Obtaining Upper Bounds of Heat Kernels from Lower Bounds

ALEXANDER GRIGOR'YAN Universität Bielefeld

JIAXIN HU Tsinghua University

AND

KA-SING LAU Chinese University of Hong Kong

Abstract

We show that a *near-diagonal lower* bound of the heat kernel of a Dirichlet form on a metric measure space with a regular measure implies an *on-diagonal* upper bound. If in addition the Dirichlet form is local and regular, then we obtain a *full off-diagonal upper* bound of the heat kernel provided the *Dirichlet heat kernel* on *any ball* satisfies a near-diagonal lower estimate. This reveals a new phenomenon in the relationship between the lower and upper bounds of the heat kernel. © 2007 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

1 Introduction

There has been a vast literature on two-sided estimates of heat kernels on Riemannian manifolds, infinite graphs, fractals, and, more generally, metric measure spaces. The reader may consult [8, 10, 14, 29, 30] for Riemannian manifolds, [5, 9, 19, 20] for infinite graphs, [2, 3, 25] for fractals or metric spaces, and the references therein.

A majority of the proofs of two-sided estimates for the heat kernel normally obtain the upper bound and then use it in order to prove the lower bound. This method goes back to the pioneering work by Aronson [1] and since that time has become standard in the heat kernel literature (see, for example, [2, 11, 22, 28, 31]).

Our purpose in this paper is to show that, conversely, certain heat kernel lower bounds imply the upper bounds! As far as we know, this is the first result of this kind.

Let (M, d, μ) be a metric measure space endowed with a Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ in $L^2(M, \mu)$. The main examples of such a space are as follows:

Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. LXI, 0639–0660 (2008) © 2007 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

(1) *M* is a Riemannian manifold, *d* is the geodesic distance, μ is the Riemannian measure, and \mathscr{E} is the classical Dirichlet form

$$\mathscr{E}(f) = \int_{M} |\nabla f|^2 \, d\mu$$

with domain $\mathscr{F} = W_0^1(M)$.

(2) *M* is a fractal subset of \mathbb{R}^N such as the Sierpinski gasket or the Sierpinski carpet. In this case, *d* is usually the extrinsic distance from \mathbb{R}^N , and μ is the Hausdorff measure of a proper dimension. The definition of a Dirichlet form is highly nontrivial. For a certain class of fractals, one first defines a discrete Dirichlet form on a graph approximation of *M* and then takes a properly scaled limit.

Assume that the heat semigroup associated with $(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F})$ has an integral kernel, which is then called the heat kernel of $(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F})$ and is denoted by $p_t(x, y)$. In general, this function is measurable with respect to x, y for any t > 0. For the sake of introduction, assume in addition that $p_t(x, y)$ is continuous in x, y. Note that if M is a Riemannian manifold, then $p_t(x, y)$ is the minimal positive fundamental solution to the heat equation on M.

Let measure μ be α -regular, that is, for any metric ball B(x, r),

$$\mu(B(x,r)) \asymp r^{\alpha}$$

Our first result (Theorem 3.3) says that if the heat kernel satisfies the *near-diagonal lower* estimate

(1.1)
$$p_t(x, y) \ge ct^{-\alpha/\beta}$$
 for all $x, y \in M$ such that $d(x, y) \le \delta t^{1/\beta}$

where β , *c*, δ are positive constants, then it satisfies also the *on-diagonal upper* estimate

(1.2)
$$p_t(x,x) \le Ct^{-\alpha/\beta} \text{ for all } x \in M, \ t > 0.$$

The proof of this result is based on the following two components:

- (1) We introduce a family $W^{\beta/2,2}$ of Besov function spaces on the metric measure space (M, d, μ) and prove the Nash-type inequality for the norm in these spaces (see Proposition 2.1 below). This component requires only the regularity of the measure.
- (2) Using (1.1), we obtain the *embedding* estimate (3.6), which implies the Nash inequality for the Dirichlet form \mathscr{E} . Then (1.2) follows by the Nash argument [27].

The hypothesis that μ is α -regular is essential. We give an example showing that if this hypothesis fails, then the near-diagonal lower estimate (1.1) does not imply (1.2) (see Example 3.7).

A natural question arises whether one can also obtain in the same setting an off-diagonal upper bound for $p_t(x, y)$ showing the decay as $d(x, y) \to \infty$. For

that, assume in addition that the Dirichlet form $(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F})$ is regular and local. Our conjecture is that, under the above hypotheses, (1.1) implies the following full upper bound:

(1.3)
$$p_t(x,y) \le \frac{C}{t^{\alpha/\beta}} \exp\left(-\left(\frac{d(x,y)^{\beta}}{C't}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta-1}}\right).$$

Note that (1.3) and a matching lower bound for $p_t(x, y)$ are known to be true for a large class of fractal sets, which are then characterized by the two parameters α and β (in fact, α is the Hausdorff dimension of M and β is a so-called walk dimension).

In the case when M is a Riemannian manifold and $\beta = 2$, this conjecture is true because (1.3) follows from (1.2) alone (Corollary 3.5). In the general case, we have been able to prove the following two weaker versions of this conjecture:

- (1) A somewhat stronger condition than (1.1), called the *local lower estimate* of the heat kernel (see (LLE) in Section 4), does imply (1.3) (Theorem 4.2).
- (2) If a near-diagonal lower bound (1.1) holds together with the following *time-independent* upper bound

$$p_t(x, y) \le Cd(x, y)^{-\alpha},$$

then (1.3) is true (Theorem 4.6).

The *locality* of the form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is *necessary* for (1.3), which is shown in Example 4.7.

Our results are new even for the case of Riemannian manifolds with $\beta = 2$. For example, Theorem 4.2 provides the following new proof of the fact that Moser's *parabolic Harnack inequality* (see [26]) for the heat equation on a manifold with α -regular measure implies the heat kernel two-sided Gaussian estimates (see [22] for another proof of this result). Indeed, the condition (LLE) mentioned above is an analogue of (1.1) for the heat kernel p_t^B in a ball $B \subset M$ with the Dirichlet boundary condition, which is somewhat stronger than (1.1). By the classical Aronson argument [1], the parabolic Harnack inequality implies (LLE) with $\beta = 2$. By Theorem 4.2, we obtain the upper bound (1.3). The matching lower bound follows from (1.1) again by Aronson's chain argument.

Notation. Letters c, c', c₀, C, etc., denote positive constants whose values may change at each occurrence. If f and g are two nonnegative functions, then we write $f \approx g$ if, for some C > 0,

$$C^{-1}g \le f \le Cg$$

in the common domain of f and g.

2 Preliminaries

Let (M, d) be a locally compact, separable metric space, and let μ be a Radon measure supported on M. For $1 \le p \le \infty$, denote by $L^p := L^p(M, \mu)$ the usual space of all *p*-integrable, real-valued functions on M with the norm

$$||f||_p = \left(\int_M |f(x)|^p \, d\mu(x)\right)^{1/p}$$

(with the obvious modification if $p = \infty$).

Let $(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F})$ be a Dirichlet form on $L^2(M, \mu)$. In what follows we use the convenient abbreviation $\mathscr{E}(f) := \mathscr{E}(f, f)$ for $f \in \mathscr{F}$. Let H be the generator of $(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F})$; that is, H is a nonnegative, definite, self-adjoint operator in L^2 with domain dom $(H) \subset \mathscr{F}$ and

$$(Hf,g) = \mathscr{E}(f,g) \quad (f \in \operatorname{dom}(H), g \in \mathscr{F}),$$

where (\cdot, \cdot) is the inner product on L^2 . The generator H gives rise to the semigroup

(2.1)
$$T_t = e^{-tH} \quad (t \ge 0),$$

which is a family of bounded self-adjoint operators in L^2 . In addition, the semigroup $\{T_t\}$ is *Markovian*, that is, if $0 \le f \le 1$ a.e., then

$$(2.2) 0 \le T_t f \le 1$$

a.e. for all $t \ge 0$; see [12, theorem 1.4.1, p. 23]. A family $\{p_t(x, y)\}_{t>0}$ of measurable functions on $M \times M$ is termed the *heat kernel* of the form $(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F})$ if $p_t(x, y)$ is an integral kernel of T_t , that is,

(2.3)
$$T_t f(x) = \int_M p_t(x, y) f(y) d\mu(y) \text{ for a.e. } x \in M$$

for all t > 0 and $f \in L^2$.

For $x \in M$ and r > 0, let $B(x, r) = \{y \in M : d(y, x) < r\}$ be the open ball in M. Fix some $r_0 \in (0, \infty]$ throughout this paper. For $\alpha > 0$, we say that μ is *lower* α *-regular* if there exists a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that

(2.4)
$$\mu(B(x,r)) \ge c_1 r^{\alpha}$$

for μ -almost all $x \in X$ and $0 < r < r_0$, and μ is *upper* α -*regular* if there exists a constant $c_2 > 0$ such that

(2.5)
$$\mu(B(x,r)) \le c_2 r^{\alpha}$$

for μ -almost all $x \in X$ and $0 < r < r_0$. We say that μ is α -regular if μ is both upper and lower α -regular.

For any $\sigma > 0$, define a nonnegative functional $W_{\sigma}(f)$ on L^2 by

(2.6)
$$W_{\sigma}(f) := \sup_{0 < r < r_0} r^{-2\sigma} \int_M \left[\frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y) - f(x)|^2 d\mu(y) \right] d\mu(x).$$

In particular, if μ is α -regular, then for any $\beta > 0$,

(2.7)
$$W_{\beta/2}(f) \asymp \sup_{0 < r < r_0} r^{-\alpha - \beta} \int_M \left[\int_{B(x,r)} |f(y) - f(x)|^2 d\mu(y) \right] d\mu(x).$$

Define the Banach space $W^{\sigma,2}$ by

$$W^{\sigma,2} = W^{\sigma,2}(M, d, \mu) := \{ f \in L^2 : W_{\sigma}(f) < \infty \}$$

with the norm

$$(\|f\|_2^2 + W_\sigma(f))^{1/2}.$$

The space $W^{\sigma,2}$ admits the following *Nash inequality*:

PROPOSITION 2.1 Assume that μ is α -regular and $\beta > 0$. Then, for all $f \in W^{\beta/2,2}$,

(2.8)
$$\|f\|_2^{2(1+\beta/\alpha)} \le c(r_0^{-\beta} \|f\|_2^2 + W_{\beta/2}(f)) \|f\|_1^{2\beta/\alpha},$$

where c > 0 depends only on α , β , c_1 , and c_2 .

PROOF: We can assume that $f \in L^1 \cap W^{\beta/2,2}$. For any such f and r > 0, set

$$f_r(x) := \frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} f(y) d\mu(y).$$

Note that

(2.9)
$$\|f_r\|_1 \le c \|f\|_1$$

By (2.4), we see that

(2.10)
$$\|f_r\|_{\infty} \le c_1^{-1} r^{-\alpha} \|f\|_1$$

for $0 < r < r_0$. Combining (2.10) and (2.9), we obtain that

(2.11)
$$\|f_r\|_2^2 \le \|f_r\|_{\infty} \|f_r\|_1 \le cr^{-\alpha} \|f\|_1^2$$

On the other hand, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have that

$$\|f_r - f\|_2^2 = \int_M \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} (f(y) - f(x))d\mu(y)\right)^2 d\mu(x)$$

$$\leq \int_M \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} (f(y) - f(x))^2 d\mu(y)\right) d\mu(x)$$

A. GRIGOR'YAN, J. HU, AND K.-S. LAU

$$= r^{\beta} \left\{ r^{-\beta} \int\limits_{M} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} \int\limits_{B(x,r)} (f(y) - f(x))^2 d\mu(y) \right) d\mu(x) \right\}$$

 $(2.12) \qquad \leq r^{\beta} W_{\beta/2}(f).$

Therefore, it follows from (2.11) and (2.12) that

(2.13)
$$\|f\|_2^2 \le 2(\|f_r\|_2^2 + \|f_r - f\|_2^2) \le c(r^{-\alpha} \|f\|_1^2 + r^{\beta} W_{\beta/2}(f))$$

for $0 < r < r_0$. Clearly, if $r \ge r_0$ (and $r_0 < \infty$), then we have that

$$||f||_2^2 \le \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{\beta} ||f||_2^2$$

which together with (2.13) yields that

(2.14)
$$\|f\|_{2}^{2} \leq c \left(r^{-\alpha} \|f\|_{1}^{2} + r^{\beta} (r_{0}^{-\beta} \|f\|_{2}^{2} + W_{\beta/2}(f)) \right)$$

for all r > 0. If $f \equiv 0$, then (2.8) is trivial. Otherwise

$$r_0^{-\beta} \|f\|_2^2 + W_{\beta/2}(f) \neq 0.$$

Indeed, if this expression vanishes, then we would have that $r_0 = \infty$ and $f = \text{const} \neq 0$. Since μ is α -regular, it follows from $r_0 = \infty$ that $\mu(M) = \infty$, and so $f = \text{const} \notin L^2$. This is a contradiction. Hence, letting

$$r = \left(\frac{\|f\|_1^2}{r_0^{-\beta}\|f\|_2^2 + W_{\beta/2}(f)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta}}$$

in (2.14), we obtain (2.8).

The proof of Proposition 2.1 is motivated by [23, theorem 3.1].

3 Near-Diagonal Lower Estimates Imply On-Diagonal Upper Bounds

Assume that the Dirichlet form $(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F})$ possesses a heat kernel $p_t(x, y)$. We say that $p_t(x, y)$ satisfies a *near-diagonal lower estimate* if, for some $\delta, c_0 > 0$, $\beta > 1$,

(NLE) $p_t(x, y) \ge c_0 t^{-\alpha/\beta}$

for all $0 < t < \delta r_0^{\beta}$ and μ -almost all $x, y \in M$ satisfying

$$d(x, y) < \delta t^{1/\beta}$$

where α is the same as in (2.4). Under a certain additional assumption on the metric *d*, for example the chain condition, (NLE) allows us to obtain a full lower estimate for $p_t(x, y)$ for all $0 < t < r_0^\beta$ and μ -almost all $x, y \in M$. We say that (M, d) satisfies the *chain condition* if, for any distinct points $x, y \in X$ and any

integer $n \ge 1$, there exist a constant c > 0 and a sequence of points $\{x_k\}_{k=0}^n$ in X such that $x_0 = x$, $x_n = y$, and

(3.1)
$$d(x_i, x_{i+1}) \le cn^{-1}d(x, y) \quad (0 \le i \le n-1).$$

For instance, the chain condition is satisfied if (M, d) is a *geodesic space*.

PROPOSITION 3.1 Let (M, d) be a metric space satisfying the chain condition, and let μ be lower α -regular. Assume that the heat kernel $p_t(x, y)$ of the Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ exists and satisfies (NLE). Then $p_t(x, y)$ satisfies the off-diagonal lower bound

0

(LE)
$$p_t(x, y) \ge ct^{-\alpha/\beta} \exp\left(-c'\left(\frac{d(x, y)}{t^{1/\beta}}\right)^{\frac{p}{\beta-1}}\right)$$

for all $0 < t < r_0^{\beta}$ and μ -almost all $x, y \in M$, for some c, c' > 0.

See [2] or [17, cor. 3.5] for the proof.

The following is the key result in this paper, showing that (NLE) implies the Nash inequality for $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$:

THEOREM 3.2 Let (M, d) be a metric space with a lower α -regular measure μ . Assume that the heat kernel of the Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ exists and satisfies (NLE). Then, for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$, we have that $\mathcal{E}(f) \geq cW_{\beta/2}(f)$, and

(3.2)
$$\|f\|_2^{2(1+\beta/\alpha)} \le c(r_0^{-\beta} \|f\|_2^2 + \mathscr{E}(f)) \|f\|_1^{2\beta/\alpha}$$

where c (independent of r_0) depends only on the constants from the hypothesis.

PROOF: First note that (NLE) implies that the measure μ is upper α -regular; see [17, (3.3), p. 2071] for the case $r_0 = \infty$. For $r_0 < \infty$, the proof is the same. Indeed, fix a ball $B(x_0, r)$ with $0 < r \le \varepsilon r_0$ where

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} \,\delta^{1+1/\beta}$$

with the same δ as in (NLE). Let $f = \mathbf{1}_{B(x_0,r)}$. Then, for any t > 0 and almost all $x \in B(x_0, r)$,

$$1 \ge T_t f(x) = \int_{B(x_0, r)} p_t(x, y) d\mu(y) \ge \mu(B(x_0, r)) \operatorname{essinf}_{y \in B(x_0, r)} p_t(x, y),$$

whence

(3.3)
$$\mu(B(x_0, r)) \le \left(\operatorname{essinf}_{x, y \in B(x_0, r)} p_t(x, y) \right)^{-1}.$$

Choosing t such that

$$\delta t^{1/\beta} = 2r$$

we see that, for all $x, y \in B(x_0, r)$,

$$d(x, y) < 2r = \delta t^{1/\beta},$$

and

$$t = \left(\frac{2r}{\delta}\right)^{\beta} \le \left(\frac{2\varepsilon r_0}{\delta}\right)^{\beta} = \delta r_0^{\beta}.$$

Therefore, (NLE) implies that

$$\operatorname{essinf}_{x,y\in B(x_0,r)} p_t(x,y) \ge c_0 t^{-\alpha/\beta} = c r^{-\alpha},$$

whence μ is upper α -regular for $0 < r \le \varepsilon r_0$ by virtue of (3.3). Now, if $r_0 < \infty$ and $\varepsilon r_0 < r < r_0$, then

$$\mu(B(x_0, r)) \ge \mu(B(x_0, \varepsilon r_0)) \ge c(\varepsilon r_0)^{\alpha} = c' r^{\alpha}$$

and so μ is upper α -regular for all $0 < r < r_0$ by adjusting the constant.

For any $f \in L^2$, set

$$\mathscr{E}_t(f) = \frac{1}{t} \int_M (f - T_t f) f \, d\mu.$$

By [12, lemma 1.3.4, p. 22], the family $\{\mathscr{E}_t(f)\}$ increases as $t \downarrow 0$ and tends to $\mathscr{E}(f)$ for any $f \in \mathscr{F}$. Using this and (2.2), we obtain that, for any t > 0 and r > 0,

$$\mathscr{E}(f) \geq \frac{1}{t} \int_{M} (f(x) - T_t f(x)) f(x) d\mu(x)$$

= $\frac{1}{2t} \left\{ \int_{M} \int_{M} (f(x) - f(y))^2 p_t(x, y) d\mu(y) d\mu(x) + 2 \int_{M} f(x)^2 (1 - T_t 1(x)) d\mu(x) \right\}$
(3.4) $\geq \frac{1}{2t} \int_{M} \int_{B(x,r)} (f(x) - f(y))^2 p_t(x, y) d\mu(y) d\mu(x).$

For any $r < \delta^{1+1/\beta} r_0$, let $t = (r/\delta)^{\beta}$ so that $t < \delta r_0^{\beta}$. For such r and t, since $d(x, y) < r = \delta t^{1/\beta}$,

for almost all $x \in M$ and $y \in B(x, r)$, we can apply (NLE) in (3.4) to obtain that

(3.5)
$$\mathscr{E}(f) \ge \frac{c_0}{2} t^{-(1+\alpha/\beta)} \int_M \int_{B(x,r)} (f(x) - f(y))^2 d\mu(y) d\mu(x) = c r^{-(\alpha+\beta)} \int_M \int_{B(x,r)} (f(x) - f(y))^2 d\mu(y) d\mu(x).$$

Let us verify that (3.5) also holds for $\delta^{1+1/\beta}r_0 \leq r < r_0$ (assuming $r_0 < \infty$). Since μ satisfies the *doubling condition*, any ball of center x_0 and radius r can

be covered by a finite number (independent of x_0 and r, r_0) of balls of radius $\delta^{1+1/\beta} r_0$. Applying (3.5) for each of these balls and adding up, we see that (3.5) holds for any $0 < r < r_0$. Finally, taking the supremum in r, we obtain from (3.5) that

(3.6)
$$\mathscr{E}(f) \ge cW_{\beta/2}(f).$$

Combining (3.5) and (2.8), we arrive at (3.2).

THEOREM 3.3 Let (M, d) be a metric space with a lower α -regular measure μ . Assume that the heat kernel $p_t(x, y)$ of the Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ exists and satisfies (NLE). Then the following upper estimate holds:

(DUE)
$$p_t(x, y) \le \frac{c}{\min(t^{\alpha/\beta}, r_0^{\alpha})}$$

for all t > 0 and μ -almost all $x, y \in M$.

PROOF: The conclusion immediately follows from Theorem 3.2 by a result in [7, theorem 2.1, p. 251], which extends the classical argument by Nash [27] to the present setting. \Box

The estimate (DUE) is called a *on-diagonal upper estimate* because, at least in the setting when $p_t(x, y)$ is continuous in x, y, it is equivalent to the same estimate on the diagonal x = y,

$$p_t(x,x) \le \frac{c}{\min(t^{\alpha/\beta}, r_0^{\alpha})}$$

by noting that, using the semigroup property and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$p_t(x, y) = \int_M p_{t/2}(x, z) p_{t/2}(z, y) d\mu(z)$$

$$\leq \left(\int_M p_{t/2}(x, z)^2 d\mu(z) \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_M p_{t/2}(z, y)^2 d\mu(z) \right)^{1/2}$$

$$= (p_t(x, x) p_t(y, y))^{1/2}.$$

Remark 3.4. If *M* is unbounded, we can take $r_0 = \text{diam}(M) = \infty$. Then (DUE) is reduced to

$$(3.7) p_t(x, y) \le ct^{-\alpha/\beta}.$$

COROLLARY 3.5 Let M be a Riemannian manifold, d be the geodesic distance, μ be the Riemannian measure, and $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ be the classical Dirichlet form on M, that is,

(3.8)
$$\mathscr{E}(f) = \int_{M} |\nabla f|^2 \, d\mu.$$



FIGURE 3.1. $M = E_1 \cup E_2 \cup K$.

Assume that μ is lower α -regular and set $\beta = 2$. Then (NLE) \implies (UE) (formula (UE) is indicated at the beginning of Section 4).

PROOF: Indeed, by Theorem 3.2, we have (NLE) \implies (DUE), that is,

$$(3.9) p_t(x,x) \le ct^{-\alpha/2}$$

for all $t < r_0^2$ and $x \in M$. By [13], the on-diagonal estimate (3.9) on a manifold implies the off-diagonal upper bound:

$$p_t(x, y) \le \frac{c}{t^{\alpha/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{d(x, y)^2}{c't}\right)$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $t < r_0^2$, for some c, c' > 0.

We make the following conjecture:

Conjecture 3.6. Let (M, d, μ) be a separable metric measure space with a lower α -regular measure μ , and $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ a local regular Dirichlet form in $L^2(M, \mu)$. Then (NLE) \implies (UE).

In the next section, we will prove a version of this conjecture when a somewhat stronger version of (NLE) holds.

We finish this section with an example showing that the condition of the lower regularity of measure μ in Theorem 3.3 cannot be dropped.

Example 3.7. Let M be a manifold obtained by gluing together \mathbb{R}^3 and $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{S}^2$, where \mathbb{S}^2 is the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^3 . More precisely, assume that M is a complete three-dimensional manifold such that M is a disjoint union of a compact set K and open sets E_1 and E_2 , where E_1 is isometric to $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{S}^2$, and E_2 is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_0$ where B_0 is a ball in \mathbb{R}^3 ; see Figure 3.1.

Let μ be the Riemannian measure on M, d be the geodesic distance, and \mathscr{E} be defined as in (3.8), which is local and regular. Set $r_0 = \infty$. Obviously, μ is not

lower α -regular for any α (indeed, for small r, $\mu(B(x, r)) \simeq r^3$, whereas for large r there are balls with $\mu(B(x, r)) \simeq r$). It follows from [18, example 3] that the heat kernel p_t on M satisfies the lower estimate

(3.10)
$$p_t(x, y) \ge \frac{c}{t^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{d(x, y)^2}{c't}\right)$$

for all t > 0 and $x, y \in M$, where c, c' > 0. Thus, (NLE) is true with $\alpha = 3$ and $\beta = 2$ (and no other choice of α and β will do). On the other hand, if $x = y \in E_1$ with $d(x, K) = \sqrt{t}$ for large *t*, then it follows from [18, example 3] that

$$p_t(x,x) \ge \frac{c}{t^{1/2}} \gg \frac{c}{t^{3/2}}.$$

Hence, the diagonal upper bound of p_t

$$p_t(x,x) \le \frac{c}{t^{3/2}}$$

fails for such x and t.

4 Local Lower Estimates Imply Full Upper Bounds

We say that condition (UE) holds if

The heat kernel $p_t(x, y)$ exists and satisfies the upper estimate

(UE)
$$p_t(x, y) \le ct^{-\alpha/\beta} \exp\left(-c'\left(\frac{d(x, y)}{t^{1/\beta}}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta-1}}\right)$$

for all $0 < t < r_0^{\beta}$ and μ -almost all $x, y \in M$, where c, c' > 0.

From now on we assume that the form $(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F})$ is *local and regular*. For any regular Dirichlet form $(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F})$, there exists an associated *Hunt process* $(\{X_t\}_{t\geq 0}, \{\mathbb{P}_x\}_{x\in M})$; see [12, theorem 7.2.1]. If in addition $(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F})$ is local, then X_t is a *diffusion*, that is, the path $t \to X_t$ is continuous almost surely [12, theorem 7.2.2]. By the *transition density* of the process X_t , we mean a measurable function $\widetilde{p}_t(x, y)$ defined *pointwise* on $(0, \infty) \times M \times M$ such that

(4.1)
$$\mathbb{E}_{x}f(X_{t}) = \int_{M} \widetilde{p}_{t}(x, y)f(y)d\mu(y)$$

for all $x \in M$, t > 0, and any bounded *Borel* function f. For any such function f, set

$$(4.2) P_t f(x) := \mathbb{E}_x f(X_t) \quad (x \in M, t > 0).$$

Then $\{P_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a semigroup on bounded Borel functions. It is well-known [12] that

$$T_t f(x) = P_t f(x) \quad \text{a.e}$$

for all t > 0 and all bounded Borel functions f. This implies that if the heat kernel $p_t(x, y)$ and the transition density $\tilde{p}_t(x, y)$ exist, then

$$p_t(x, y) = \widetilde{p}_t(x, y)$$

for all t > 0 and μ -almost all $x, y \in M$. Let us emphasize that unlike the heat kernel, the transition density is defined for all $x, y \in M$.

We say that $N \subset M$ is the *negligible set* if $\mu(N) = 0$ and

$$\mathbb{P}_{x}(X_{t} \in N \text{ or } X_{t-} \in N \text{ for some } t \geq 0) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in M \setminus N.$$

It follows from [2, prop. 4.14, cor. 4.15] or [16] that if the heat kernel exists and satisfies (DUE), then the transition density $\tilde{p}_t(x, y)$ satisfies (DUE) for all $x, y \in M \setminus N$ and t > 0, where N is a negligible set.

Let Ω be an open subset of M, and define

$$\mathscr{F}_{\Omega} = \{ f \in \mathscr{F} : f \mid_{M \setminus \Omega} = 0 \}.$$

If $(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F})$ is a regular Dirichlet form on $L^2(M, \mu)$, then the form $(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F}_{\Omega})$ is also a regular Dirichlet form on $L^2(\Omega, \mu)$ [12, theorem 4.4.3, p. 154]. Let $(\{X_t\}_{t\geq 0}, \{\mathbb{P}^{\Omega}_x\}_{x\in M})$ be a (killed) Hunt process associated with $(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F}_{\Omega})$. Then, for any bounded Borel function f and for all $x \in M, t > 0$,

(4.3)
$$P_t^{\Omega} f(x) := \mathbb{E}_x^{\Omega} (f(X_t)) = \mathbb{E}_x (\mathbf{1}_{\{t < \tau_{\Omega}\}} f(X_t))$$

where

$$\tau_{\Omega} = \inf\{t > 0 : X_t \notin \Omega\},\$$

the first *exit time* from Ω ; see [12, (4.1.2), p. 135]. Assume that the transition density for the killed process on Ω exists for any open subset Ω , and denote it by $\tilde{p}_t^{\Omega}(x, y)$. Clearly $\tilde{p}_t^{\Omega}(x, y) = 0$ for all t > 0 if $x \notin \Omega$ or $y \notin \Omega$. It follows from (4.3) that, for all $x \in M$ and all t > 0,

(4.4)
$$\widetilde{p}_t^{\Omega}(x, y) \le \widetilde{p}_t(x, y)$$

for μ -almost all $y \in M$.

Taking f = 1 in (4.3) and integrating in $e^{-\lambda t} dt$ over $(0, \infty)$, we obtain that

$$\lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} P_t^\Omega \mathbf{1}(x) dt = \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{E}_x(\mathbf{1}_{\{t < \tau_\Omega\}}) dt$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_x \left(\lambda \int_0^{\tau_\Omega} e^{-\lambda t} dt \right)$$
$$= 1 - \mathbb{E}_x(e^{-\lambda \tau_\Omega}).$$

Therefore,

(4.5)
$$\mathbb{E}_{x}(e^{-\lambda\tau_{\Omega}}) = 1 - \lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P_{t}^{\Omega} \mathbf{1}(x) dt$$



FIGURE 4.1. Balls $B(x_0, r)$ and $B(x_0, \delta t^{1/\beta})$.

for all $x \in M$ and $\lambda \ge 0$, and for any open subset Ω of M. In the remainder of this section, we always set

$$r_0 := \operatorname{diam}(M).$$

In order to obtain off-diagonal upper estimates of the heat kernel $p_t(x, y)$, we assume the following *local lower estimate* of the heat kernel:

(LLE): For any ball *B*, the local heat kernel $p_t^B(x, y)$ exists. Moreover, there exist some $c_0 > 0$, $\beta > 1$, and $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that, for all $x_0 \in M$, $0 < r < r_0$, and all $t \le \delta r^{\beta}$, $p_t^{B(x_0,r)}(x, y) \ge c_0 t^{-\alpha/\beta}$,

for μ -almost all $x, y \in B(x_0, \delta t^{1/\beta})$, where α is the same as in (2.4).

Roughly speaking, condition (LLE) says the *Dirichlet heat kernel* $p_t^{B(x_0,r)}(x, y)$ of the form $(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F}_{B(x_0,r)})$ satisfies the near-diagonal lower bound for x, y close to the center of the ball; see Figure 4.1.

If $p_t^{B(x_0,r)}(x, y)$ is continuous in x, y for any ball $B(x_0, r)$, then we can rephrase the local lower estimate in a simpler way: there exist some $c_0 > 0, \beta > 1$, and $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that, for all $x \in M, 0 < r < r_0$, and all $t \leq \delta r^{\beta}$,

$$(4.6) p_t^{B(x,r)}(x,y) \ge c_0 t^{-\alpha/\beta}$$

for all $y \in B(x, \delta t^{1/\beta})$.

LEMMA 4.1 Let $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ be a Dirichlet form on a separable metric space M. If (LLE) holds, then the (global) heat kernel $p_t(x, y)$ of $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ exists and satisfies (NLE) with $r_0 = \operatorname{diam}(M)$.

PROOF: Observe that the heat kernel p_t of $(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F})$ exists under the hypothesis (LLE). Indeed, if $r_0 < \infty$, then M is a metric ball B and hence $p_t = p_t^B$. If $r_0 = \infty$, then, for a fixed $x_0 \in M$, the sequence $\{p_t^{B(x_0,n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is increasing in

n, and its limit is finite almost everywhere and is the heat kernel p_t of $(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F})$; see [16, lemma 4.1].

It remains to show $p_t(x, y)$ satisfies (NLE). Fix $t < \delta r_0^{\beta}$, and let r be such that $t = \delta r^{\beta}$. By (LLE), we have that, for any $z \in M$, there is a set $N_z \subset M$ such that $\mu(N_z) = 0$ and

(4.7)
$$p_t^{B(z,r)}(x,y) \ge c_0 t^{-\alpha/\beta}$$

for all $x, y \in B(z, \delta t^{1/\beta}) \setminus N_z$. By adjusting N_z , we can assume that

$$p_t(x, y) \ge p_t^{B(z, r)}(x, y)$$

also for all $x, y \in B(z, \delta t^{1/\beta}) \setminus N_z$. Hence, for all $x, y \in B(z, \delta t^{1/\beta}) \setminus N_z$,

$$(4.8) p_t(x,y) \ge c_0 t^{-\alpha/\beta}$$

Consider the subsets of $M \times M$

$$A = \{(x, y) \in M \times M : d(x, y) < \delta t^{1/\beta}\}$$

and

$$A_z = \{(x, y) \in M \times M : x, y \in B(z, \delta t^{1/\beta})\}.$$

Clearly we have

$$A \subset \bigcup_{z \in M} A_z$$

because, for any $(x, y) \in A$, we see that $x, y \in B(x, \delta t^{1/\beta})$ and hence $(x, y) \in A_x$. Now, since each set A_z is open in $M \times M$ and $M \times M$ has a countable base, there is a countable family $\{A_{z_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$A \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{z_k}.$$

Since (4.8) holds for all $(x, y) \in A_{z_k} \setminus N_{z_k}$ for any k, we obtain that the same is true for any $(x, y) \in \bigcup_{k \ge 1} A_{z_k} \setminus N$, where

$$N := \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} N_{z_k}$$

has zero measure, and that (4.8) holds for all $(x, y) \in A \setminus N$. Therefore (NLE) follows.

The next theorem is our main result in this paper.

THEOREM 4.2 Let (M, d, μ) be a separable metric measure space and measure μ be lower α -regular. Let $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ be a local regular Dirichlet form in $L^2(M, \mu)$. Then the following equivalence holds:

$$(LLE) \iff (UE) + (NLE).$$

PROOF: We first prove the implication (LLE) \Rightarrow (UE) + (NLE). By Lemma 4.1, (LLE) implies that the heat kernel $p_t(x, y)$ of $(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F})$ exists and satisfies (NLE). Thus we only need to prove (UE) assuming that (LLE) holds. Observe that (LLE) implies that there exists a negligible set N such that, for all $x_0 \in M$, $0 < r < r_0$, and all $t \leq \delta r^{\beta}$,

(4.9)
$$\widetilde{p}_t^{B(x_0,r)}(x,y) \ge c_0 t^{-\alpha/\beta}$$

for all $x, y \in B(x_0, \delta t^{1/\beta}) \setminus N$; see [16]. Let us show that, for all $x \in M \setminus N$, $0 < r < r_0$, and all t > 0,

(4.10)
$$\mathbb{P}_{x}(\tau_{B(x,r)} \leq t) \leq c \exp\left(-c'\left(\frac{r}{t^{1/\beta}}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta-1}}\right).$$

The proof of (4.10) given here is motivated by [16, theorem 9.1] or [2]. We prove that there exists some $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ such that, for all $x \in M \setminus N$, $0 < r < r_0$, and $\lambda \ge r^{-\beta}$,

(4.11)
$$\mathbb{E}_{x}(e^{-\lambda\tau_{B(x,r)}}) \leq \varepsilon.$$

To see this, fix $x \in M \setminus N$ and $0 < r < r_0$, and set $\tau = \tau_{B(x,r)}$. For $0 < t < \delta r^{\beta}$, we see from (4.9) with $x_0 = x$ that

$$P_t^{B(x,r)} 1(x) = \int_M \widetilde{p}_t^{B(x,r)}(x, y) d\mu(y)$$

$$\geq \int_{B(x,\delta t^{1/\beta})\setminus N} \widetilde{p}_t^{B(x,r)}(x, y) d\mu(y)$$

$$\geq c_0 t^{-\alpha/\beta} \mu(B(x, \delta t^{1/\beta})) \geq c > 0$$

It follows that, for $\lambda \ge r^{-\beta}$,

$$\lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} P_t^{B(x,r)} 1(x) dt \ge \lambda \int_0^{\delta r^\beta} e^{-\lambda t} P_t^{B(x,r)} 1(x) dt$$
$$\ge c\lambda \int_0^{\delta r^\beta} e^{-\lambda t} dt = c(1 - e^{-\delta \lambda r^\beta}) \ge c' > 0.$$

Therefore, by (4.5),

$$\mathbb{E}_{x}(e^{-\lambda\tau}) = 1 - \lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P_{t}^{B(x,r)} \mathbf{1}(x) dt \leq 1 - c',$$

proving (4.11).

Now we show that (4.11) implies (4.10). For $r_0 = \infty$, this was proved in [2, 16]. For $r_0 < \infty$, the proof is the same. To see this, we show that, for $x \in M \setminus N$ and $0 < r < r_0$,

(4.12)
$$\mathbb{E}_{x}(e^{-\lambda\tau}) \leq c \exp(-c'\lambda^{1/\beta}r)$$

for $\lambda > 0$, where c, c' > 0 are independent of x, r, and λ . Indeed, let $\rho = r/n$ where $n \ge 1$ will be chosen below. Set $\tau_k = \tau(x, k\rho)$, the first exit time from the ball $B_k := B(x, k\rho)$ for k = 1, ..., n. By the strong Markov property, we have that

(4.13)
$$\mathbb{E}_{x}(e^{-\lambda\tau_{k+1}}) = \mathbb{E}_{x}(e^{-\lambda\tau_{k}}e^{-\lambda(\tau_{k+1}-\tau_{k})}) = \mathbb{E}_{x}(e^{-\lambda\tau_{k}}\mathbb{E}_{X_{\tau_{k}}}e^{-\lambda\tau_{k+1}}).$$

Note that $X_{\tau_k} \in \partial B_k \setminus N$ with \mathbb{P}_x -probability 1, and $\tau_{k+1} \geq \tau_{B(y,\rho)}$ for any $y \in \partial B_k$. We have from (4.13) and (4.11) that, for $\lambda \geq \rho^{-\beta}$,

(4.14)
$$\mathbb{E}_{x}(e^{-\lambda\tau_{k+1}}) \leq \mathbb{E}_{x}(e^{-\lambda\tau_{k}}) \sup_{y \in \partial B_{k} \setminus N} \mathbb{E}_{y}(e^{-\lambda\tau_{B(y,\rho)}})$$
$$\leq \varepsilon \mathbb{E}_{x}(e^{-\lambda\tau_{k}}) \quad (1 \leq k \leq n-1).$$

Now choose the largest integer *n* such that $\lambda \ge \rho^{-\beta} = (n/r)^{\beta}$, that is,

$$n^{\beta} \leq \lambda r^{\beta}$$
.

(We assume that λr^{β} is large enough; otherwise (4.12) automatically holds.) Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}_{x}(e^{-\lambda\tau}) \leq \varepsilon^{n} = e^{-n\log 1/\varepsilon} \leq e^{-(\lambda^{1/\beta}r-1)\log 1/\varepsilon},$$

proving (4.12). By (4.12), we have that

(4.15)

$$\mathbb{P}_{x}(\tau \leq t) = \mathbb{P}_{x}(e^{-\lambda\tau} \geq e^{-\lambda t}) \leq e^{\lambda t} \mathbb{E}_{x}(e^{-\lambda\tau}) \leq c \exp(\lambda t - c'\lambda^{1/\beta}r) \leq c \exp(-c''(r^{\beta}t^{-1})^{1/(\beta-1)})$$

by taking λ such that $\lambda t = \frac{1}{2}c'\lambda^{1/\beta}r$. Thus (4.10) holds.

Finally, fix $x_0, y_0 \in M$ $(x_0 \neq y_0)$ and let $r = \frac{1}{2}d(x_0, y_0)$. Then, for almost all $x \in B(x_0, r)$ and $y \in B(y_0, r)$,

(4.16)
$$p_t(x, y) \leq \mathbb{P}_x \left(\tau_{B(x_0, r)} \leq \frac{t}{2} \right) \sup_{t/2 \leq s \leq t} \operatorname{essup}_{u \in B(x_0, 2r)} p_s(u, y) + \mathbb{P}_y \left(\tau_{B(y_0, r)} \leq \frac{t}{2} \right) \sup_{t/2 \leq s \leq t} \operatorname{essup}_{v \in B(y_0, 2r)} p_s(v, x);$$

see [16]. By (4.10), we see that, for any $x \in B(x_0, r/2) \setminus N$,

(4.17)
$$\mathbb{P}_{x}\left(\tau_{B(x_{0},r)} \leq \frac{t}{2}\right) \leq \mathbb{P}_{x}\left(\tau_{B(x,r/2)} \leq \frac{t}{2}\right)$$
$$\leq c \exp\left(-c'\left(\frac{r}{t^{1/\beta}}\right)^{\beta/(\beta-1)}\right).$$

Similarly, for any $y \in B(y_0, r/2) \setminus N$,

(4.18)
$$\mathbb{P}_{y}\left(\tau_{B(y_{0},r)} \leq \frac{t}{2}\right) \leq c \exp\left(-c'\left(\frac{r}{t^{1/\beta}}\right)^{\beta/(\beta-1)}\right).$$

Noting that, for μ -almost all $u, y \in M$ and $t/2 \le s \le t$, we have from (DUE) that

$$p_s(u, y) \le ct^{-\alpha/\beta}$$
 if $t < r_0^{\beta}$.

Therefore, we combine (4.16), (4.17), and (4.18) to obtain (UE).

For the opposite implication, we prove a stronger claim. For this, we introduce a condition (Φ UE) as follows:

(Φ UE): There exists a bounded positive function Φ on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$(4.19) \qquad \qquad \sup_{s \ge 0} s^{\alpha} \Phi(s) < \infty$$

such that the heat kernel $p_t(x, y)$ of $(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F})$ satisfies the estimate

$$p_t(x, y) \le t^{-\alpha/\beta} \Phi\left(\frac{d(x, y)}{t^{1/\beta}}\right)$$

for all $t < r_0^{\beta}$ and μ -almost all $x, y \in M$.

Obviously, (UE) \implies (Φ UE) since one can take $\Phi(s) = c \exp(-c's^{\beta/(\beta-1)})$. We claim that if (\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F}) is a regular Dirichlet form (not necessarily local) then

$$(NLE) + (\Phi UE) \implies (LLE).$$

Note that $(\Phi UE) \implies (DUE)$ and (DUE) implies the Nash inequality (3.2) for all $f \in \mathscr{F}$. In particular, this inequality also holds for all $f \in \mathscr{F}_{\Omega}$, which implies that $p_t^{\Omega}(x, y)$ exists by the results of [7] and [16].

Next, let us apply the following inequality:

(4.20)
$$p_t(x, y) \le p_t^{\Omega}(x, y) + \sup_{0 \le s \le t} \operatorname{essup}_{z \in \Omega^c} p_s(y, z),$$

which is true for any open $\Omega \subset M$, for all t > 0 and μ -almost all $x, y \in \Omega$, see [16, lemma 8.1]. Fix some $0 < \delta' \le \delta/2$ to be specified below where δ is the constant from (NLE). Also, fix some $x_0 \in M$, $r \in (0, r_0)$, s > 0, and $0 < t \le \delta' r^{\beta}$. For all $z \in B(x_0, r)^c$ and $y \in B(x_0, \delta' t^{1/\beta})$, we have

$$d(y,z) \ge d(z,x_0) - d(x_0,y) \ge r - \delta' t^{1/\beta} \ge (1 - (\delta')^{1+1/\beta})r \ge \text{const} \cdot r$$

Then by (Φ UE), we have, for μ -almost all $z \in B(x_0, r)^c$ and $y \in B(x_0, \delta' t^{1/\beta})$,

$$p_{s}(y,z) \leq s^{-\alpha/\beta} \Phi\left(\frac{d(y,z)}{s^{1/\beta}}\right)$$

= $d(y,z)^{-\alpha} \left(\frac{d(y,z)}{s^{1/\beta}}\right)^{\alpha} \Phi\left(\frac{d(y,z)}{s^{1/\beta}}\right)$
 $\leq d(y,z)^{-\alpha} \sup_{\xi \geq 0} \xi^{\alpha} \Phi(\xi) \leq cr^{-\alpha} \leq c(\delta')^{\alpha/\beta} t^{-\alpha/\beta}.$

Choosing δ' to be small enough, we obtain that for μ -almost all $y \in B(x_0, \delta' t^{1/\beta})$,

$$\operatorname{esssup}_{z\in B(x_0,r)^c} p_s(y,z) \leq \frac{c_0}{2} t^{-\alpha/\beta},$$

where c_0 is the constant from (NLE). Applying (4.20) with $\Omega = B(x_0, r)$ and using (NLE), we obtain that for μ -almost all $x, y \in B(x_0, \delta' t^{1/\beta})$

$$c_0 t^{-\alpha/\beta} \le p_t(x, y) \le p_t^{B(x_0, r)}(x, y) + \frac{c_0}{2} t^{-\alpha/\beta},$$

which implies that

$$p_t^{B(x_0,r)}(x,y) \ge \frac{c_0}{2} t^{-\alpha/\beta}.$$

Hence, we have proved (LLE) with the parameter δ' .

Remark 4.3. Assume that the hypotheses in Theorem 4.2 hold. If in addition (M, d) satisfies the chain condition, then we obtain from Proposition 3.1 the following result: the local lower estimate (LLE) is equivalent to the two-sided estimate

$$p_t(x, y) \asymp t^{-\alpha/\beta} \exp\left(-c'\left(\frac{d(x, y)}{t^{1/\beta}}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta-1}}\right)$$

for all $0 < t < r_0^{\beta}$ and μ -almost all $x, y \in M$. Here the values of the constant c' may be different for the upper and lower estimates.

Remark 4.4. In Theorem 4.2, we do not assume the diffusion $({X_t}_{t\geq 0}, {\mathbb{P}_x}_{x\in M})$ is *stochastically complete*, that is,

$$\mathbb{P}_x(X_t \in M) = 1$$
 for all $x \in M, t > 0$.

This condition is usually assumed in most literature on the heat kernel estimates.

Remark 4.5. Theorem 4.2 provides a new way of obtaining two-sided estimates of the heat kernel $p_t(x, y)$ from the parabolic Harnack inequality. Indeed, by the standard argument (see [1, 28, 31]), the parabolic Harnack inequality implies (LLE), whence (UE) and (NLE) follow.

For the next statement, we need the following condition, which is referred to as the *time-independent upper estimate*:

(TIUE) $p_t(x, y) \le c d(x, y)^{-\alpha}$ for all $t < r_0^{\beta}$ and μ -a.a. $x, y \in M$.

It is easy to see that $(\Phi UE) \implies (TIUE)$.

THEOREM 4.6 Let (M, d, μ) be a separable metric measure space and let $(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F})$ be a local regular Dirichlet form in $L^2(M, \mu)$. If measure μ is lower α -regular, then

 $(TIUE) + (NLE) \iff (UE) + (NLE).$

PROOF: The direction \Leftarrow is obvious because (TIUE) coincides with (Φ UE) with function $\Phi(s) = cs^{-\alpha}$ that satisfies (4.19) (despite this function being unbounded).

To prove the opposite implication, observe that, by Theorem 3.3, (NLE) implies (DUE), which together with (TIUE) yields

$$(4.21) p_t(x,y) \le c \min\{t^{-\alpha/\beta}, d(x,y)^{-\alpha}\},$$

for all $t < r_0^{\beta}$ and μ -a.a. $x, y \in M$. Obviously, (4.21) is equivalent to (ΦUE) with the function

(4.22)
$$\Phi(s) = c \begin{cases} s^{-\alpha}, & s > 1, \\ 1, & s \le 1, \end{cases}$$

which is clearly bounded and satisfies (4.19). By the second part of the proof of Theorem 4.2, $(\Phi UE) + (NLE)$ imply (LLE). Then, by Theorem 4.2, (LLE) implies (UE).

The next example shows that if $(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F})$ is not local (while the other conditions in Theorem 4.2 are still true), then (LLE) does not imply (UE).

Example 4.7. Let (M, d, μ) be a metric measure space with a Dirichlet form $(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F})$ whose heat kernel $p_t(x, y)$ is stochastically complete and satisfies the estimates

(4.23)
$$t^{-\alpha/\beta} \Phi_1(t^{-1/\beta} d(x, y)) \le p_t(x, y) \le t^{-\alpha/\beta} \Phi_2(t^{-1/\beta} d(x, y))$$

for all $0 < t < r_0^{\beta}$ and μ -almost all $x, y \in M$, where $r_0 = \text{diam}(M)$, and $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 1$, and Φ_1 and Φ_2 are positive decreasing functions on $[0, \infty)$. For example, for some basic fractals such as the Sierpinski gaskets or the Sierpinski carpets, it is known that (4.23) holds with

$$\Phi_i(s) = c_i \exp(-c_i' s^{\gamma_i}) \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

for some $c_i, c'_i, \gamma_i > 0$; see, for example, [4, 6, 21]. Under certain mild conditions on Φ_1 and Φ_2 , (4.23) implies that the measure μ is α -regular; see [17].

Let *H* be the associated infinitesimal generator of the heat kernel p_t defined by (2.3) and (2.1). Then, for any $0 < \sigma < 1$, the heat kernel $p_t^{(\sigma)}$ corresponding to the fractional power H^{σ} satisfies the estimate

(4.24)
$$p_t^{(\sigma)} \approx t^{-\alpha/\beta'} \left(1 + \frac{d(x, y)}{t^{1/\beta'}}\right)^{-(\alpha+\beta')}$$

for all $0 < t < r_0^{\beta'}$ and μ -almost all $x, y \in M$, where $\beta' = \sigma\beta$ (see, for example, [15, 24]). The Dirichlet form $(\mathscr{E}^{(\sigma)}, \mathscr{F})$ corresponding to $p_t^{(\sigma)}(x, y)$ is given by

$$\mathscr{E}^{(\sigma)}(u) = (H^{\sigma}u, u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{M} \int_{M} (u(x) - u(y))^2 k(x, y) d\mu(y) d\mu(x)$$

where

$$k(x, y) = \frac{\sigma}{\Gamma(1 - \sigma)} \int_0^\infty p_t(x, y) \frac{dt}{t^{\sigma + 1}} \asymp d(x, y)^{-(\alpha + \beta')}.$$

One can see that $\mathscr{E}^{(\sigma)}$ is regular but not local. By (4.24), we see that $p_t^{(\sigma)}(x, y)$ does not satisfy (UE). However, it satisfies (Φ UE) and (NLE), which implies (LLE) by the second part of the proof of Theorem 4.2. Therefore, (LLE) does not imply (UE) if (\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F}) is not local.

To be more specific, let us consider $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ with the Lebesgue measure μ and the classical Dirichlet form $(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F})$ given by (3.8). Then the Dirichlet form $\mathscr{E}^{(1/2)}$ is given by

$$\mathscr{E}^{(1/2)}(f) = \frac{C_n}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(f(x) - f(y))^2}{|x - y|^{n+1}} \, dy \, dx,$$

where $C_n = \Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})/\pi^{(n+1)/2}$, and its heat kernel admits the explicit formula

(4.25)
$$p_t^{(1/2)}(x,y) = \frac{C_n}{t^n} \left(1 + \frac{|x-y|^2}{t^2}\right)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}$$

that is, $p_t^{(1/2)}$ is the Cauchy-Poisson kernel. In this case, we have $\alpha = n$ and $\beta' = 1$. Note that the form $\mathscr{E}^{(1/2)}$ is regular but not local, and $p_t^{(1/2)}(x, y)$ does not satisfy (UE) although it satisfies (LLE).

Acknowledgments. AG was supported by a Royal Society Kan Tong Po Visiting Professorship at the Chinese University of Hong Kong (CUHK) and by a Visiting Professorship of the Institute of Mathematical Sciences (IMS) at CUHK. JH was supported by a Major Research Grant of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (Grant No. 10631040), a Hong Kong Research Grants Council (HKRGC) grant, and a visiting grant from Universität Bielefeld. KSL was partially supported by a HKRGC grant at CUHK.

Bibliography

- Aronson, D. G. Bounds for the fundamental solution of a parabolic equation. *Bull. Amer. Math.* Soc. 73 (1967), 890–896.
- [2] Barlow, M. T. Diffusions on fractals. *Lectures on probability theory and statistics (Saint-Flour, 1995)*, 1–121. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1690. Springer, Berlin, 1998.
- [3] Barlow, M. T. Heat kernels and sets with fractal structure. *Heat kernels and analysis on manifolds, graphs, and metric spaces (Paris, 2002)*, 11–40. Contemporary Mathematics, 338. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 2003.
- [4] Barlow, M. T.; Bass, R. F. Brownian motion and harmonic analysis on Sierpinski carpets. *Canad. J. Math.* 51 (1999), no. 4, 673–744.
- [5] Barlow, M. T.; Coulhon, T.; Kumagai, T. Characterization of sub-Gaussian heat kernel estimates on strongly recurrent graphs. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 58 (2005), no. 12, 1642–1677.
- [6] Barlow, M. T.; Perkins, E. A. Brownian motion on the Sierpiński gasket. Probab. Theory Related Fields 79 (1988), no. 4, 543–623.
- [7] Carlen, E. A.; Kusuoka, S.; Stroock, D. W. Upper bounds for symmetric Markov transition functions. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist. 23 (1987), no. 2, suppl., 245–287.
- [8] Chavel, I. Eigenvalues in Riemannian geometry. Pure and Applied Mathematics, 115. Academic, Orlando, Fla., 1984.
- [9] Coulhon, T.; Grigor'yan, A. Pointwise estimates for transition probabilities of random walks on infinite graphs. *Fractals in Graz 2001*, 119–134. Trends in Mathematics. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2003.

- [10] Davies, E. B. *Heat kernels and spectral theory*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 92. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [11] Fabes, E. B.; Stroock, D. W. A new proof of Moser's parabolic Harnack inequality using the old ideas of Nash. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 96 (1986), no. 4, 327–338.
- [12] Fukushima, M.; Ōshima, Y.; Takeda, M. *Dirichlet forms and symmetric Markov processes*. de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, 19. de Gruyter, Berlin, 1994.
- [13] Grigor'yan, A. Gaussian upper bounds for the heat kernel on arbitrary manifolds. J. Differential Geom. 45 (1997), no. 1, 33–52.
- [14] Grigor⁷yan, A. Estimates of heat kernels on Riemannian manifolds. *Spectral theory and geometry (Edinburgh, 1998)*, 140–225. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 273. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [15] Grigor'yan, A. Heat kernels and function theory on metric measure spaces. *Heat kernels and analysis on manifolds, graphs, and metric spaces (Paris, 2002)*, 143–172. Contemporary Mathematics, 338. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 2003.
- [16] Grigor'yan, A. Heat kernels and exit time. Preprint.
- [17] Grigor'yan, A.; Hu, J.; Lau, K.-S. Heat kernels on metric measure spaces and an application to semilinear elliptic equations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 355 (2003), no. 5, 2065–2095 (electronic).
- [18] Grigor'yan, A.; Saloff-Coste, L. Heat kernel on connected sums of Riemannian manifolds. *Math. Res. Lett.* 6 (1999), no. 3-4, 307–321.
- [19] Grigor'yan, A.; Telcs, A. Sub-Gaussian estimates of heat kernels on infinite graphs. Duke Math. J. 109 (2001), no. 3, 451–510.
- [20] Grigor'yan, A.; Telcs, A. Harnack inequalities and sub-Gaussian estimates for random walks. *Math. Ann.* 324 (2002), no. 3, 521–556.
- [21] Hambly, B. M.; Kumagai, T. Transition density estimates for diffusion processes on post critically finite self-similar fractals. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 78 (1999), no. 2, 431–458.
- [22] Hebisch, W.; Saloff-Coste, L. On the relation between elliptic and parabolic Harnack inequalities. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 51 (2001), no. 5, 1437–1481.
- [23] Hu, J.; Kumagai, T. Nash-type inequalities and heat kernels for non-local Dirichlet forms. *Kyushu J. Math.* **60** (2006), no. 2, 245–265.
- [24] Hu, J.; Zähle, M. Jump processes and nonlinear fractional heat equations on metric measure spaces. *Math. Nachr.* 279 (2006), no. 1-2, 150–163.
- [25] Kigami, J. Analysis on fractals. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 143. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [26] Moser, J. A Harnack inequality for parabolic differential equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 17 (1964), 101–134.
- [27] Nash, J. Continuity of solutions of parabolic and elliptic equations. Amer. J. Math. 80 (1958), 931–954.
- [28] Porper, F. O.; Èĭdel'man, S. D. Two-sided estimates of the fundamental solutions of secondorder parabolic equations and some applications of them. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **39** (1984), no. 3(237), 107–156; translation in *Russian Math. Surveys* **39** (1984), 119–178.
- [29] Saloff-Coste, L. Aspects of Sobolev-type inequalities. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 289. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [30] Schoen, R.; Yau, S.-T. *Lectures on differential geometry*. Conference Proceedings and Lecture Notes in Geometry and Topology, 1. International, Cambridge, Mass., 1994.
- [31] Stroock, D. W. Estimates on the heat kernel for second order divergence form operators. *Probability theory (Singapore, 1989)*, 29–44. de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992.

ALEXANDER GRIGOR'YAN Universität Bielefeld Fakultät für Mathematik 33507 Bielefeld GERMANY E-mail: grigor@ math.uni-bielefeld.de

JIAXIN HU Tsinghua University Department of Mathematical Sciences 100084 BEIJING PEOPLE'S REP OF CHINA E-mail: hujiaxin@ mail.tsinghua.edu.cn

KA-SING LAU Chinese University of Hong Kong Department of Mathematics SHATIN, N.T. HONG KONG E-mail: kslau@ math.cuhk.edu.hk

Received July 2006.