# The Hausdorff dimension of recurrent sets in symbolic spaces 

De-Jun Feng ${ }^{1,2}$ and Jun Wu ${ }^{2,3}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Department of Mathematical Science, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, People's Republic of China<br>${ }^{2}$ The Institute of Mathematical Science, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin N T, Hong Kong<br>${ }^{3}$ Department of Mathematics and Nonlinear Science Center, Wuhan University, Wuhan, 430072, People's Republic of China<br>E-mail: dfeng@math.tsinghua.edu.cn and wujunyu@public.wh.hb.cn

Received 3 May 2000, in final form 16 August 2000
Recommended by M Viana

## Abstract

Let $(\Sigma, \sigma)$ be the one-sided shift space on $m$ symbols. For any $x=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \geqslant 1} \in \Sigma$ and positive integer $n$, define

$$
R_{n}(x)=\inf \left\{j \geqslant n: x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n}=x_{j+1} x_{j+2} \cdots x_{j+n}\right\} .
$$

We prove that for each pair of numbers $\alpha, \beta \in[0, \infty]$ with $\alpha \leqslant \beta$, the following recurrent set
$E_{\alpha, \beta}=\left\{x \in \Sigma: \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log R_{n}(x)}{n}=\alpha, \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log R_{n}(x)}{n}=\beta\right\}$ has Hausdorff dimension one.

AMS classification scheme number: 28A80

## 1. Introduction

Let $(\Sigma, \sigma)$ be the one-sided shift space on $m$ symbols $1,2, \ldots, m(m \geqslant 2)$. A commonly used metric on $\Sigma$ is given by

$$
d(x, y)=m^{-\inf \left\{k \geqslant 0: x_{k+1} \neq y_{k+1}\right\}}
$$

for $x=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and $y=\left(y_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{\infty}$.
For any $x=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \geqslant 1} \in \Sigma$ and positive integer $n$, define

$$
R_{n}(x)=\inf \left\{j \geqslant n: x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n}=x_{j+1} x_{j+2} \cdots x_{j+n}\right\}
$$

that is, $R_{n}(x)$ is the first moment $j \geqslant n$ such that $\sigma^{j}(x)$ belongs to the $n$-cylinder $I_{n}(x)=\left\{y \in \Sigma: y_{i}=x_{i}\right.$ for $\left.1 \leqslant i \leqslant n\right\}$. It was proved by Ornstein and Weiss (see [OW]) that for each $\sigma$-invariant ergodic Borel probability measure $\mu$ on $\Sigma$,

$$
\mu\left\{x \in \Sigma: \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log R_{n}(x)}{n}=h_{\mu}(\sigma)\right\}=1
$$

here $h_{\mu}(\sigma)$ denotes the measure-theoretic entropy of $\mu$ with respect to $\sigma$.

In this paper, we would like to consider a more subtle question: for each pair of numbers $\alpha, \beta \in[0, \infty]$ with $\alpha \leqslant \beta$, what is the size of the following set:

$$
E_{\alpha, \beta}=\left\{x \in \Sigma: \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log R_{n}(x)}{n}=\alpha, \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log R_{n}(x)}{n}=\beta\right\} .
$$

Since these sets are very complicated, we only consider their Hausdorff dimensions. The reader can refer to Falconer's book [Fal] for the definition of Hausdorff dimension. We should point out that under the metric $d$, the space $\Sigma$ is of Hausdorff dimension one.

Our answer to the above question is the following theorem, which is somewhat surprising since the collection $\left\{E_{\alpha, \beta}\right\}$ is an uncountable partition of the space $\Sigma$.
Theorem 1. For any $\alpha, \beta \in[0, \infty]$ with $\alpha \leqslant \beta$, we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{H} E_{\alpha, \beta}=1
$$

where $\operatorname{dim}_{H}$ denotes the Hausdorff dimension.
The main idea of the proof is constructing Cantor-like subsets of $E_{\alpha, \beta}$ so that their Hausdorff dimensions converge to one.

## 2. Proof of theorem 1

The proof of theorem 1 is based on the following lemma:
Lemma 1. Let $\left\{\ell_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of positive integers such that (a) $\exists n_{0}, \ell_{n+1} \geqslant \ell_{n}+2 n$ for any $n \geqslant n_{0}$ and $(b) \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \ell_{n} / n^{2}=\infty$. Then the following set

$$
A^{\left\{\ell_{n}\right\}}:=\left\{x \in \Sigma: \exists k_{0}, R_{k}(x)=\ell_{k} \text { for } k \geqslant k_{0}\right\}
$$

has Hausdorff dimension one.
Proof. Write for brevity $A=A^{\left\{\ell_{n}\right\}}$. Since $\operatorname{dim}_{H} \Sigma=1$, it suffices to show that $\operatorname{dim}_{H} A \geqslant 1-\delta$ for any $\delta>0$.

Fix $\delta>0$. Choose an integer $p \geqslant \max \left\{6, n_{0}\right\}$ so that $\frac{p-2}{p}>1-\delta$, and define $F_{p}=\left\{x=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \geqslant 1} \in \Sigma: x_{j}=m\right.$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant p, x_{p k+1}=x_{p k+p}=1$ for $\left.k \geqslant 1\right\}$.
Since the set $\left\{x=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \geqslant 1} \in \Sigma: x_{p k+1}=x_{p k+p}=1\right.$ for $\left.k \geqslant 0\right\}$ can be viewed as a self-similar set generated by $m^{p-2}$ many similitudes with ratio $m^{-p}$, its Hausdorff dimension is equal to

$$
\frac{\log m^{p-2}}{\log m^{p}}=\frac{p-2}{p}
$$

therefore $\operatorname{dim}_{H} F_{p}=\frac{p-2}{p}>1-\delta$.
In what follows, we will construct an injective map $g$ from $F_{p}$ to $A$ such that $g^{-1}$ is nearly Lipschitz on $g\left(F_{p}\right)$, i.e. $\forall \epsilon>0, \exists M$ such that

$$
d(g(x), g(y))<m^{-k} \text { implies } d(x, y)<m^{-(1-\epsilon) k}
$$

for $k \geqslant M$. This means that $\operatorname{dim}_{H} g\left(F_{p}\right) \geqslant \operatorname{dim}_{H} F_{p}$ (see proposition 2.3 of [Fal]) and thus, $\operatorname{dim}_{H} A \geqslant \operatorname{dim}_{H} g\left(F_{p}\right) \geqslant \operatorname{dim}_{H} F_{p} \geqslant 1-\delta$, as we desired.

For each $x=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \geqslant 1} \in F_{p}$, we construct $x^{*} \in \Sigma$ by induction. Define $x^{(p-1)}=x$. Suppose we have defined $x^{(j)}=x_{1}^{(j)} x_{2}^{(j)} \cdots x_{n}^{(j)} \cdots$ for $p-1 \leqslant j \leqslant k-1$, we define $x^{(k)}$ as follows:

$$
x^{(k)}=x_{1}^{(k-1)} x_{2}^{(k-1)} \cdots x_{l_{k}-1}^{(k-1)} \circ w_{k} \circ x_{l_{k}}^{(k-1)} x_{l_{k}+1}^{(k-1)} \cdots
$$

where $w_{k}$ is a word of length $k+3$ such that

$$
w_{k}=1 x_{1}^{(k-1)} x_{2}^{(k-1)} \cdots x_{k}^{(k-1)} y_{k} 1
$$

here $y_{k}$ is a letter not equal to $x_{k+1}^{(k-1)}$. That is, $x^{(k)}$ is obtained by inserting a word $w_{k}$ into $x^{(k-1)}$ at the place $\ell_{k}$.

The sequence $\left\{x^{(i)}\right\}_{i \geqslant p}$, defined above, satisfies the following properties:
(a) For any $k \geqslant p$, the prefix $x_{1}^{(k-1)} x_{2}^{(k-1)} \cdots x_{l_{k}-1}^{(k-1)} \circ w_{k}$ of $x^{(k)}$ is also the prefix of $x^{(k+1)}$. This is deduced from the condition $\ell_{k+1} \geqslant \ell_{k}+2 k$. Thus the sequence $\left\{x^{(i)}\right\}_{i \geqslant p}$ converges to a point $x^{*}$. Moreover, the first $\ell_{k+1}-1 \geqslant \ell_{k}+2 k-1$ symbols of $x^{*}$ and $x^{(k)}$ coincide.
(b) For each $k \geqslant p, R_{k}\left(x^{*}\right)=l_{k}$.

To see property (b), note first that $x_{1}^{(k-1)} x_{2}^{(k-1)} \cdots x_{l_{k}-1}^{(k-1)} \circ w_{k}$ is the prefix of $x^{*}$, thus by the construction of $w_{k}$ we have $d\left(\sigma^{\ell_{k}}\left(x^{*}\right), x^{*}\right)=m^{-k}$ for each $k \geqslant p$. Let

$$
\underline{\theta}=\underbrace{m m \cdots m}_{p} .
$$

The block $\underline{\theta}$ can only appear in the words $w_{k}$ and the beginning of $x^{(k)}$ for each $k \geqslant p$. Combining this with the construction of $x^{(p)}$, we have $R_{p}\left(x^{*}\right)=\ell_{p}$. To finish the proof of (b), it is enough to show that for any $k \geqslant p, d\left(\sigma^{\ell_{k}+\mathrm{i}}\left(x^{*}\right), x^{*}\right)>m^{-k}$ for any $1 \leqslant i<\ell_{k+1}-\ell_{k}$. We prove this below by contradiction. Assume that $d\left(\sigma^{\ell_{k}+\mathrm{i}}\left(x^{*}\right), x^{*}\right) \leqslant m^{-k}$ for some $k \geqslant p$ and some $1 \leqslant i<\ell_{k+1}-\ell_{k}$. Note that $\underline{\theta}$ does not appear in the word $x_{\ell_{k}}^{(k-1)} x_{\ell_{k}+1}^{(k-1)} \cdots x_{\ell_{k+1}-1}^{(k-1)}$, we must have $i \leqslant k-1$ (using the fact that $y_{k} 1 x_{\ell_{k}}^{(k-1)} x_{\ell_{k}+1}^{(k-1)} \cdots x_{\ell_{k+1}-k-4}^{(k-1)} 1$ is the prefix of $\left.\sigma^{\ell_{k}+k}\left(x^{*}\right)\right)$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i+1}^{(k-1)} x_{i+2}^{(k-1)} \cdots x_{k}^{(k-1)} y_{k} 1 x_{\ell_{k}}^{(k-1)} x_{\ell_{k}+1}^{(k-1)} \cdots x_{\ell_{k}+i-3}^{(k-1)}=x_{1}^{(k-1)} x_{2}^{(k-1)} \cdots x_{k}^{(k-1)} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the left-hand side of (1) contains no copy of the blocks $\underline{\theta}$ and

$$
\underbrace{m m \cdots m}_{p-1}
$$

beginning after $y_{k}$, the word $x_{1}^{(k-1)} x_{2}^{(k-1)} \cdots x_{k}^{(k-1)} y_{k} 1 x_{\ell_{k}}^{(k-1)} x_{\ell_{k}+1}^{(k-1)} \cdots x_{\ell_{k}+\mathrm{i}-3}^{(k-1)}$ contains at most one more copy of $\underline{\theta}$ than $x_{1}^{(k-1)} x_{2}^{(k-1)} \cdots x_{k}^{(k-1)}$. In view of the fact that the number of times that $\underline{\theta}$ appears as a subword must be the same in both sides of (1), we must have $x_{k-p+2}^{(k-1)} x_{k-p+3}^{(k-1)} \cdots x_{k}^{(k-1)} y_{k}=\underline{\theta}$. Therefore,

$$
x_{k-p+2}^{(k-1)} x_{k-p+3}^{(k-1)} \cdots x_{k}^{(k-1)}=\underbrace{m m \cdots m}_{p-1} .
$$

Hence the block

$$
\underbrace{m m \cdot \cdots}_{p-1}
$$

is the suffix of the word $x_{i+1}^{(k-1)} x_{i+2}^{(k-1)} \cdots x_{k}^{(k-1)} y_{k} 1 x_{\ell_{k}}^{(k-1)} x_{\ell_{k}+1}^{(k-1)} \cdots x_{\ell_{k}+\mathrm{i}-3}^{(k-1)}$, which is a contradiction to the fact that the block

$$
\underbrace{m m \cdot m}_{p-1}
$$

does not appear in the word $x_{\ell_{k}}^{(k-1)} x_{\ell_{k}+1}^{(k-1)} \cdots x_{\ell_{k}+1-3}^{(k-1)}$. Thus we have proved that $R_{k}\left(x^{*}\right)=\ell_{k}$ for $k \geqslant p$.

Define $g: F_{p} \rightarrow A$ by $x \mapsto x^{*}$. It is clear from the construction that $g$ is injective. In the following we show that $g^{-1}$ is nearly Lipschitz on $g\left(F_{p}\right)$, i.e. $\forall \epsilon>0, \exists M$ such that

$$
d\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)<m^{-k} \quad \text { implies } \quad d(x, y)<m^{-(1-\epsilon) k}
$$

for $k \geqslant M$.
Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \ell_{n} / n^{2}=\infty$, there exists an integer $N>p$ such that $n^{2} / \ell_{n}<\epsilon / 2$ for any $n \geqslant N$. Let $M=\ell_{N}$. If $d\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)<m^{-k}$ for some integer $k \geqslant M$, then we have $x_{1}^{*} x_{2}^{*} \cdots x_{k}^{*}=y_{1}^{*} y_{2}^{*} \cdots y_{k}^{*}$. Let $q$ be the integer so that $\ell_{q} \leqslant k \leqslant \ell_{q+1}$. Since $k \geqslant \ell_{N}$, it follows immediately $q \geqslant N>p$. By the construction of $x^{*}$ and $y^{*}$, we must have

$$
x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{k^{\prime}}=y_{1} y_{2} \cdots y_{k^{\prime}}
$$

where $k^{\prime}=k-\sum_{j=p}^{q+1}(j+3)$. Note that

$$
k^{\prime}>k-2 q^{2} \geqslant k-\epsilon \ell_{q} \geqslant k(1-\epsilon)
$$

we have $d(x, y) \leqslant m^{-k^{\prime}} \leqslant m^{-(1-\epsilon) k}$, as we desired.

Proof of theorem 1. By lemma 1, to prove the theorem it suffices to show that for any given $\alpha, \beta \in[0, \infty]$ with $\alpha \leqslant \beta$ there exists a sequence of positive integers $\left\{\ell_{n}\right\}$ such that it satisfies the conditions (a) and (b) of lemma 1 and

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \ell_{n}}{n}=\alpha \quad \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \ell_{n}}{n}=\beta
$$

In the following we will give concrete constructions of $\left\{\ell_{n}\right\}$ for different cases.

Case 1. $\alpha=\beta=\infty$. In this case, define $\ell_{n}=\left[\mathrm{e}^{n^{2}}\right]$, here and afterwards $[x]$ denote the integral part of $x$.

Case 2. $0<\alpha=\beta<\infty$. In this case, define $\ell_{n}=\left[\mathrm{e}^{n \alpha}\right]$.
Case 3. $\alpha=\beta=0$. In this case, define $\ell_{n}=\left[\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{n}}\right]$.
Case 4. $0<\alpha<\beta<\infty$. In this case, set

$$
u_{n}= \begin{cases}{\left[\mathrm{e}^{n \alpha}\right]} & \text { if } \sum_{j=1}^{2 \mathrm{i}-1} 2^{4 j} \leqslant n<\sum_{j=1}^{2 \mathrm{i}} 2^{4 j} \text { for some integer } i>0 \\ {\left[\mathrm{e}^{n \beta}\right]} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and define $\ell_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i}$.
Case 5. $0<\alpha<\beta=\infty$. In this case, define

$$
u_{n}= \begin{cases}{\left[\mathrm{e}^{n \alpha}\right]} & \text { if } \sum_{j=1}^{2 \mathrm{i}-1} 2^{4^{j}} \leqslant n<\sum_{j=1}^{2 \mathrm{i}} 2^{4^{j}} \text { for some integer } i>0 \\ {\left[\mathrm{e}^{n^{2}}\right]} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and define $\ell_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i}$.

Case 6. $0=\alpha<\beta<\infty$. In this case, set

$$
u_{n}= \begin{cases}{\left[\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{n}}\right]} & \text { if } \sum_{j=1}^{2 \mathrm{i}-1} 2^{4^{j}} \leqslant n<\sum_{j=1}^{2 \mathrm{i}} 2^{4^{j}} \text { for some integer } i>0 \\ {\left[\mathrm{e}^{n \beta}\right]} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and define $\ell_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i}$.

Case 7. $0=\alpha, \beta=\infty$. Define

$$
u_{n}= \begin{cases}{\left[\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{n}}\right]} & \text { if } \sum_{j=1}^{2 \mathrm{i}-1} 2^{4^{j}} \leqslant n<\sum_{j=1}^{2 \mathrm{i}} 2^{4^{j}} \text { for some integer } i>0 \\ {\left[\mathrm{e}^{n^{2}}\right]} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and define $\ell_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i}$.
Remark 1. Theorem 1 can be generalized to transitive subshifts of finite type.
Let $A=\left(a_{i, j}\right)$ be an $m \times m$ matrix with $a_{i, j} \in\{0,1\}$. Define

$$
\Sigma_{A}=\left\{\left(x_{n}\right) \in \Sigma: a_{x_{n}, x_{n+1}}=1, \forall n \geqslant 1\right\} .
$$

Note that $\sigma \Sigma_{A} \subset \Sigma_{A}$. The system $\left(\Sigma_{A}, \sigma\right)$ is called a subshift of finite type. Suppose further that all the entries of $A^{M}$ are strictly positive for some $M \geqslant 1$. Then the subshift is said to be (topologically) transitive. Using the similar argument, we can obtain $\operatorname{dim}_{H} E_{\alpha, \beta}=$ $\operatorname{dim}_{H} \Sigma_{A}=\frac{\log \rho}{\log m}$, where $\rho$ is the spectral radius of $A$.
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