

# Solutions guideline to HW2 for MATH3270A

October 20, 2017

Any questions about the solutions, please email Ms. Rong ZHANG at  
*rzhang@math.cuhk.edu.hk*

## Question 1

(a) If the new ODE

$$\mu(f(t)g(y))M(t, y) + \mu(f(t)g(y))N(t, y)y' = 0$$

is to be an exact equation, then we must have

$$(\mu(f(t)g(y))M(t, y))_y = (\mu(f(t)g(y))N(t, y))_t.$$

Computing this gives

$$\begin{aligned} f(t)g'(y)\mu'(z)M(t, y) + \mu(z)M_y &= g(y)f'(t)\mu'(z)N(t, y) + \mu(z)N_t \\ \Rightarrow \mu'(z) (f(t)g'(y)M(t, y) - g(y)f'(t)N(t, y)) &= \mu(z) (N_t(t, y) - M_y(t, y)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{also allow } \mu'(z) = \mu(z) \left( \frac{N_t(t, y) - M_y(t, y)}{f(t)g'(y)M(t, y) - g(y)f'(t)N(t, y)} \right)$$

(b) Define

$$M(t, y) = \frac{\sin y}{y} - 3e^{-t} \sin t, \quad N(t, y) = \frac{\cos y + 3e^{-t} \cos t}{y}.$$

Computing the derivatives gives

$$M_y = \frac{\cos y}{y} - \frac{\sin y}{y^2}, \quad N_t = -\frac{3}{y}e^{-t} \cos t - \frac{3}{y}e^{-t} \sin t.$$

Then, since  $f(t) = e^t$ ,  $g(y) = y$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(t)g'(y)M(t, y) - g(y)f'(t)N(t, y) \\ = e^t(\sin y)/y - 3 \sin t - e^t \cos y - 3 \cos t. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{N_t - M_y}{f(t)g'(y)M - g(y)f'(t)N} &= -\frac{1}{y} \frac{3e^{-t}(\cos t + \sin t) + \cos y - (\sin y)/y}{e^t((\sin y)/y - \cos y) - 3 \sin t - 3 \cos t} \\ &= \frac{1}{y} \frac{1}{e^t} \frac{(\sin y)/y - \cos y - 3e^{-t}(\cos t + \sin t)}{((\sin y)/y - \cos y) - 3e^{-t}(\sin t + \cos t)} \\ &= (ye^t)^{-1} = \frac{1}{z}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\mu'(z) = \frac{\mu(z)}{z}.$$

## Question 2

- (a) Multiplying the differential inequality by a non-negative function  $\mu$  gives

$$\mu(t)z' - p(t)\mu(t)z \leq 0.$$

Following the ideas of integrating factors, suppose  $\mu$  is such that

$$\mu(t)z' - p(t)\mu(t)z = \frac{d}{dt}(\mu(t)z(t)).$$

This means that  $\mu(t) = e^{-\int p(t) dt} = e^{-P(t)}$ . Therefore, we see that

$$\frac{d}{dt}(e^{-P(t)}z(t)) \leq 0,$$

i.e.,  $F(t, z(t)) = e^{-P(t)}z(t)$ . Upon integrating both sides of the inequality yields

$$e^{-P(s)}z(s) \leq e^{-P(t_0)}z(t_0) \Rightarrow z(s) \leq z(t_0)e^{P(s)-P(t_0)}.$$

- (b) The difference  $z = y_1 - y_2$  satisfies the IVP

$$z' = f(t, y_1) - f(t, y_2), \quad z(t_0) = y_0 - y_0 = 0.$$

Multiplying the above by  $z$  gives

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |z|^2 = z(f(t, y_1) - f(t, y_2)).$$

Then, using the assumption of  $f$ , we see that

$$|z(f(t, y_1) - f(t, y_2))| \leq |z| L |y_1 - y_2| = L |z|^2,$$

and so

$$\frac{d}{dt} |z|^2 \leq 2L |z|^2.$$

Setting  $p(t) = 2L$  so that  $P(t) = 2Lt$ , then from part (a) we obtain

$$|z(s)|^2 \leq |z(t_0)|^2 e^{2L(s-t_0)}. \tag{1}$$

Since  $z(t_0) = y_1(t_0) - y_2(t_0) = y_0 - y_0 = 0$ , we have

$$|z(s)|^2 \leq 0 \Rightarrow z(s) = 0 \quad \forall s \geq t_0.$$

The implication is that  $y_1(t) = y_2(t)$  for all  $t \geq t_0$ , and we have uniqueness of solutions to the ODE.

## Question 3

- (a) Let  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  be constants such that

$$\alpha_1 f(t) + \alpha_2 g(t) = 0 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then differentiating the above with respect to  $t$  gives

$$\alpha_1 f'(t) + \alpha_2 g'(t) = 0 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The two equations can be expressed together as

$$\begin{pmatrix} f(t) & g(t) \\ f'(t) & g'(t) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the Wronskian is non-zero, the matrix is invertible, and thus  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$ . Hence,  $f$  and  $g$  are linearly independent.

Also allow the following argument: If  $f$  and  $g$  are linearly dependent, then there are constants  $a, b \neq 0$  such that

$$af(t) + bg(t) = 0 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence  $f(t) = -\frac{b}{a}g(t)$  with  $f'(t) = -\frac{b}{a}g'(t)$  and so

$$g'(t)f(t) - f'(t)g(t) = -\frac{b}{a}g(t)g'(t) + \frac{b}{a}g'(t)g(t) = 0 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

On the other hand the Wronskian  $W(f, g)[t]$  is non-zero for some  $t \in I$ , but

$$W(f, g)[t] = g'(t)f(t) - g(t)f'(t) = 0$$

which yields a contradiction.

- (b) (i) For  $t > 0$ ,  $f(t) = t^3$  and so  $f'(t) = 3t^2$ . For  $t < 0$ ,  $f(t) = -t^3$  and so  $f'(t) = -3t^2$ . For  $t = 0$ , consider the definition of the derivative and take

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(h) - f(0)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} h^2 = 0, \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{f(h) - f(0)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^-} -h^2 = 0.$$

Hence  $f'(0) = 0$  and the derivative of  $f$  is

$$f'(t) = \begin{cases} 3t^2 & \text{if } t > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } t = 0, \\ -3t^2 & \text{if } t < 0. \end{cases}$$

- (ii) The Wronskian of  $f(t) = t^2 |t|$  and  $g(t) = t^3$  for  $t > 0$  is

$$W(f, g)[t] = g'(t)f(t) - f'(t)g(t) = 3t^2 \times t^3 - 3t^2 \times t^3 = 0.$$

For  $t < 0$  the Wronskian is

$$W(f, g)[t] = -3t^2 \times t^3 - (-3t^2) \times t^3 = 0.$$

For  $t = 0$  the Wronskian is

$$W(f, g)[0] = 0.$$

(iii) Let  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  be such that

$$\alpha_1 t^2 |t| + \alpha_2 t^3 = 0 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For  $t > 0$  the above becomes

$$(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)t^3 = 0,$$

but since  $t > 0$  we must have  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 0$ . Similarly for  $t < 0$  we deduce

$$(-\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)t^3 = 0,$$

and so  $\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 = 0$ . This implies that  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$ .

Also allow for example:

$$\begin{aligned} t = 1 &\Rightarrow \alpha_1 t^2 |t| + \alpha_2 t^3 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 0, \\ t = -1 &\Rightarrow \alpha_1 t^2 |t| + \alpha_2 t^3 = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it must hold that  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$ .

(c) If  $y_2$  is a function satisfying  $W(y_1, y_2)[t] \neq 0$ , and  $y_1$  is a solution. Then, differentiating the ODE  $y_1 y_2' - y_1' y_2 = ce^{-\int p dt}$  gives

$$y_1' y_2' + y_1 y_2'' - y_1'' y_2 - y_1' y_2' = y_1 y_2'' - y_1'' y_2 = -p(t)ce^{-\int p(t) dt}.$$

Adding and subtracting zero in a clever way and using that  $y_1$  is a solution to the ODE:

$$\begin{aligned} y_1(y_2'' + p(t)y_2' + q(t)y_2) - p(t)y_2'y_1 - q(t)y_2y_1 \\ + p(t)y_1'y_2 + q(t)y_1y_2 = -p(t)ce^{-\int p(t) dt} \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying gives

$$y_1(y_2'' + p(t)y_2' + q(t)y_2) = p(t) \left( -ce^{-\int p(t) dt} + y_2'y_1 - y_1'y_2 \right) = 0$$

due to Abel's theorem. Hence

$$y_1(y_2'' + p(t)y_2' + q(t)y_2) = 0, \tag{1}$$

and since  $y_1$  is a non-zero function, this means  $y_2$  must satisfy the ODE  $y'' + p(t)y' + q(t)y = 0$ .

Also allow for obtaining the answer by differentiating the Wronskian and using Abel's theorem:

$$\frac{d}{dt}W(y_1, y_2)[t] = y_2''y_1 - y_1''y_2 = -cp(t)e^{-\int p(t) dt} = -p(t)W(y_1, y_2)[t].$$

Then, using that  $y_1$  is a solution to the ODE

$$y_2''y_1 - y_1''y_2 = y_2''y_1 + y_2(p(t)y_1' + q(t)y_1) = -p(t)W(y_1, y_2)[t] = -p(t)(y_2'y_1 - y_1'y_2),$$

and so

$$y_1(y_2'' + p(t)y_2' + q(t)y_2) = 0.$$

Since  $y_1$  is non-zero,  $y_2$  must satisfy the ODE.

## Question 4

(a) Multiplying the ODE for  $u$  with  $u$  and the ODE for  $v$  with  $v$  gives

$$u'u = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} u^2 = vu, \quad v'v = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} v^2 = -p(t)v^2 - q(t)uv.$$

Upon adding gives

$$\frac{d}{dt}(u^2 + v^2) = -2p(t)v^2 + 2(1 - q(t))uv.$$

Also allow students to compute

$$\frac{d}{dt}(u^2 + v^2) = 2uu' + 2vv' = 2uv - 2p(t)v^2 - 2q(t)uv.$$

(b) By Young's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} 2|(1 - q(t))uv| &\leq 2|uv|(1 + |q(t)|) \leq (1 + Q)(u^2 + v^2), \\ |-2p(t)v^2| &\leq 2|p(t)|v^2 \leq 2Pv^2. \end{aligned}$$

(c) One obtains the differential inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt}z(t) \leq (1 + Q + 2P)z, \quad z(t) = u^2 + v^2.$$

From answers to Q2, one finds

$$z(t) \leq e^{(1+Q+2P)t} z(0) \Rightarrow (u^2(t) + v^2(t)) \leq (u_0^2 + v_0^2) e^{(1+Q+2P)t}.$$

If there is a  $t_*$  such that  $|u(t)| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow t_*$ , then from the above inequality this implies that

$$(u_0^2 + v_0^2) e^{(1+Q+2P)t} \geq u^2(t) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow t_*.$$

However,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_*} (u_0^2 + v_0^2) e^{(1+Q+2P)t} = (u_0^2 + v_0^2) e^{(1+Q+2P)t_*} < \infty$$

as  $t_* < \infty$ , therefore we have a contradiction. Hence, no such  $t_*$  can exist.

If  $y$  is a solution to the second order linear ODE  $y'' + p(t)y' + q(t)y = 0$ , set  $u(t) = y(t)$  and  $v(t) = y'(t)$ , then

$$u' = v, \quad v' = y'' = -p(t)y' - q(t)y = -p(t)v - q(t)u.$$

Hence we deduce from (b) and (c) that

$$y^2(t) + (y')^2(t) \leq (y_0^2 + y_1^2) e^{(1+Q+2P)t}.$$

Therefore the conclusion is that any solution  $y$  to IVP with bounded coefficients cannot blow up in finite time.

## Question 5

- (a) The characteristic equation for the ODE  $\theta'' + w^2\theta = 0$  is

$$r^2 + w^2 = 0 \Rightarrow r = \pm iw.$$

Hence, the general solution is given as

$$\theta(t) = A \cos(wt) + B \sin(wt)$$

for constants  $A, B$ . If we write

$$\theta(t) = \sqrt{A^2 + B^2} \left( \frac{A}{\sqrt{A^2 + B^2}} \cos(wt) + \frac{B}{\sqrt{A^2 + B^2}} \sin(wt) \right)$$

and consider  $\phi$  such that

$$\cos(\phi) = \frac{A}{\sqrt{A^2 + B^2}}, \quad \sin(\phi) = \frac{B}{\sqrt{A^2 + B^2}} \Rightarrow \tan(\phi) = \frac{B}{A},$$

we see that

$$\theta(t) = \sqrt{A^2 + B^2} (\cos(wt) \cos(\phi) + \sin(wt) \sin(\phi)) = \sqrt{A^2 + B^2} \cos(wt - \phi).$$

- (b) The characteristic equation is

$$r^2 + \lambda r + w^2 = 0.$$

- (i) In this case  $\lambda^2 > 4w^2$ , we have two real roots and so

$$\theta(t) = Ae^{r_1 t} + Be^{r_2 t}, \quad r_1 = -\frac{\lambda}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{\lambda^2 - 4w^2}}{2}, \quad r_2 = -\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{\lambda^2 - 4w^2}}{2}.$$

Since  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\lambda^2 > 4w^2$ , we have  $r_1, r_2 < 0$  and so the solution  $\theta(t)$  decays to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

- (ii) In this case we have a repeated root and so

$$\theta(t) = (A + Bt)e^{\frac{-\lambda}{2}t}.$$

Since  $\lambda > 0$ , the solution  $\theta(t)$  decays to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

- (iii) In this case we have a complex conjugate pair of roots and so

$$\theta(t) = e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}t} (A \cos(\mu t) + B \sin(\mu t)), \quad \mu = \frac{\sqrt{4w^2 - \lambda^2}}{2}$$

Similarly, as  $\lambda > 0$ , we will see oscillations but with smaller and smaller amplitude as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and so  $\theta(t)$  decays to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

- (c) (i) Writing the ODE into standard form we have

$$x'' + \frac{k}{M}x' + \frac{h}{M}x = 0, \quad \lambda := \frac{k}{M}, \quad w := \sqrt{\frac{h}{M}}.$$

Computing for the critical value  $\lambda_c = 2w = 10$ . Hence

$$\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_c} = 0.0125 \approx 1\%.$$

(ii) The new ODE reads as

$$x'' + \frac{k - 300N}{M}x' + \frac{h}{M}x = 0.$$

The critical value for damping is  $\lambda_c = 2\sqrt{h/M}$ . If the bridge is no longer damped for  $N_0$  pedestrians, then

$$\lambda = \frac{k - 300N_0}{M} < \lambda_c = 2\sqrt{\frac{h}{M}}.$$

Rearranging gives

$$N_0 > \frac{k}{300} - \frac{2\sqrt{hM}}{300}.$$

[2 marks for correct answer and working]

(iii) With the values of  $M$ ,  $N$ ,  $h$  and  $k$ , we see that

$$x'' - 0.025x' + 25x = 0.$$

The roots of the characteristic equation is

$$r = 0.0125 \pm i \frac{\sqrt{100 - (0.025)^2}}{2} \approx 0.0125 \pm (4.9999)i.$$

Then, the solution to the ODE is

$$x(t) = Me^{0.0125t} \cos((4.9999)t - \phi),$$

for some constants  $M$  and  $\phi$ . Since  $4.9999/2\pi \approx 0.79577 \approx 0.8$ , it holds that the solution has oscillations with amplitude growing like  $e^{t/80}$  and frequency approximately 0.8 hertz.

(d) (i) Multiple the ODE by  $u'$  leads to

$$\frac{m}{2} \frac{d}{dt}(u')^2 + \frac{k}{2} \frac{d}{dt}(u)^2 = \frac{d}{dt}(K(t) + P(t)) = 0 \Rightarrow K(t) + P(t) = K(0) + P(0) \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Also allow if students differentiated

$$\frac{d}{dt}(K(t) + P(t)) = mu''u' + kuu' = (mu'' + ku)u' = 0,$$

and then integrating gives the statement.

(ii) Multiplying the ODE with  $u'$  gives

$$\frac{d}{dt}(K(t) + P(t)) + \lambda(u')^2 = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt}(K(t) + P(t)) = -\lambda(u')^2 \leq 0.$$

Consequently, if  $u$  is not constant in time, the total energy is not conserved and decreases to zero as time goes to infinity.