \rangle) \in L^\infty([0, T], L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad u \in L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3).$$

3.2 Proof of Theorem I: (uniform a priori estimates)

a) From

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t n + \nabla \cdot (\delta \nabla n + n(u + \chi(c) \nabla c)) &= 0, \\ \partial_t c + u \cdot \nabla c &= \mu \Delta c - k'(\xi) n c,\end{aligned}$$

where $\xi = \xi(t, x)$ is between 0 and $c(t, x)$, by the assumption (A1), the maximum principle implies

$$n(t, x) \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq c(t, x) \leq \|c\|_{L^\infty} = c_M$$

for any $0 \leq t \leq T$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

b) **Proof of the energy inequality:** Denote $c_M = \|c_0\|_{L^\infty}$, and define

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_0 &= \min_{0 \leq c \leq c_M} \frac{\chi'(c)k(c) + \chi(c)k'(c)}{2\chi(c)} > 0, \\ \lambda_1 &= \min_{0 \leq c \leq c_M} -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dc^2} \left(\frac{k(c)}{\chi(c)} \right) > 0,\end{aligned}$$

by assumptions A1 and A2.

The r.h.s. of identity I is bounded by

$$\frac{1}{2\lambda_1\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{\lambda_1\mu}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \Psi|^4 dx.$$

The r.h.s. of identity II is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \frac{\sqrt{n}}{|x|} \right|^2 dx + \epsilon \left(\sup_{0 \leq c \leq c_M} k(c)\chi(c) \right)^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\sqrt{n}}{|x|} \cdot \sqrt{n} |\nabla \Psi| dx \\ & \leq \left(\delta\epsilon + \frac{\sup_{0 \leq c \leq c_M} k(c)\chi(c)}{2\lambda_0\lambda_1\mu\nu} \epsilon^2 \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\nabla n|^2}{n} dx + \frac{\lambda_0\lambda_1\mu\nu}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} n |\nabla \Psi|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

where one used the Hardy inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \frac{\sqrt{n}}{|x|} \right|^2 dx \leq 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \sqrt{n}|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\nabla n|^2}{n} dx,$$

and

$$\sup_x |x| |\nabla \phi(x)| + \sup_x |x|^2 |\Delta \phi(x)| \leq \epsilon.$$

Then, $\epsilon > 0$ is small $\Rightarrow \dots$

c) Estimates on moments and $\|u\|_{L^\infty}$: Eqn of $n \Rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle x \rangle n(t, x) dx &\leq C\delta \|n_0\|_{L^1} T + C\|n_0\|_{L^1} T \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\lambda_0} \left(\sup_{0 \leq c \leq c_M} \chi(c) \right) \int_0^T \|k(c)n\|_{L^1} ds \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda_0}{4} \int_0^T \|\sqrt{n} \nabla \Psi\|^2 ds, \end{aligned}$$

+ Eqn of $c \Rightarrow$

$$\|c(t)\|_{L^1} + \int_0^t \|k(c)n\|_{L^1} ds \leq \|c_0\|_{L^1},$$

+ Eqn of $u \Rightarrow$

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|u_0\|_{L^\infty} + C\|\nabla \phi\|_{L^\infty} \int_0^t \sqrt{t-s} \|\nabla \sqrt{n}\|^2 ds.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle x \rangle n(t, x) dx &\leq \frac{\|c_0\|_{L^1}}{\lambda_0} \sup_{0 \leq c \leq c_M} \chi(c) + C(\delta + \|u_0\|_{L^\infty}) \|n_0\|_{L^1} T \\
&\quad + C \|n_0\|_{L^1} T^{3/2} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^\infty} \int_0^T \|\nabla \sqrt{n}\|^2 ds \\
&\quad + \frac{\lambda_0}{4} \int_0^T \|\sqrt{n} \nabla \Psi\|^2 ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Take the linear combination with the energy inequality \Rightarrow

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_0} \mathcal{E}_1^+(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{T_0} D_1(s) ds \\
&\leq \mathcal{E}_1(0) + C + \frac{\|c_0\|_{L^1}}{\lambda_0} \sup_{0 \leq c \leq c_M} \chi(c) + C(\delta + \|u_0\|_{L^\infty}) \|n_0\|_{L^1} T_0,
\end{aligned}$$

for some small $T_0 > 0$, where

$$\mathcal{E}_1^+(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} n \ln n \chi_{n \geq 1} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla \Psi(c)|^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda_1 \nu} n \phi + \frac{1}{2\lambda_1 \nu} |u|^2 \right) dx.$$

Apply to intervals $[0, T_0], [T_0, 2T_0], \dots, [mT_0, T] \Rightarrow \dots$ ■

3.3 Proof of Theorem II: (uniform a priori estimates)

a) c_M is small. The direct energy estimates \Rightarrow

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (n \ln n + \lambda_2 |\nabla c|^2 + |c|^2) dx + \mu \min\{\lambda_2, 2\} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla c|^2 + |\nabla^2 c|^2) dx \\ & \quad + \min\{1, 2 \min_{0 \leq c \leq c_M} k'(c)\} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} n(|c|^2 + |\nabla c|^2) dx + \frac{\delta}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\nabla n|^2}{n} dx \\ & \leq \frac{\lambda_2 c_M^2}{\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

b) Identity II gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(n\phi + \frac{1}{2} |u|^2 \right) dx + \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\ & \leq \delta \sup_x |x|^2 |\Delta \phi(x)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\nabla n|^2}{n} dx \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \sup_x |x| |\nabla \phi(x)| \sup_{0 \leq c \leq c_M} |\chi(c)| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\nabla n|^2}{n} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} n |\nabla c|^2 dx \right). \end{aligned}$$

c) Smallness of c_M + linear combination of a) and b) $\Rightarrow \dots$ ■

3.4 Remarks:

a) It is unknown that Theorems I and II could still hold for one of the following three cases:

- ▶ the smallness of both ϕ and $\|c_0\|_{L^\infty}$ is removed;
- ▶ the nonlinear convective term $\nabla \cdot (u \otimes u)$ is added;
- ▶ both $\chi(c)$ and $k(c)$ take the more general forms.

b) Similar results hold for the case of

- ▶ the space dimension $d \geq 2$, or
- ▶ the bounded domain with homogeneous boundary conditions

$$\left. \frac{\partial n}{\partial \nu} \right|_{\partial \Omega} = \left. \frac{\partial c}{\partial \nu} \right|_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \quad u|_{\partial \Omega} = 0.$$

However, it is not clear for the general biological non-homogeneous bdry conditions.

4. Classical solutions near constant states

4.1 Consider

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t n + u \cdot \nabla n = \delta \Delta n - \nabla \cdot (\chi(c)n \nabla c), \\ \partial_t c + u \cdot \nabla c = \mu \Delta c - k(c)n, \\ \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla P = \nu \Delta u - n \nabla \phi, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \end{array} \right. \quad (cNS)$$

with initial data

$$(n, c, u)|_{t=0} = (n_0(x), c_0(x), u_0(x)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Suppose

$$(n_0(x), c_0(x), u_0(x)) \rightarrow (n_\infty \geq 0, 0, 0) \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Our goal is to prove

the constant steady state $(n_\infty, 0, 0)$ is asymptotically stable under small smooth perturbations.

4.2 Reformulation of the Cauchy problem: Let

$$n = \sigma + n_\infty, \quad \bar{P} = P + n_\infty \phi.$$

Then,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \sigma + u \cdot \nabla \sigma - \delta \Delta \sigma = -\nabla \cdot (\chi(c) \sigma \nabla c) - n_\infty \nabla \cdot (\chi(c) \nabla c), \\ \partial_t c + u \cdot \nabla c - \mu \Delta c + k'(0)(\sigma + n_\infty)c = -(k(c) - k'(0)c)(\sigma + n_\infty), \\ \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla \bar{P} - \nu \Delta u = -\sigma \nabla \phi, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases}$$

with

$$(\sigma, c, u)|_{t=0} = (\sigma_0(x), c_0(x), u_0(x)) \rightarrow (0, 0, 0) \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\sigma_0 = n_0 - n_\infty$.

Theorem III. *Let $n_\infty \geq 0$, and the assumption **(A1)** hold with $n_0(x) \equiv \sigma_0(x) + n_\infty \geq 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and $\phi = \phi(t, x)$ satisfy*

$$\sup_{t,x} (1 + |x|) |\phi(t, x)| + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3} \sup_{t,x} |\partial_x^\alpha \phi(t, x)| < \infty.$$

Furthermore, suppose that $\|(\sigma_0, c_0, u_0)\|_{H^3}$ is sufficiently small. Then the Cauchy problem of (cNS) admits a unique classical solution (σ, c, u) with

$$n(t, x) \equiv \sigma(t, x) + n_\infty \geq 0, \quad c(t, x) \geq 0$$

for $t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\sigma, c, u)(t)\|_{H^3}^2 + \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\sigma + n_\infty) \left[k(c)c + k'(0) \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3} |\partial_x^\alpha c(s)|^2 \right] dx ds \\ & + \lambda \int_0^t \|\nabla(\sigma, c, u)(s)\|_{H^3}^2 ds \leq C \|(n_0, c_0, u_0)\|_{H^3}^2 \end{aligned}$$

hold for some constants $\lambda > 0, C$ and for any $t \geq 0$.

4.3 Proof of Theorem III: (uniform a priori estimates)

a) The maximum principle \Rightarrow

$$\sigma + n_\infty = n(t, x) \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq c(t, x) \leq \|c\|_{L^\infty}.$$

b) Energy estimates under smallness: (Zero-order)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u|^2 + d_2 \sigma^2 + d_1 d_2 c^2) dx + \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{d_2 \delta}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \sigma|^2 dx \\ + \frac{d_1 d_2 \mu}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla c|^2 dx + d_1 d_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k(c) c (\sigma + n_\infty) dx \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

+ (high-order)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3} C_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_x^\alpha (\sigma, c, u)|^2 dx + \lambda \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq 4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_x^\alpha (\sigma, c, u)|^2 dx \\ + \lambda k'(0) \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\sigma + n_\infty) |\partial_x^\alpha c|^2 dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla (\sigma, c, u)|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

(linear combination) \Rightarrow uniform a priori estimates. ■

4.4 Convergence rates: There are three cases:

$$n_\infty = 0; \quad n_\infty > 0, k'(0) = 0; \quad n_\infty k'(0) > 0.$$

Theorem IV. *Let $n_\infty = 0$, and all conditions in Theorem III hold.*

(i) *Assume $\sigma_0, c_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then, for any $1 \leq p < \infty$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sigma(t)\|_{L^p} &\leq C\|\sigma_0\|_{L^1 \cap L^p} (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})}, \\ \|c(t)\|_{L^p} &\leq C\|c_0\|_{L^1 \cap L^p} (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})}.\end{aligned}$$

(ii) *Furthermore, assume that $u_0 \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and*

$$\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; L^{2q/(2-q)}(\mathbb{R}^3))$$

for $1 < q < 6/5$. Then,

$$\|u(t)\| \leq C(\|u_0\|_{L^q \cap H^3} + K_0)(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2})},$$

for any $t \geq 0$, where K_0 is defined by

$$K_0 = \|(\sigma_0, c_0)\|_{L^1 \cap H^3} + \|\sigma_0\|_{L^1 \cap L^2} \|c_0\|_{L^1 \cap L^2}.$$

4.5 Proof of Theorem IV:

Energy-spectrum method (D.-Ukai-Yang '09)

a) Time-decay of c and n :

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} c^p dx + \frac{4\mu(p-1)}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla c^{p/2}|^2 dx \leq 0,$$

+

$$\|c(t)\|_{L^1} \leq \|c_0\|_{L^1}$$

(standard argument: interpolation inequality)

$$\|f\|_{L^p} \leq C \|\nabla |f|^{p/2}\|_{L^q}^{\frac{2\gamma_{p,q}}{1+p\gamma_{p,q}}} \|f\|_{L^q}^{\frac{1}{1+p\gamma_{p,q}}}$$

with

$$\gamma_{p,q} = \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} \right)$$

+ Young inequality) \Rightarrow time-decay of c , and similarly for n .

b) Time-decay of u : (Three steps)

Step 1. Time-decay of high-order derivatives of (σ, c) : Use the high-order energy inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla(\sigma, c)\|_{H^2}^2 + \lambda \|\nabla(\sigma, c)\|_{H^3}^2 \leq C \|\nabla(\sigma, c)\|^2,$$

+ Use the mild forms of σ, c to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla\sigma(t)\| &\leq C\|\sigma_0\|_{L^p\cap H^1}(1+t)^{-\gamma_{2,p}-1/2} \\ &\quad + C\epsilon \int_0^t (1+t-s)^{-5/4} \|\nabla(\sigma, c)(s)\|_{H^1} ds, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla c(t)\| &\leq C\|c_0\|_{L^1\cap H^1}(1+t)^{-\gamma_{2,p}-1/2} \\ &\quad + C\epsilon \int_0^t (1+t-s)^{-5/4} \|\nabla c(s)\|_{H^1} ds \\ &\quad + C(1+t)^{-5/4} \|c_0\|_{L^1\cap L^2} \|\sigma_0\|_{L^1\cap L^2} \end{aligned}$$

(Gronwall inequality) \Rightarrow

$$\|\nabla(\sigma, c)(t)\|_{H^2} \leq C(\|\nabla(\sigma_0, c_0)\|_{H^2} + K_p)(1+t)^{-\gamma_{2,p}-1/2},$$

for any $1 \leq p \leq 2$.

Step 2. Time-decay of high-order derivatives of u : Use the mild form

$$u(t) = e^{\nu \Delta t} u_0 + \int_0^t e^{\nu \Delta(t-s)} (-\mathbf{P}(u \cdot \nabla u) + \mathbf{P}(\phi \nabla \sigma)) ds,$$

with

$$\mathbf{P}(\sigma \nabla \phi) = -\mathbf{P}(\phi \nabla \sigma).$$

(Energy-spectrum method again + Riesz inequality) \Rightarrow

$$\|\nabla(\sigma, c, u)(t)\|_{H^2} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{L^p \cap H^3} + K_0)(1+t)^{-\gamma_{2,p}-1/2},$$

for $1 < p \leq 2$.

Step 3. Time-decay of $\|u\|$: Again use the mild form and time-decay of high-order derivatives of u to get

$$\|u(t)\| \leq C(\|u_0\|_{L^q \cap H^3} + K_0)(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2})},$$

for $1 < q < 6/5$, where $\gamma_{2,q} + 1/2 > 1$ was used. ■

5. Final remarks

Consider the more realistic mathematical model:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n + u \cdot \nabla n = \delta \Delta n - \nabla \cdot [\chi(c)n(\nabla c + \nabla \phi)], \\ \partial_t c + u \cdot \nabla c = \mu \Delta c - k(c)n, \\ \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla P = \nu \Delta u - n[\nabla \phi + \nabla k(c)], \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases}$$

Here

- ▶ $\nabla \phi$ exhibit the effect of gravity on cells, and
- ▶ $\nabla k(c)$ exhibit the effect of the chemotactic force in the fluid equation.

Claim: *Theorem II still holds if the smallness of both ϕ and $\|c_0\|_{L^\infty}$ is supposed. Theorems III and IV also hold.*

Remark. It is the on-going work to extend the current results to the above realistic model.

Thanks for your attention!