

WAVELET DECOMPOSITION OF CALDERÓN-ZYGMUND OPERATORS ON FUNCTION SPACES

KA-SING LAU and LIXIN YAN

(Received 18 December 2000; revised 1 March 2003)

Communicated by A. H. Dooley

Abstract

We make use of the Beylkin-Coifman-Rokhlin wavelet decomposition algorithm on the Calderón-Zygmund kernel to obtain some fine estimates on the operator and prove the $T(1)$ theorem on Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. This extends previous results of Frazier *et al.*, and Han and Hofmann.

2000 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 42B20, 46B30.

Keywords and phrases: Calderón-Zygmund operator, Besov space, Triebel-Lizorkin space, wavelet, BCR algorithm.

1. Introduction

In recent years, there has been significant progress on the problem of boundedness of generalized Calderón-Zygmund operators on various function spaces. The operators in question can be described as follows. Let $K(x, y)$ be a continuous function defined on $(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{x = y\}$ and let $T : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ be the linear operator associated with the kernel $K(x, y)$, that is,

$$\langle T\varphi, \psi \rangle = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} K(x, y)\varphi(y)\psi(x) dy dx$$

where $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}$ are C^∞ -test functions on \mathbb{R}^n with disjoint supports. For convenience, we write

$$\Delta K(x, x'; y, y') = |K(x, y) - K(x', y')| + |K(y, x) - K(y', x')|.$$

Both authors are partially supported by a direct grant from the Chinese University of Hong Kong. The second author is also partially supported by NSF of China and NSF of Guangdong Province.

© 2004 Australian Mathematical Society 1446-7887/04 \$A2.00 + 0.00

It is customary to assume that $K(x, y)$ satisfies the following pointwise conditions:

$$(1.1) \quad |K(x, y)| \leq C|x - y|^{-n}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$(1.2) \quad |\Delta K(x, x'; y, y)| \leq C|x' - x|^\gamma |x - y|^{-n-\gamma} \quad \text{for } |x - y| \geq 2|x' - x|,$$

where $0 < \gamma \leq 1$.

In their celebrated paper [4], David and Journé characterized the type of kernel $K(x, y)$ for which T is a bounded operator on L^2 . This is now called the $T(1)$ theorem. They proved that under conditions (1.1) and (1.2) on $K(x, y)$, T extends to a bounded operator on L^2 if and only if both $T(1)$ and $T^*(1)$ are BMO functions, and T has the following *weak boundedness property* (WBP): For $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}$ with $\text{diam}(\text{supp } \varphi), \text{diam}(\text{supp } \psi) \leq t$,

$$(1.3) \quad |\langle T\varphi, \psi \rangle| \leq t^n (\|\varphi\|_\infty + t\|\nabla\varphi\|_\infty) (\|\psi\|_\infty + t\|\nabla\psi\|_\infty).$$

Later, Meyer [11] improved the theorem by replacing the pointwise assumption with the following integral assumption on $K(x, y)$:

$$(1.1') \quad \sup_{r>0} \int_{r \leq |x-y| < 2r} (|K(x, y)| + |K(y, x)|) dy \leq C, \quad \text{and}$$

$$(1.2') \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)B(k) < \infty, \quad \text{with}$$

$$B(k) = \sup_{\substack{r>0 \\ |u|+|v| \leq r}} \left(\int_{2^k r \leq |x-y| < 2^{k+1} r} \Delta K(x+u, x; y+v, y) dy \right).$$

The $T(1)$ theorem has also been considered by Lemarié on the Besov spaces [10], Frazier *et al* on the Triebel-Lizorkin spaces [7], and Han and Hofmann on both classes of spaces [8]. The definitions of such spaces can be stated as follows (see [13]):

Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the space of tempered test functions. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\text{supp } \hat{\varphi} \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : 1/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 2\}$ and $|\hat{\varphi}(\xi)| \geq c > 0$ for $3/5 \leq |\xi| \leq 5/3$; put $\varphi_j(x) = 2^{jn}\varphi(2^jx)$ and $Q_j(f)(x) = \varphi_j * f(x)$. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < p, q < \infty$, the Besov spaces $\dot{B}_p^{\alpha,q}$ is the collection of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{P}$ (the tempered distributions modulo polynomials) satisfying

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}_p^{\alpha,q}} = \left(\sum_j (2^{j\alpha} \|Q_j f\|_p)^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty.$$

The Triebel-Lizorkin space is defined analogously, $\dot{F}_p^{\alpha,q}$ being the collection of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{P}$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\dot{F}_p^{\alpha,q}} = \left\| \left(\sum_j (2^{j\alpha} |Q_j f|)^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_p < \infty.$$

In this paper, we will prove the following two theorems:

THEOREM 1.1. *Suppose T satisfies the WBP (1.3), the kernel $K(x, y)$ satisfies*

- (i) (1.1') and (1.2'). If $T(1) = T^*(1) = 0$, then T is bounded on $\dot{B}_p^{0,q}$, $1 \leq p$, $q < \infty$.
(ii) (1.1') and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha} B(k) < \infty$. If $T(1) = 0$, then T is bounded on $\dot{B}_p^{\alpha,q}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $1 \leq p, q < \infty$.

THEOREM 1.2. *Suppose T satisfies the WBP (1.3), the kernel $K(x, y)$ satisfies*

- (i) (1.1') and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)^{2-1/q} B(k) < \infty$. If $T(1) = T^*(1) = 0$, then T is bounded on $\dot{F}_p^{0,q}$, $1 \leq p, q < \infty$.
(ii) (1.1') and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha} B(k) < \infty$. If $T(1) = 0$, then T is bounded on $\dot{F}_p^{\alpha,q}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $1 \leq p, q < \infty$.

We remark that the two theorems extend the results of Han and Hofmann [8]; they need to assume that $B(k) \leq C2^{-k\epsilon}$ for $0 < \alpha < \epsilon$ in both theorems. For $-1 < \alpha < 0$, Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 also hold by interchanging the role of $T(1)$ and $T^*(1)$ because of the duality (the dual of $\dot{B}_p^{\alpha,q}$ is $\dot{B}_{p'}^{-\alpha,q'}$ and similarly for $\dot{F}_p^{\alpha,q}$).

Note that $\dot{F}_p^{0,2}$ is of special interest because it is the Hardy space H^1 when $p = 1$ and is L^p when $p > 1$. For the Hardy space H^1 , the kernel condition in Theorem 1.2 is $\sum_k (k+1)^{3/2} B(k) < \infty$. In [5], T is proved to be bounded on L^2 under the kernel condition $\sum_k (k+1)^{1/2} B(k) < \infty$. By the interpolation theorem, a direct application of the theorem yields the following result, which is stronger than the corresponding case stated in (i).

COROLLARY 1.3. *Suppose T satisfies the WBP (1.3), the kernel $K(x, y)$ satisfies (1.1') and $\sum_k (k+1)^{1/2+2|1/p-1/2|} B(k) < \infty$. If $T(1) = T^*(1) = 0$, then T is bounded on L^p , $1 < p < \infty$.*

The main tool used in proving the theorems is wavelets, initiated in [2] and [5]. This is quite different from the approaches in [7, 8, 10, 11]. The proof of Theorem 1.1 depends on the Beylkin-Coifman-Rohklin wavelet decomposition of the operator T . For Theorem 1.2, we first prove the boundedness of T on $\dot{F}_1^{\alpha,q}$ using an atomic decomposition on this space. This, together with an interpolation on $\dot{F}_p^{\alpha,p}$ ($= \dot{B}_p^{\alpha,p}$), yields the boundedness of T for the other case.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we will give some preliminaries on wavelets and the BCR decomposition of T . We also set up the proof in terms of wavelet terminology. The $T(1)$ theorem on the Besov spaces is proved in Section 3 and on the Triebel-Lizorkin spaces in Section 4.

2. Preliminaries

For simplicity, we only consider the one dimensional case. The higher dimensional case is similar.

Let us recall the concept of *multiresolution analysis* in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ [12]: it is an increasing sequence of closed linear subspaces $\{V_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with the following properties:

- (i) $\bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} V_j = \{0\}$, $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} V_j$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$;
- (ii) For every $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $f \in V_j \iff f(2 \cdot) \in V_{j+1}$;
- (iii) There exists a φ in V_0 such that $\varphi(x - k)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, is an orthonormal basis for V_0 .

The above φ is called a *scaling function*. Note that by adjusting a normalization constant, $\sum_k \phi(x - k) = 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ [3]. For each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define $\varphi_{jk}(x) = 2^{j/2} \varphi(2^j x - k)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The sequence $\{\varphi_{jk}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ forms an orthonormal basis for V_j . From φ we can construct a wavelet function ψ . Then $\{\psi_{jk}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ forms an orthonormal basis for W_j , the orthogonal complement to V_j inside V_{j+1} , that is, $V_{j+1} = V_j \oplus W_j$. It follows that $\{\psi_{jk}\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. In this paper, we assume that the wavelets are compactly supported, say $\text{supp } \varphi, \text{supp } \psi \subseteq [0, M]$ for some integer M . Also we assume that they have the desirable degree of smoothness whenever needed.

We need the following characterizations of the Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces [6, 12].

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Suppose $\psi \in C^\gamma$ is a compactly supported wavelet and $\{\psi_{jk}\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ forms an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Let f be locally integrable and write $f(x) = \sum_{j,k} \alpha(j, k) \psi_{jk}(x)$ formally.*

- (i) For $0 < \alpha < \gamma$, $1 \leq p, q < \infty$, $f \in \dot{B}_p^{\alpha,q}$ if and only if

$$\left(\sum_j \left(\sum_k |2^{(-1/p + \alpha + 1/2)j} \alpha(j, k)|^p \right)^{q/p} \right)^{1/q} < \infty.$$

- (ii) For $0 < \alpha < \gamma$, $1 \leq p, q < \infty$, $f \in \dot{F}_p^{\alpha,q}$ if and only if

$$A(f)(x) = \left(\sum_{j,k} 2^{(\alpha + 1/2)jq} |\alpha(j, k)|^q \chi(2^j x - k) \right)^{1/q} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}),$$

where χ denotes the characteristic function of $[0, 1)$. In this case, $\|f\|_{\dot{F}_p^{\alpha,q}} \approx \|A(f)\|_p$.

Let $P_j : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow V_j$ be the orthonormal projection and $Q_j = P_{j+1} - P_j$. Then $Q_j : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow W_j$ is the corresponding orthonormal projection. In [2], Beylkin,

Coifman and Rokhlin give a decomposition of T in terms of P_j and Q_j :

$$(2.1) \quad T = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_j T Q_j + \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} Q_j T P_j + \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} Q_j T Q_j.$$

The corresponding distribution kernel is

$$(2.2) \quad K(x, y) = \sum_{jkl} a(j, k, l) \varphi_{jk}(x) \psi_{jl}(y) + \sum_{jkl} b(j, k, l) \psi_{jk}(x) \varphi_{jl}(y) \\ + \sum_{jkl} c(j, k, l) \psi_{jk}(x) \psi_{jl}(y),$$

where

$$a(j, k, l) = \langle T \psi_{jl}, \varphi_{jk} \rangle = \langle K, \varphi_{jk} \otimes \psi_{jl} \rangle, \\ b(j, k, l) = \langle T \varphi_{jl}, \psi_{jk} \rangle = \langle K, \psi_{jk} \otimes \varphi_{jl} \rangle, \\ c(j, k, l) = \langle T \psi_{jl}, \psi_{jk} \rangle = \langle K, \psi_{jk} \otimes \psi_{jl} \rangle.$$

We call such $a(j, k, l)$, $b(j, k, l)$, $c(j, k, l)$ the BCR-coefficients.

It is easy to show that

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Suppose T satisfies the conditions in Theorem 1.1 or Theorem 1.2, then $T^*(1) = 0$ implies that for any $j, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\sum_k a(j, k, l) = 0$; similarly $T(1) = 0$ implies that for any $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\sum_l b(j, k, l) = 0$.*

PROOF. Assuming that $T^*(1) = 0$ and using $\sum_k \varphi(x - k) = 1$, we have

$$\sum_k a(j, k, l) = \sum_k \langle T \psi_{jl}, \varphi_{jk} \rangle = \langle T \psi_{jl}, 2^{j/2} \rangle = \langle \psi_{jl}, 2^{j/2} T^*(1) \rangle = 0.$$

The second part can be proved similarly. □

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Suppose T satisfies the conditions in Theorem 1.1 or Theorem 1.2. Let*

$$A(m) = \sup_{j,l} \left\{ \sum_{k: 2^m \leq |k-l| < 2^{m+1}} (|a(j, k, l)| + |a(j, l, k)| + |b(j, k, l)| + |b(j, l, k)|) \right\}.$$

Then there exists C such that $A(m) \leq CB(m)$ for all $m \geq 0$.

Moreover we have

$$(2.3) \quad \sup_{j,l} \sum_k (|c(j, k, l)| + |c(j, l, k)|) < \infty.$$

PROOF. We first observe that $A(m) < \infty$ for each $m \geq 0$. This comes directly from the WBP in (1.3) and the expressions for $a(j, k, l)$ and $b(j, k, l)$. By using this, it suffices to prove the inequality for $2^m > M$.

Let $y_0 = 2^{-j}l$, then

$$\begin{aligned} a(j, k, l) &= \iint K(x, y)\varphi_{jk}(x)\psi_{jl}(y) dx dy \\ &= 2^j \iint (K(x, y + y_0) - K(x, y_0))\varphi(2^j x - k)\psi(2^j y) dx dy \\ &\leq C \sup_{y \in [0, 2^{-j}M]} \int_{|k2^{-j}, (k+M)2^{-j}|} |K(x, y + y_0) - K(x, y_0)| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for $2^m > M$,

$$\sum_{k: 2^m \leq |k-l| < 2^{m+1}} |a(j, k, l)| \leq C \sup_{y \in [0, 2^{-j}M]} \int_E |K(x, y + y_0) - K(x, y_0)| dx,$$

where $E = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : 2^{m-j} \leq |x - y_0| \leq 2^{m+1-j} + 2^{-j}M\}$. According to the definition of $B(m)$, we have $\sum_{k: 2^m \leq |k-l| < 2^{m+1}} |a(j, k, l)| \leq CB(m)$ for $2^m > M$. The same argument applies to the other terms in $A(m)$, which completes the proof for the first assertion.

The proof of (2.3) is essentially the same. We consider

$$\sup_{j, l} \left\{ \sum_{k \in J} (|c(j, k, l)| + |c(j, l, k)|) \right\}.$$

It is bounded if $J = \{k : |k - l| \leq M\}$; and it is $\leq CB(m)$ if $J = \{k : 2^m \leq |k - l| < 2^{m+1}\}$ if $2^{m+1} > M$. This implies (2.3). \square

3. $T(1)$ Theorem on Besov spaces

In view of Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 2.3, we will prove the following theorem in terms of the BCR-coefficients, which implies Theorem 1.1.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $T : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ be a Calderón-Zygmund operator with the wavelet decomposition as in (2.1), (2.2) and satisfying (1.1'), (2.3).*

(i) *If $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (m+1)A(m) < \infty$ and $\sum_k a(j, k, l) = \sum_l b(j, k, l) = 0$, then T is a bounded operator on $\dot{B}_p^{0,q}$, $1 \leq p, q < \infty$.*

(ii) *If $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha m} A(m) < \infty$ and $\sum_l b(j, k, l) = 0$ for any $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then T is bounded on $\dot{B}_p^{\alpha,q}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, $1 \leq p, q < \infty$.*

Let us rewrite

$$T = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_j T Q_j + \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} Q_j T P_j + \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} Q_j T Q_j = T^{(1)} + T^{(2)} + T^{(3)}.$$

We will first consider the term $T^{(2)}$. Its distributional kernel is

$$K^{(2)}(x, y) = \sum_{jkl} b(j, k, l) \psi_{jk}(x) \varphi_{jl}(y).$$

Let $J_m = \{(k, l) : 2^m \leq |k - l| < 2^{m+1}\}$, and

$$(3.1) \quad b_m(j, k, l) = \begin{cases} b(j, k, l) & (k, l) \in J_m; \\ -\sum_{n:(k,n) \in J_m} b(j, k, n) & l = k; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. The definition implies that $\sum_l b_m(j, k, l) = 0$ for each $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\sum_l b(j, k, l) = 0$ by assumption, we have

$$b(j, k, k) = -\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l:(k,l) \in J_m} b(j, k, l).$$

Hence $K^{(2)}(x, y)$ can be decomposed as

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} K^{(2)}(x, y) &= \sum_{jk} b(j, k, k) \psi_{jk}(x) \varphi_{jk}(y) \\ &\quad + \sum_{jk} \sum_{l:l \neq k} b(j, k, l) \psi_{jk}(x) \varphi_{jl}(y) \\ &= \sum_{jk} \left(-\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l:(k,l) \in J_m} b(j, k, l) \right) \psi_{jk}(x) \varphi_{jk}(y) \\ &\quad + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{jk} \sum_{l:(k,l) \in J_m} b(j, k, l) \psi_{jk}(x) \varphi_{jl}(y) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{jkl} b_m(j, k, l) \psi_{jk}(x) \varphi_{jl}(y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} K_m^{(2)}(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Let $T_m^{(2)}$ denote the operator with distributional kernel $K_m^{(2)}(x, y)$. Then we can decompose $T^{(2)}$ as: $T^{(2)} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} T_m^{(2)}$. We call each $T_m^{(2)}$ a *block operator*. The following lemma together with the assumption on $A(m)$ will imply that $T^{(2)}$ is a bounded operator on $\dot{B}_p^{\alpha, q}$.

LEMMA 3.2. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1, let $1 \leq p, q < \infty$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. Then $T_m^{(2)}$ is a bounded operator on $\dot{B}_p^{\alpha, q}$, and the operator norm satisfies*

$$\|T_m^{(2)}\| \leq \begin{cases} C(m+1)A(m) & \alpha = 0; \\ C2^{\alpha m}A(m) & 0 < \alpha < 1, \end{cases}$$

where C is independent of m .

PROOF. Let $f(y) = \sum_{j,k} \alpha(j, k) \psi_{jk}(y)$ be in $\dot{B}_p^{\alpha, q}$, and let $g(x) = \sum_{j,k} \beta(j, k) \psi_{jk}(x)$ be in the dual space $\dot{B}_{p'}^{-\alpha, q'}$. Noting that $\langle \varphi_{jl}, \psi_{j'k'} \rangle \neq 0$ implies that $j > j'$ and $2^{j-j'}k' \leq l \leq 2^{j-j'}(k' + M) + M$ (recall that φ, ψ have compact supports contained in $[0, M]$), one can write

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_m^{(2)}f, g \rangle &= \sum_{j'k'} \sum_{jkl} \alpha(j', k') b_m(j, k, l) \beta(j, k) \langle \varphi_{jl}, \psi_{j'k'} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j, j': 0 < j - j' \leq m} \left(\sum_{kk'l} \alpha(j', k') b_m(j, k, l) \beta(j, k) \langle \varphi_{jl}, \psi_{j'k'} \rangle \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j, j': j - j' \geq m+1} \left(\sum_{kk'l} \alpha(j', k') b_m(j, k, l) \beta(j, k) \langle \varphi_{jl}, \psi_{j'k'} \rangle \right) \\ &= \text{I} + \text{II}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Gamma_{kk'l}^{mj's} = b_m(j' + s, k, l) \langle \varphi_{j'+sl}, \psi_{j'k'} \rangle$. By using Proposition 2.1 and the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{I}| &\leq \sum_{s=1}^m \sum_{j'} \left| \sum_{kk'l} \alpha(j', k') b_m(j' + s, k, l) \beta(j' + s, k) \langle \varphi_{j'+sl}, \psi_{j'k'} \rangle \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{s=1}^m \left\{ \sum_{j'} \left(\sum_{k'} |\alpha(j', k')|^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{k'} \left| \sum_{kl} \beta(j' + s, k) \Gamma_{kk'l}^{mj's} \right|^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} \right\} \\ &\leq C \sum_{s=1}^m 2^{(1/p' + \alpha - 1/2)s} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_p^{\alpha, q}} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \sum_{j'} \left(\sum_{k'} \left| 2^{(-1/p' - \alpha + 1/2)(j'+s)} \sum_{kl} \beta(j' + s, k) \Gamma_{kk'l}^{mj's} \right|^{p'} \right)^{q'/p'} \right\}^{1/q'}. \end{aligned}$$

We will make a separate estimation of $\sum_{k'} \cdots$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{kl} \left| \Gamma_{kk'l}^{mj's} \right| &\leq 2^{-s/2} \sum_l \sum_k |b_m(j' + s, k, l)| \langle \varphi(x - l), \psi(2^{-s}x - k') \rangle \\ &\leq 2^{-s/2} A(m) \sum_l \left| \langle \varphi(x - l), \psi(2^{-s}x - k') \rangle \right| \\ &\leq C 2^{s/2} A(m), \end{aligned}$$

(the last inequality holds because φ has compact support and $\sum_l |\varphi(x - l)|$ is bounded) and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k'l} \left| \Gamma_{kk'l}^{mj's} \right| &\leq 2^{-s/2} \sum_l |b_m(j' + s, k, l)| \left\langle \left| \varphi(x - l) \right|, \sum_{k'} \left| \psi(2^{-s}x - k') \right| \right\rangle \\ &\leq C 2^{-s/2} A(m). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3) \quad &\sum_{k'} \left| \sum_{kl} \beta(j' + s, k) \Gamma_{kk'l}^{mj's} \right|^{p'} \\ &\leq \sum_{k'} \left\{ \left(\sum_{kl} |\beta(j' + s, k)|^{p'} \left| \Gamma_{kk'l}^{mj's} \right| \right) \left(\sum_{kl} \left| \Gamma_{kk'l}^{mj's} \right| \right)^{p'/p} \right\} \\ &\leq C \left(\sum_k |\beta(j' + s, k)|^{p'} \sum_{k'l} \left| \Gamma_{kk'l}^{mj's} \right| \right) (2^{s/2} A(m))^{p'/p} \\ &\leq C \left(\sum_k |\beta(j' + s, k)|^{p'} \right) (2^{-s/2} A(m)) (2^{s/2} A(m))^{p'/p} \\ &= C 2^{s(p'/p-1)/2} |A(m)|^{p'} \sum_k |\beta(j' + s, k)|^{p'}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |I| &\leq C \sum_{s=1}^m 2^{(1/p'+\alpha-1/2)s} 2^{s(1/p-1/p')/2} \cdot A(m) \|f\|_{\dot{B}_p^{\alpha,q}} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \sum_{j'} \left(\sum_k \left| 2^{(-1/p'-\alpha+1/2)(j'+s)} \beta(j' + s, k) \right|^{p'} \right)^{q'/p'} \right\}^{1/q'} \\ &\leq C \sum_{s=1}^m 2^{\alpha m} A(m) \|f\|_{\dot{B}_p^{\alpha,q}} \|g\|_{\dot{B}_{p'}^{-\alpha,q'}} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} C(m+1)A(m) \|f\|_{\dot{B}_p^{\alpha,q}} \|g\|_{\dot{B}_{p'}^{-\alpha,q'}} & \alpha = 0; \\ C 2^{\alpha m} A(m) \|f\|_{\dot{B}_p^{\alpha,q}} \|g\|_{\dot{B}_{p'}^{-\alpha,q'}} & 0 < \alpha < 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We now estimate the expression II. For convenience, we use the same notation C to denote the different constants in the different place. Define

$$g_{jk}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \sum_l b_m(j, k, l) \varphi(y - l) dy \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_{kk'}^{j's} = \langle g_{j'+sk}(x), \psi'(2^{-s}x - k) \rangle.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{III} &= \left| \sum_{j:j'-j' \geq m+1} \sum_{kk'} \alpha(j', k') b_m(j, k, l) \beta(j, k) \langle \varphi_{jl}, \psi_{j'k'} \rangle \right| \\ &= C \sum_{s=m+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j'=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{kk'} 2^{-3s/2} \left| \alpha(j', k') \beta(j' + s, k) \tilde{\Gamma}_{kk'}^{j's} \right| \\ &\leq C \sum_{s=m+1}^{\infty} 2^{-3s/2} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_p^{\alpha, q}} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \sum_{j'} 2^{(-1/p' - \alpha + 1/2)j'q'} \left(\sum_{k'} \left| \sum_k \beta(j' + s, k) \tilde{\Gamma}_{kk'}^{j's} \right|^{p'} \right)^{q'/p'} \right\}^{1/q'}. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{k'} \left| \sum_k \beta(j' + s, k) \tilde{\Gamma}_{kk'}^{j's} \right|^{p'} \leq C 2^{(s+m)(p'-1)} 2^m |A(m)|^{p'} \sum_k |\beta(j' + s, k)|^{p'}.$$

In fact, the condition $\sum_l b_m(j, k, l) = 0$ implies that $\text{supp } g_{jk} \subseteq [k - 2^{m+1}, k + 2^{m+1}M]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k'} \left| \tilde{\Gamma}_{kk'}^{j's} \right| &\leq C \left\langle |g_{j'+sk}(x)|, \sum_{k'} |\psi'(2^{-s}x - k')| \right\rangle \\ &\leq C \langle |g_{j'+sk}(x)|, 1 \rangle \leq C 2^m A(m). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for $s = j - j'$ since $\text{supp } \psi'(2^{-s}x - k') \subseteq [2^s k', 2^s(k' + M)]$, we know that $|k - 2^s k'| \leq 2^s M + 2^m + M \leq C 2^s$. It follows that

$$\sum_k \left| \tilde{\Gamma}_{kk'}^{j's} \right| \leq C \sum_k (|g_{j'+sk}(x)|, |\psi'(2^{-s}x - k')|) \leq C 2^{s+m} A(m).$$

Combining these two estimates we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k'} \left| \sum_k \beta(j' + s, k) \tilde{\Gamma}_{kk'}^{j's} \right|^{p'} \\ & \leq \sum_{k'} \left(\sum_k |\beta(j' + s, k)|^{p'} \left| \tilde{\Gamma}_{kk'}^{j's} \right| \right) \left(\sum_k \left| \tilde{\Gamma}_{kk'}^{j's} \right| \right)^{p'/p} \\ & \leq C 2^{(s+m)(p'-1)} 2^m |A(m)|^{p'} \sum_k |\beta(j' + s, k)|^{p'}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the claim. We return to the estimate of |II|

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{II}| & \leq C \sum_{s=m+1}^{\infty} 2^{(1/p'+\alpha-2)s} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_p^{\alpha,q}} \left\{ \sum_{j'} \left(2^{(s+m)(p'-1)} 2^m |A(m)|^{p'} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \times \sum_k \left| 2^{(-1/p'-\alpha+1/2)(j'+s)} \beta(j' + s, k) \right|^{p'} \right)^{q'/p'} \right\}^{1/q'} \\ & \leq C \left(\sum_{s=m+1}^{\infty} 2^{(\alpha-1)s} 2^m A(m) \right) \|f\|_{\dot{B}_p^{\alpha,q}} \|g\|_{\dot{B}_{p'}^{-\alpha,q'}} \\ & \leq C 2^{\alpha m} A(m) \|f\|_{\dot{B}_p^{\alpha,q}} \|g\|_{\dot{B}_{p'}^{-\alpha,q'}}. \end{aligned}$$

By the estimates of |I| and |III| we conclude that $T^{(2)}$ is a bounded operator on $\dot{B}_p^{\alpha,q}$, $1 \leq p, q < \infty$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ with the operator norm as specified. \square

LEMMA 3.3. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1, let $1 \leq p, q < \infty$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. Then $T^{(1)}$ is a bounded operator on $\dot{B}_p^{\alpha,q}$.*

PROOF. We can write

$$\langle T^{(1)}f, g \rangle = \sum_{jj'kk'} a(j, k, l) \beta(j', k') \alpha(j, l) \langle \varphi_{jk}, \psi_{j'k'} \rangle$$

with $j > j'$ and $2^{j-j'}k' \leq l \leq 2^{j-j'}(k'+M) + M$ as in Lemma 3.2. For the case $\alpha = 0$, we have by assumption that $\sum_k a(j, k, l) = 0$, and we can apply the same proof as in Lemma 3.2 (by replacing $\sum_l b(j, k, l) = 0$).

For the case $0 < \alpha < 1$, we have not assumed that $\sum_k a(j, k, l) = 0$ and we need

to modify the proof by separating out the diagonal term (as in (3.2)):

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle T^{(1)}f, g \rangle| &\leq \left| \sum_{j, j': j-j' > 0} \sum_{kk'} \beta(j', k') a(j, k, k) \alpha(j, k) \langle \varphi_{jk}, \psi_{j'k'} \rangle \right| \\ &+ \left| \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j, j': j-j' > 0} \sum_{(k, l) \in J_m} \sum_{k'} \beta(j', k') a(j, k, l) \alpha(j, l) \langle \varphi_{jk}, \psi_{j'k'} \rangle \right|. \end{aligned}$$

By using the same argument as in Lemma 3.2, we can show that the first term is bounded by $C \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\alpha s} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_p^{\alpha, q}} \|g\|_{\dot{B}_p^{-\alpha, q'}}$ and the second term is bounded by $CA(m) \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\alpha s} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_p^{\alpha, q}} \|g\|_{\dot{B}_p^{-\alpha, q'}}$ (note that in here the term $\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\alpha s}$ converges and we only need to use the estimation for $|I|$ without recursing to the estimation $|II|$ in the last proof). This proves the lemma. \square

LEMMA 3.4. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1 let $1 \leq p, q < \infty$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. Then $T^{(3)}$ is a bounded operator on the Besov spaces $\dot{B}_p^{\alpha, q}$.*

PROOF. We can write

$$\langle T^{(3)}f, g \rangle = \sum_{jkl} c(j, k, l) \alpha(j, k) \beta(j, l),$$

where f, g are defined as in Lemma 3.2. By using the duality and condition (2.3), it can be checked as in Lemma 3.2 that $|\langle T^{(3)}f, g \rangle| \leq C \|f\|_{\dot{B}_p^{\alpha, q}} \|g\|_{\dot{B}_p^{-\alpha, q'}}$. \square

Theorem 3.1 follows directly from Lemmas 3.2–3.4. \square

4. $T(1)$ Theorem on Triebel-Lizorkin spaces

In view of Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 2.3, we will prove the following theorem in terms of the BCR-coefficients, which implies Theorem 1.2.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let $T : \mathscr{D} \rightarrow \mathscr{D}'$ be a Calderón-Zygmund operator with the wavelet decomposition as in (2.1), (2.2) and satisfying (1.1'), (2.3).*

(i) *If $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (m+1)^{2-1/q} A(m) < \infty$, and $\sum_k a(j, k, l) = \sum_l b(j, k, l) = 0$, then T is a bounded operator on $\dot{F}_p^{0, q}$, $1 \leq p, q < \infty$.*

(ii) *If $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha m} A(m) < \infty$ and $\sum_l b(j, k, l) = 0$ for any $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then T is bounded on $\dot{F}_p^{\alpha, q}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, $1 \leq p, q < \infty$.*

We will first prove the theorem for $\dot{F}_1^{\alpha, q}$, then apply an interpolation theorem

on $\dot{F}_1^{\alpha,q}$ and $\dot{F}_q^{\alpha,q} (= \dot{B}_q^{\alpha,q})$, and a duality argument to conclude the theorem. We need the following notion of atom which can be found in [9].

DEFINITION. Let $a(x) = \sum_{j,k} \alpha(j, k) \psi_{j,k}(x)$ be a locally integrable function. We say that $a(x)$ is an $(\alpha, 1, q)$ -atom if there is a dyadic cube $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that

- (i) $\text{supp } a(x) \subset I$;
- (ii) $\int_I a(x) dx = 0$;
- (iii) $\|A(a)\|_q \leq |I|^{1/q-1}$,

where $A(a)$ is defined by

$$(4.1) \quad A(a)(x) = \left(\sum_{j,k} 2^{(\alpha+1/2)jq} |\alpha(j, k)|^q \chi(2^j x - k) \right)^{1/q}.$$

For $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $I_{j,k}$ denote the interval $[2^{-j}k, 2^{-j}(k+1)]$. Let

$$(4.2) \quad a_{j,k}(x) = \sum_{j',k': I_{j',k'} \subset I_{j,k}} \alpha(j', k') \psi_{j',k'}(x).$$

Note that the sum is actually adding all j', k' with $j \leq j', k' \in [2^{j'-j}k, 2^{j'-j}(k+1)]$. It follows that the support of $a_{j,k}(x)$ is contained in $[2^{-j}k, 2^{-j}(k+M)]$. By the definition, we know that $a_{j,k}(x)$ is an $(\alpha, 1, q)$ -atom if

$$\|a_{j,k}\|_{(\alpha,1,q)} := \left\{ 2^{-j(q-1)} \sum_{j',k': I_{j',k'} \subset I_{j,k}} 2^{(-1/q+\alpha+1/2)j'q} |\alpha(j', k')|^q \right\}^{1/q} < \infty.$$

LEMMA 4.2. Let $a_{j,k}(x)$ be the atom as in (4.2), and let $T_m^{(2)}$ be defined as in Lemma 3.2. Then we have

$$\|T_m^{(2)} a_{j,k}\|_{\dot{F}_1^{\alpha,q}} \leq \begin{cases} C(m+1)^{2-1/q} A(m) \|a_{j,k}\|_{(\alpha,1,q)} & \alpha = 0; \\ C2^{\alpha m} A(m) \|a_{j,k}\|_{(\alpha,1,q)} & 0 < \alpha < 1, \end{cases}$$

where C is independent of m, j, k .

PROOF. Without loss of generality we consider $a_{00}(y)$ and denote it by $a(y)$ for simplicity, that is, $a(y) = \sum_{0 \leq j} \sum_{0 \leq k < 2^j} \alpha(j, k) \psi_{j,k}(y)$. Noting that $\langle \varphi_{j,l}, \psi_{j',k'} \rangle \neq 0$

implies that $j > j'$ and $2^{j-j'}k' \leq l \leq 2^{j-j'}(k' + M) + M$. One can write

$$\begin{aligned}
(T_m^{(2)}a)(x) &= \sum_{jkl} \sum_{j'k'} \alpha(j', k') b_m(j, k, l) \langle \varphi_{jl}, \psi_{j'k'} \rangle \psi_{jk}(x) \\
&= \sum_{j \leq m} \left(\sum_{j'kk'l} \alpha(j', k') b_m(j, k, l) \langle \varphi_{jl}, \psi_{j'k'} \rangle \psi_{jk}(x) \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j > m} \left(\sum_{j': 0 < j' - j' \leq m} \sum_{kk'l} \alpha(j', k') b_m(j, k, l) \langle \varphi_{jl}, \psi_{j'k'} \rangle \psi_{jk}(x) \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j > m} \left(\sum_{j': j - j' > m} \sum_{kk'l} \alpha(j', k') b_m(j, k, l) \langle \varphi_{jl}, \psi_{j'k'} \rangle \psi_{jk}(x) \right) \\
&= a_1(x) + a_2(x) + a_3(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\dot{B}_1^{\alpha,1} = \dot{F}_1^{\alpha,1} \subset \dot{F}_1^{\alpha,q}$, $1 \leq q < \infty$, it follows that there exists a constant C such that $\|f\|_{\dot{F}_1^{\alpha,q}} \leq C\|f\|_{\dot{B}_1^{\alpha,1}}$. Using Proposition 2.1 and the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|a_1\|_{\dot{F}_1^{\alpha,q}} &\leq C \left\| \sum_{j \leq m} \sum_{j'kk'l} \alpha(j', k') b_m(j, k, l) \langle \varphi_{jl}, \psi_{j'k'} \rangle \psi_{jk} \right\|_{\dot{B}_1^{\alpha,1}} \\
&\leq C \sum_{j \leq m} \sum_{j'kk'l} 2^{(\alpha-1/2)j} |\alpha(j', k') b_m(j, k, l) \langle \varphi_{jl}, \psi_{j'k'} \rangle| \\
&\leq CA(m) \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m} \sum_{0 < j' < j} \sum_{0 \leq k' < 2^{j'}} 2^{(j-j')/2} 2^{(\alpha-1/2)j} |\alpha(j', k')| \\
&\leq CA(m) \left\{ \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m} 2^{\alpha j} \left(\sum_{0 < j' < m} 2^{-\alpha j' q'} \right)^{1/q'} \right\} \\
&\quad \times \left(\sum_{j'k'} 2^{(-1/q + \alpha + 1/2)j'q} |\alpha(j', k')|^q \right)^{1/q} \\
&\leq \begin{cases} C(m+1)^{2-1/q} A(m) \|a\|_{(\alpha,1,q)} & \alpha = 0; \\ C2^{\alpha m} A(m) \|a\|_{(\alpha,1,q)} & 0 < \alpha < 1. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate $\|a_2\|_{\dot{F}_1^{\alpha,q}}$, we first observe that the condition $0 \leq k' < 2^{j'}$ implies that $0 \leq 2^{-j'}l \leq M$. By the expression of $a_2(x)$, we know that $k \in E_j = [-2^{m+1}, 2^{m+1} + 2^j + 2^j M]$, and hence

$$\text{supp } a_2(x) \subseteq \bigcup_{j > m} \bigcup_{k \in E_j} [2^{-j}k, 2^{-j}(k + M)] \subseteq [-1, 2M].$$

Let $\Gamma_{kk'l}^{mj,s} = b_m(j, k, l) \langle \varphi_{jl}, \psi_{j-sk'} \rangle$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_2\|_{\dot{F}_1^{\alpha,q}} &\leq C \left\| \sum_{j>m} \sum_{j':0<j-j'\leq m} \sum_{kk'l} \alpha(j', k') b_m(j, k, l) \langle \varphi_{jl}, \psi_{j'k'} \rangle \psi_{jk}(x) \right\|_{\dot{F}_1^{\alpha,q}} \\ &\leq C \sum_{s=1}^m \left\| \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} \sum_{kl} \sum_{0\leq k'<2^{-j}} \alpha(j-s, k') \Gamma_{kk'l}^{mj,s} \psi_{jk} \right\|_{\dot{F}_1^{\alpha,q}} \\ &\leq C \sum_{s=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} \sum_k 2^{(\alpha+1/2)jq} \left| \sum_{kk'l} \alpha(j-s, k') \Gamma_{kk'l}^{mj,s} \right|^q \chi(2^j x - k) \right)^{1/q} dx \\ &\leq C \sum_{s=1}^m \left\{ \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} \sum_k 2^{-j} 2^{(\alpha+1/2)jq} \left| \sum_{kk'l} \alpha(j-s, k') \Gamma_{kk'l}^{mj,s} \right|^q \right\}^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the estimate (3.3) in Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\sum_k \left| \sum_{kk'l} \alpha(j-s, k') \Gamma_{kk'l}^{mj,s} \right|^q \leq C 2^{s(1-q/q')/2} |A(m)|^q \sum_{k'} |\alpha(j-s, k')|^q.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_2\|_{\dot{F}_1^{\alpha,q}} &\leq CA(m) \sum_{s=1}^m \left\{ \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} 2^{-j+(\alpha+1/2)jq} 2^{s(1-q/q')/2} \sum_{k'} |\alpha(j-s, k')|^q \right\}^{1/q} \\ &\leq CA(m) \sum_{s=1}^m 2^{\alpha s} \left\{ \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k'} 2^{(-1/q+\alpha+1/2)(j-s)q} |\alpha(j-s, k')|^q \right\}^{1/q} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} C(m+1)A(m) \|a\|_{(\alpha,1,q)} & \alpha = 0; \\ C 2^{\alpha m} A(m) \|a\|_{(\alpha,1,q)} & 0 < \alpha < 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We now turn to estimate the term $a_3(x)$. Like $a_2(x)$, $\text{supp } a_3(x) \subseteq [-1, 2M]$. Let $g_{jk}(x)$ be defined as in the estimate of |II| of Lemma 3.2 and $\tilde{\Gamma}_{kk'}^{j,s} = \langle g_{jk}(x), \psi'(2^{-s}x - k') \rangle$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_3(x)\|_{\dot{F}_1^{\alpha,q}} &\leq C \left\| \sum_{j>m} \sum_{j':j-j'>m} \sum_{kk'l} \alpha(j', k') b_m(j, k, l) \langle \varphi_{jl}, \psi_{j'k'} \rangle \psi_{jk}(x) \right\|_{\dot{F}_1^{\alpha,q}} \\ &\leq C \sum_{s=m+1}^{\infty} 2^{-3s/2} \left\| \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} \sum_{kk'} \alpha(j-s, k') \tilde{\Gamma}_{kk'}^{j,s} \psi_{jk}(x) \right\|_{\dot{F}_1^{\alpha,q}} \\ &\leq C \sum_{s=m+1}^{\infty} 2^{-3s/2} \left\{ \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} \sum_k 2^{-j+(\alpha+1/2)jq} \left| \sum_{k'} \alpha(j-s, k') \tilde{\Gamma}_{kk'}^{j,s} \right|^q \right\}^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

By the same estimate as (3.4) in Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$\sum_k \left| \sum_{k'} \alpha(j-s, k') \tilde{\Gamma}_{kk'}^{js} \right|^q \leq C 2^{s+m} 2^{mq/q'} |A(m)|^q \sum_{k'} |\alpha(j-s, k')|^q.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_3\|_{\dot{F}_1^{\alpha,q}} &\leq C \sum_{s=m+1}^{\infty} 2^{-3s/2} \left\{ \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} 2^{-j+(\alpha+1/2)jq} 2^{s+m} 2^{mq/q'} \sum_{k'} |\alpha(j-s, k')|^q \right\}^{1/q} \\ &\leq CA(m) \sum_{s=m+1}^{\infty} 2^m 2^{(\alpha-1)s} \left\{ \sum_{jk'} 2^{(-1/q+\alpha+1/2)(j-s)q} |\alpha(j-s, k')|^q \right\}^{1/q} \\ &\leq C 2^{\alpha m} A(m) \|a\|_{(\alpha,1,q)}. \end{aligned}$$

We have hence proved Lemma 4.2 by combining the estimates of $a_1(x)$, $a_2(x)$ and $a_3(x)$. \square

Now we state the following atomic decomposition of $\dot{F}_1^{\alpha,q}$, which is given in [1] for $\dot{F}_1^{0,2}(=H^1)$ and in [6] and [9] for the general case. For completeness we modify their proof and sketch it here.

LEMMA 4.3. *Let $f(x) = \sum_{jk} \alpha(j, k) \psi_{jk}(x)$ be in $\dot{F}_1^{\alpha,q}$. Then there exists a sequence $\{h_{sn}(x)\}_{s,n}$ of $(\alpha, 1, q)$ -atoms and $\{\lambda_{sn}\} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$(4.3) \quad f(x) = \sum_{s,n} \lambda_{sn} h_{sn}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad C_1 \|f\|_{\dot{F}_1^{\alpha,q}} \leq \sum_{s,n} |\lambda_{sn}| \leq C_2 \|f\|_{\dot{F}_1^{\alpha,q}}$$

for some fixed $C_1, C_2 > 0$ independent of f .

PROOF. By Proposition 2.1, $f \in \dot{F}_1^{\alpha,q}$ has an equivalent norm given by $\|f\|_{\dot{F}_1^{\alpha,q}} \approx \|A(f)\|_1$.

If 2^s , $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, is a given threshold, we define $\Omega_s = \{x : A(f)(x) > 2^s\}$. This allows us to write $\Omega_s = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Q_{sn}$, where each Q_{sn} is a maximal dyadic interval in Ω_s . The intervals Q_{sn} , being dyadic and maximal, are either identical or disjoint. For each $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the family \mathcal{F}_{sn} of all dyadic intervals I_{jk} such that $I_{jk} \subset Q_{sn}$ which are contained in no Q_{s+1p} for any p . By the above construction, we can write

$$\bigcup_s \Omega_s = \bigcup_{s,n} \left(\bigcup_{I_{jk} \in \mathcal{F}_{sn}} I_{jk} \right).$$

For each $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$(4.4) \quad h_{s,n}(x) = |\lambda_{s,n}|^{-1} \sum_{I_{j,k} \in \mathcal{F}_{s,n}} \alpha(j, k) \psi_{j,k}(x),$$

where $\lambda_{s,n} = |\mathcal{Q}_{s,n}|^{-1/q} \left\{ \sum_{I_{j,k} \in \mathcal{F}_{s,n}} 2^{(-1/q + \alpha + 1/2)jq} |\alpha(j, k)|^q \right\}^{1/q}$. Then, $h_{s,n}(x)$ is an $(\alpha, 1, q)$ -atom and

$$(4.5) \quad f(x) = \sum_{j,k} \alpha(j, k) \psi_{j,k}(x) = \sum_{s,n} \lambda_{s,n} h_{s,n}(x)$$

gives an atomic decomposition of f . For the details we refer the reader to [1, 6, 9]. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.1. By Lemma 4.4 we can write $f(x) \in \dot{F}_1^{\alpha,q}$ as an atomic decomposition $f(x) = \sum_{s,n} \lambda_{s,n} h_{s,n}(x)$, where each $h_{s,n}(x)$ is an $(\alpha, 1, q)$ -atom defined in (4.4). For each $h_{s,n}(x)$, we can rewrite it as the form in (4.2) by assigning $\alpha(j, k) = 0$ for $I_{j,k} \subset \mathcal{Q}_{s,n}$ but $I_{j,k} \notin \mathcal{F}_{s,n}$. Using Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\|T_m^{(2)} f\|_{\dot{F}_1^{\alpha,q}} \leq \begin{cases} C(m+1)^{2-1/q} A(m) \sum_{s,n} |\lambda_{s,n}| & \alpha = 0; \\ C2^{\alpha m} A(m) \sum_{s,n} |\lambda_{s,n}| & 0 < \alpha < 1, \end{cases}$$

where C is independent of m, s, n . It follows that $T^{(2)}$ is bounded on $\dot{F}_1^{\alpha,q}$. Similarly as in Lemma 3.3, and Lemma 3.4, we can show that both $T^{(1)}$ and $T^{(3)}$ are also bounded operators on $\dot{F}_1^{\alpha,q}$. Hence, we have proved that T is bounded on $\dot{F}_1^{\alpha,q}$, and

$$\|T\|_{(\dot{F}_1^{\alpha,q}, \dot{F}_1^{\alpha,q})} \leq \begin{cases} C \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (m+1)^{2-1/q} A(m) & \alpha = 0; \\ C \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha m} A(m) & 0 < \alpha < 1, \end{cases}$$

where $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $1 \leq q < \infty$.

Since T is bounded on $\dot{B}_q^{\alpha,q} (= \dot{F}_q^{\alpha,q})$, the interpolation theorem [13] implies that T is bounded on $\dot{F}_p^{\alpha,q}$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $1 \leq p \leq q$. Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.1, we can show, by interchanging the role of $T(1)$ and $T^*(1)$, that T is bounded on both $\dot{F}_q^{\alpha,q}$ and $\dot{F}_1^{\alpha,q}$ with $-1 < \alpha \leq 0$, $1 \leq q < \infty$. Again, applying the interpolation theorem and the duality, T is bounded on $\dot{F}_p^{\alpha,q}$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $p \geq q$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 4.1. \square

PROOF OF COROLLARY 1.3. With the above notation, we have $\|T_m^{(2)}\|_{(H^1, H^1)} \leq C(m+1)^{3/2} A(m)$ and $\|T_m^{(2)}\|_{(L^2, L^2)} \leq C(m+1)^{1/2} A(m)$ for some $C > 0$ independent of m . For $1 < p < 2$, by the interpolation theorem we have $\|T_m^{(2)}\|_{(L^p, L^p)} \leq C(m+1)^{1/2+2(1/p-1/2)} A(m)$. Using the duality argument, for $2 < p < \infty$ we have $\|T_m^{(2)}\|_{(L^p, L^p)} \leq C(m+1)^{1/2+2|1/p-1/2|} A(m)$. It follows from the assumption on $A(m)$ that $T^{(2)}$ is bounded on L^p . Similarly, $T^{(1)}$ and $T^{(3)}$ are bounded operators on L^p ; so is T . This completes the proof of Corollary 1.3. \square

Acknowledgement

We thank the referee for valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] J. Aguirre, M. Escobedo, J. C. Perel and Ph. Tchamitchian, 'Basis of wavelets and atomic decompositions of $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ ', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **111** (1991), 683–693.
- [2] G. Beylkin, R. Coifman and V. Rokhlin, 'Fast wavelet transforms and numerical algorithms', *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **44** (1991), 141–183.
- [3] I. Daubechies, *Ten lectures on wavelets*, CBMS–NSF Regional Conference Series in Appl. Math. 61 (SIAM, Philadelphia, 1992).
- [4] G. David and J. L. Journé, 'A boundedness criterion for generalized Calderón-Zygmund operators', *Ann. of Math.* **120** (1984), 371–397.
- [5] D. G. Deng, L. X. Yan and Q. X. Yang, 'Blocking analysis and $T(1)$ theorem', *Science in China* **41** (1998), 800–808.
- [6] M. Frazier, B. Jawerth and G. Weiss, *Littlewood-Paley theory and the study of functions*, CBMS–Regional Conference Series in Mathematics 79 (AMS, Providence, RI, 1991).
- [7] M. Frazier, R. Torres and G. Weiss, 'The boundedness of Calderón-Zygmund operator on the spaces $\dot{F}_p^{\alpha,q}$ ', *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **4** (1998), 41–72.
- [8] Y. Han and S. Hofmann, ' $T(1)$ theorem for Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **237** (1993), 839–853.
- [9] Y. Han, M. Paluszynski and G. Weiss, 'A new atomic decomposition for the Triebel-Lizorkin spaces', *Contemporary Math.* **189** (1995), 235–249.
- [10] P. G. Lemarié, 'Continuité sur les espaces de Besov and opérateurs définis par des intégrales singulières', *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **35** (1985), 175–187.
- [11] Y. Meyer, *La minimalité de l'espace de Besov $\dot{B}_1^{0,1}$ et la continuité des opérateurs définis par des intégrales singulières*, Monografias de Matematicas, 4 (Univ. Autonoma de Madrid, 1986).
- [12] ———, *Ondelettes et opérateurs*, Vols I, II (Hermann, Paris, 1990).
- [13] H. Triebel, *Theory of function spaces* (Birkhäuser, Basel, 1983).

Department of Mathematics
The Chinese University of Hong Kong
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong
e-mail: kslau@math.cuhk.edu.hk

Department of Mathematics
Zhongshan University
Guangzhou, 510275
P. R. China
e-mail: lixin@ics.mq.edu.au