

## ON THE BOUNDARY OF ATTRACTORS WITH NON-VOID INTERIOR

KA-SING LAU AND YOU XU

(Communicated by David R. Larson)

ABSTRACT. Let  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^N$  be a family of  $N$  contractive mappings on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that the attractor  $K$  has nonvoid interior. We show that if the  $f_i$ 's are injective, have non-vanishing Jacobian on  $K$ , and  $f_i(K) \cap f_j(K)$  have zero Lebesgue measure for  $i \neq j$ , then the boundary  $\partial K$  of  $K$  has measure zero. In addition if the  $f_i$ 's are affine maps, then the conclusion can be strengthened to  $\dim_H(\partial K) < d$ . These improve a result of Lagarias and Wang on self-affine tiles.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A function  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is called a *contraction* if  $\|f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{y})\| \leq r \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|$  for all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , where  $r < 1$  is a constant. If equality holds, then  $f$  is called a *similarity* and  $r$  is called the *contractive ratio* of  $f$ . Let  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^N$  be a family of contractions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and let  $K$  be the corresponding attractor. The Hausdorff dimension and the  $\alpha$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure of  $K$  are denoted by  $\dim_H(K)$  and  $\mathcal{H}^\alpha(K)$  respectively. We say that  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^N$  satisfies the *open set condition* (OSC) if there exists an open set  $O$  such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^N f_i(O) \subset O$  and  $f_i(O) \cap f_j(O) = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ . It is well-known that if the contractions  $f_i$  are all similarities, then OSC implies that the Hausdorff dimension of  $K$  equals the *similarity dimension*  $\alpha$  which is the unique number determined by  $\sum_{i=1}^N r_i^\alpha = 1$ . The work of Bandt and Graf [2] and Schief [12] showed that OSC is equivalent to  $\mathcal{H}^\alpha(K) > 0$ . If  $\alpha = d$ , the condition is further equivalent to the interior  $K^\circ \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $\mathbb{M}_d(\mathbb{R})$  denote the class of real  $d \times d$  matrices and let  $\mu$  denote the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . A matrix  $B$  is called *expanding* if all of its eigenvalues satisfy  $|\lambda_i| > 1$ . Let  $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and let  $f_i(\mathbf{x}) = B^{-1}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a}_i$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , be the affine transformations. Then under an appropriate metric on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , the  $f_i$ 's are all contractions [10]. It follows that the attractor  $K$  exists [8]. If in addition  $|\det B| = N$ , then  $\mu(K) > 0$  is equivalent to  $K^\circ \neq \emptyset$ . In this case  $K$  is called a *self-affine tile*. Lagarias and Wang [10] proved that the boundary  $\partial K$  of such  $K$

---

Received by the editors January 8, 1998 and, in revised form, July 23, 1998.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 28A80, 52C22; Secondary 28A78.

*Key words and phrases*. Boundary, Hausdorff dimension, self-affine tiles, self-similarity, singular values.

The first author was partially supported by the RGC grant CUHK4057/98P.

has Lebesgue measure zero. In this note we will consider a few extensions of this result. We show that for a very general class of self-affine attractors in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , the Hausdorff dimension of the boundary is strictly less than  $d$ .

For a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  and a compact set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , we say that  $f \in C^1(E)$  if  $f$  has continuous first order partial derivatives on a neighborhood of  $E$ . The Jacobi determinant of  $f$  at  $\mathbf{x}$  is denoted by  $J_f(\mathbf{x})$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^N$  be a family of contractions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $K$  the corresponding attractor with non-void interior. Suppose  $f_i \in C^1(K)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq N$ , are injective on  $K$  with  $J_{f_i}(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$ , and  $\mu(f_i(K) \cap f_j(K)) = 0$  when  $i \neq j$ . Then  $\mu(\partial K) = 0$ .*

It is easy to verify that the condition  $\mu(f_i(K) \cap f_j(K)) = 0$  is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \mu(f_i(K)) = \mu(K), \text{ or } \int_K \sum_{i=1}^N |J_{f_i}(\mathbf{x})| d\mathbf{x} = \mu(K).$$

One sufficient condition for the above equalities to hold is  $\sum_{i=1}^N |J_{f_i}(\mathbf{x})| = 1$  on all points of  $K$ . In particular when  $f_i(\mathbf{x}) = A_i\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a}_i$ ,  $A_i \in \mathbb{M}_d(\mathbb{R})$ , are affine contractions, then the condition reduces to  $\sum_{i=1}^N |\det A_i| = 1$ . In this case, we prove the following stronger result.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $f_i(\mathbf{x}) = A_i\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a}_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq N$ , be a family of affine contractions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and let  $K$  be the corresponding attractor. If  $K^\circ \neq \emptyset$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^N |\det A_i| = 1$ , then  $\dim_H(\partial K) < d$ .*

Note that in the theorem we allow some  $A_i$ 's to be singular. Using this theorem, we prove the following corollaries.

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^N$  be a family of contractive similarities on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $K$  the corresponding attractor. If  $K^\circ \neq \emptyset$  and the similarity dimension of  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^N$  is  $d$ , then  $\dim_H(\partial K) < d$ .*

**Corollary 1.4.** *Let  $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and let  $B \in \mathbb{M}_d(\mathbb{R})$  be expanding with  $|\det B| = N$ . If the attractor  $K$  of  $\{f_i : f_i(\mathbf{x}) = B^{-1}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a}_i\}_{i=1}^N$  has non-void interior, then  $\dim_H(\partial K) < d$ .*

The second corollary improves Lagarias and Wang's result on the boundary of self-affine tiles. As mentioned before, the condition in the above two corollaries that  $K$  has non-void interior is equivalent to the condition that  $K$  has positive Lebesgue measure. We point out that it was proved recently [9] that the Hausdorff dimension of the boundary of a self-affine tile in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  can be arbitrarily close to  $d$ .

Note that in [1] Bandt also considered the rotations and reflections of a tile. We call a finite group  $\mathbb{W}$  of matrices with determinant  $\pm 1$  a *symmetry group* of an expanding matrix  $B$  if  $B\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{W}B$ . Let  $w_i \in \mathbb{W}$ ,  $\mathbf{a}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $f_i(\mathbf{x}) = w_i B^{-1}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a}_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . Then  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^N$  can generate more exotic tiles such as Levy's curve, Heighway dragon, etc. [1]. Theorem 1.2 also applies to these tiles.

**Corollary 1.5.** *Let  $B \in \mathbb{M}_d(\mathbb{R})$  be expanding with  $|\det B| = N$  and  $\mathbb{W}$  be a symmetry group of  $B$ . Let  $f_i(\mathbf{x}) = w_i B^{-1}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a}_i$ ,  $w_i \in \mathbb{W}$ ,  $\mathbf{a}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . If the attractor  $K$  of  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^N$  has non-void interior, then  $\dim_H(\partial K) < d$ .*

We remark that if  $K$  is the attractor generated by affine transformations consisting of one single matrix, then there are simple criteria to determine that  $K$  has non-void interior [10]. However very little is known if there is more than one matrix (see [1] for the case in Corollary 1.5). The estimation of the dimension of the boundary is far from being understood. It seems that only for a few particular classes of self-similar tiles ([7], [4] and [13]) has the exact dimension been calculated.

For an attractor  $K$  of similarities  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^N$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with similarity dimension  $\alpha$ , it is well-known that if  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^N$  satisfies OSC, then  $\mathcal{H}^\alpha(f_i(K) \cap f_j(K)) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$  [5, Corollary 8.7]. Our theorem sharpens this result.

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^N$  be a family of contractive similarities on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with similarity dimension  $\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq d$ , and let  $K$  be the corresponding self-similar set. If  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^N$  satisfies the OSC, then  $\dim_H(f_i(K) \cap f_j(K)) < \alpha$  for  $i \neq j$ .*

2. DEFINITIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of natural numbers. For  $\mathbb{S} = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ , let  $\mathbb{S}^n = \underbrace{\mathbb{S} \times \dots \times \mathbb{S}}_n$  and  $\mathbb{S}^* = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{S}^n$ . The length of  $\mathbf{s} = (s_1 \dots s_n) \in \mathbb{S}^n$  is denoted by  $|\mathbf{s}| (= n)$ . If  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1 i_2 \dots i_{n_1})$ ,  $\mathbf{j} = (j_1 j_2 \dots j_{n_2})$ , then we define

$$\mathbf{ij} = (i_1 i_2 \dots i_{n_1} j_1 j_2 \dots j_{n_2}).$$

For a subset  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , its diameter is defined as  $|E| = \sup\{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| : \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in E\}$ . For  $f_i : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{S}$ , we define  $E_{\mathbf{s}} = f_{\mathbf{s}}(E) = f_{s_1} \circ f_{s_2} \circ \dots \circ f_{s_n}(E)$ . It is easy to see that if all  $f_i$ 's are contractive, then  $f_{\mathbf{s}}$  is also contractive and its contractive ratio is  $r_{\mathbf{s}} = r_{s_1} r_{s_2} \dots r_{s_n}$ .

We use  $B_a(\mathbf{x})$  to denote the closed ball with center  $\mathbf{x}$  and radius  $a$ . Let  $\|\cdot\|$  denote the Euclidean norm on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and the norm of a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{M}_d(\mathbb{R})$  is

$$\|A\| = \max \left\{ \frac{\|A\mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \|\mathbf{x}\| \neq 0 \right\}.$$

The spectral radius of  $A$  is  $\lambda_{\max} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} |\lambda_i|$ , where  $\lambda_i$  are the eigenvalues of  $A$ . If  $Q$  is the closed unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $f(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a}$  is an affine mapping with  $A$  non-singular, then  $A(Q)$  is an ellipsoid. The lengths of the principle semi-axes of  $A(Q)$  are singular values  $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_d > 0$  of  $A$ . These singular values are also the positive roots of the eigenvalues of  $A^T A$ , where  $A^T$  is the transpose of  $A$ . The norm and the singular values of  $A$  have the following relationships:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= \max \left\{ \frac{\|A\mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} : \|\mathbf{x}\| = 1 \right\} = \|A\|, \\ \sigma_d &= \min \left\{ \frac{\|A\mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} : \|\mathbf{x}\| \neq 0 \right\} = \min \left\{ \frac{\|\mathbf{y}\|}{\|A^{-1}\mathbf{y}\|} : \|\mathbf{y}\| \neq 0 \right\} = 1/\|A^{-1}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Also we have

$$|\det A| = \sqrt{\det(A^T A)} = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_d.$$

Using the spectral radius formula  $\lambda_{\max} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A^n\|^{1/n}$  [11, Theorem 10.13], it is easy to see the following:

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $A \in \mathbb{M}_d(\mathbb{R})$  with spectral radius  $\lambda_{\max}$  and  $\rho > \lambda_{\max}$ . Then there exists a constant  $c > 0$  depending on  $A$  such that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\|A^n\| \leq c \cdot \rho^n$ .*

### 3. PROOFS OF THE RESULTS

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* We know that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$K = \bigcup_{i=1}^N f_i(K) = \bigcup_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{S}^n} f_{\mathbf{s}}(K) = \bigcup_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{S}^n} K_{\mathbf{s}}.$$

Since  $f_i$  are contractive,  $\max_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{S}^n} |K_{\mathbf{s}}| \rightarrow 0$  when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We can find  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in K$  and  $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{S}^m$  for some large  $m$  such that

$$K_{\mathbf{k}} \subset B_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}_0) \subset K^{\circ} \neq \emptyset.$$

Since  $J_{f_i}(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$  and  $f_i$  are injective for all  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , it is easy to see that for any  $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{S}^n$ ,  $f_{\mathbf{s}}$  is a homeomorphism between  $K$  and  $f_{\mathbf{s}}(K)$ . It follows that  $f_{\mathbf{s}} \in C^1(K)$ ,  $f_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1} \in C^1(K_{\mathbf{s}})$ ,  $K_{\mathbf{s}}^{\circ} = f_{\mathbf{s}}(K^{\circ})$  and  $\partial K_{\mathbf{s}} = f_{\mathbf{s}}(\partial K)$ . We claim that if  $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{S}^m$  and  $\mathbf{i} \neq \mathbf{j}$ , then  $\mu(K_{\mathbf{i}} \cap K_{\mathbf{j}}) = 0$ . Indeed, suppose  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1 \cdots i_m)$  and  $\mathbf{j} = (j_1 \cdots j_m)$ . Let  $l$  be the smallest integer such that  $i_l \neq j_l$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(K_{\mathbf{i}} \cap K_{\mathbf{j}}) &= \mu(f_{i_1 \cdots i_{l-1}}(K_{i_l \cdots i_m}) \cap f_{i_1 \cdots i_{l-1}}(K_{j_l \cdots j_m})) \\ &= \mu(f_{i_1 \cdots i_{l-1}}(K_{i_l \cdots i_m} \cap K_{j_l \cdots j_m})) \leq \mu(f_{i_1 \cdots i_{l-1}}(K_{i_l} \cap K_{j_l})) \\ &= \int_{K_{i_l} \cap K_{j_l}} |J_{f_{i_1 \cdots i_{l-1}}}(\mathbf{x})| d\mathbf{x} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality holds because  $f_{i_1 \cdots i_{l-1}}$  is injective, and the last equality holds because  $\mu(K_{i_l} \cap K_{j_l}) = 0$ . This proves the claim.

Now since  $\partial K_{\mathbf{k}} \subset K_{\mathbf{k}} \subset K^{\circ}$ , for  $\mathbf{x} \in \partial K_{\mathbf{k}}$ , we can find a sequence  $\{\mathbf{y}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $\mathbf{y}_i \rightarrow \mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}_i \in K^{\circ} \setminus K_{\mathbf{k}}$ . It follows that  $\mathbf{y}_i \in K_{\mathbf{t}_i} \setminus K_{\mathbf{k}}$  for some  $\mathbf{t}_i \in \mathbb{S}^m$ . Since there are only finitely many elements in  $\mathbb{S}^m$ , we can assume, by passing to subsequence, that  $\mathbf{y}_i \in K_{\mathbf{t}}$  for a fixed  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{S}^m$ . Since  $\mathbf{x}$  is the limit point of the sequence and  $K_{\mathbf{t}}$  is compact, we have  $\mathbf{x} \in K_{\mathbf{t}}$ . This is true for any  $\mathbf{x} \in \partial K_{\mathbf{k}}$ . Therefore

$$\partial K_{\mathbf{k}} \subset K_{\mathbf{k}} \cap \left( \bigcup_{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{S}^m, \mathbf{t} \neq \mathbf{k}} K_{\mathbf{t}} \right) \text{ and hence}$$

$$\mu(\partial K_{\mathbf{k}}) \leq \mu \left( K_{\mathbf{k}} \cap \left( \bigcup_{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{S}^m, \mathbf{t} \neq \mathbf{k}} K_{\mathbf{t}} \right) \right) \leq \sum_{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{S}^m, \mathbf{t} \neq \mathbf{k}} \mu(K_{\mathbf{k}} \cap K_{\mathbf{t}}) = 0$$

by the claim. Note that  $\partial K = f_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}(\partial K_{\mathbf{k}})$ ; we have

$$\mu(\partial K) = \int_{\partial K_{\mathbf{k}}} |J_{f_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}}(\mathbf{x})| d\mathbf{x} = 0.$$

□

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$K = \bigcup_{i=1}^N f_i(K) = \bigcup_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{S}^n} f_{\mathbf{s}}(K) = \bigcup_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{S}^n} K_{\mathbf{s}},$$

where

$$f_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{x}) = A_{s_1} \cdots A_{s_n} \mathbf{x} + A_{s_1} \cdots A_{s_{n-1}} \mathbf{a}_{s_n} + \cdots + A_{s_1} \mathbf{a}_{s_2} + \mathbf{a}_{s_1}.$$

We use  $A_{\mathbf{s}}$  to denote  $A_{s_1} \cdots A_{s_n}$ . Since  $f_i$ 's are all contractions,  $\|A_i\| < 1$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Let  $\rho = \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \{\|A_i\|\}$ ,  $\kappa = |K|$ . Then  $\rho < 1$  and  $|K_{\mathbf{s}}| \leq \|A_{\mathbf{s}}\| \kappa \leq \rho^n \kappa$ . Since  $K^\circ \neq \emptyset$ , there is an open ball  $B_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}_0) \subset K^\circ$ . Pick an integer  $m$  big enough so that  $\rho^m \kappa < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . We have  $B_{\varepsilon/2}(\mathbf{x}_0) \subset \bigcup_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{S}^m} K_{\mathbf{s}}$ . If  $A_{\mathbf{s}}$  is singular, then  $K_{\mathbf{s}}$  has volume zero. So there exists a  $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{S}^m$  such that  $A_{\mathbf{k}}$  is non-singular and  $K_{\mathbf{k}} \cap B_{\varepsilon/2}(\mathbf{x}_0) \neq \emptyset$ . It follows that  $K_{\mathbf{k}} \subset B_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}_0) \subset K^\circ$ .

Now without loss of generality, we suppose  $A_1, \dots, A_M, 0 \leq M < N$ , are singular matrices and  $A_{M+1}, \dots, A_N$  are non-singular. Set

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{S}}^* = \{\mathbf{s} : \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{S}^*, s_i \notin \{1, 2, \dots, M\}, i = 1, \dots, |\mathbf{s}|\}.$$

Then for any  $\mathbf{s} \in \widetilde{\mathbb{S}}^*$ ,  $A_{\mathbf{s}}$  is non-singular, and for any  $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{S}^* \setminus \widetilde{\mathbb{S}}^*$ ,  $A_{\mathbf{s}}$  is singular. Let  $\mathbf{j} \in \widetilde{\mathbb{S}}^*$ . Then

$$K_{\mathbf{jk}} = f_{\mathbf{jk}}(K) = f_{\mathbf{j}}(K_{\mathbf{k}}) \subset f_{\mathbf{j}}(K^\circ) = (f_{\mathbf{j}}(K))^\circ \subset K^\circ.$$

Let  $E = K_{\mathbf{k}} \cup \left( \bigcup_{\mathbf{j} \in \widetilde{\mathbb{S}}^*} K_{\mathbf{jk}} \right)$  and  $F = K \setminus E$ . Then  $E \subset K^\circ$  and

$$\partial K = K \setminus K^\circ \subset K \setminus E = F.$$

For  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{S}^{ml}$ , we write  $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_l) \in \mathbb{S}^m \times \dots \times \mathbb{S}^m = \mathbb{S}^{ml}$ . Let

$$J = \left\{ \mathbf{s} : \mathbf{s} \in \left( \mathbb{S}^{ml} \cap \widetilde{\mathbb{S}}^* \right), s_j = \mathbf{k} \text{ for some } j \right\} \text{ and } L = \mathbb{S}^{ml} \setminus J.$$

Then  $K = \left( \bigcup_{\mathbf{s} \in J} K_{\mathbf{s}} \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{\mathbf{s} \in L} K_{\mathbf{s}} \right)$  and

$$F = K \setminus E \subset K \setminus \left( \bigcup_{\mathbf{s} \in J} K_{\mathbf{s}} \right) \subset \bigcup_{\mathbf{s} \in L} K_{\mathbf{s}}.$$

If we let  $U$  be a ball of radius  $a > 0$  and contain  $K$ , then

$$\partial K \subset F \subset \bigcup_{\mathbf{s} \in L} K_{\mathbf{s}} \subset \bigcup_{\mathbf{s} \in L} U_{\mathbf{s}}.$$

From  $\sum_{i=1}^N |\det A_i| = 1$ , it is easy to show that  $\sum_{\mathbf{s} \in L} |\det A_{\mathbf{s}}| = (1 - |\det A_{\mathbf{k}}|)^l$ . We will use the identity later.

For each non-singular  $A_{\mathbf{s}}$ , we use an idea from Falconer [6, p132] to get an estimate. We know that  $U_{\mathbf{s}}$  is an ellipsoid with principal semi-axes  $a\sigma_1(\mathbf{s}) \geq a\sigma_2(\mathbf{s}) \geq \dots \geq a\sigma_d(\mathbf{s}) > 0$ , where  $\sigma_i(\mathbf{s})$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ ) are the singular values of  $A_{\mathbf{s}}$ . The ellipsoid is contained in a rectangular parallelepiped  $P$  of side lengths  $2a\sigma_1(\mathbf{s}), 2a\sigma_2(\mathbf{s}),$

...,  $2a\sigma_d(\mathbf{s})$ . We may cover  $P$  by at most  $\gamma$  cubes of side  $2a\sigma_d(\mathbf{s})$ , where

$$\gamma = \prod_{i=1}^{d-1} \frac{4a\sigma_i(\mathbf{s})}{2a\sigma_d(\mathbf{s})} = 2^{d-1} \left( \prod_{i=1}^d \sigma_i(\mathbf{s}) \right) (\sigma_d(\mathbf{s}))^{-d} = 2^{d-1} |\det A_{\mathbf{s}}| (\sigma_d(\mathbf{s}))^{-d}.$$

The diameter of each cube is  $2a\sigma_d(\mathbf{s})\sqrt{d}$ . Note that  $\sigma_d(\mathbf{s}) \leq \sigma_1(\mathbf{s}) = \|A_{\mathbf{s}}\| \leq \rho^{ml}$ . Let  $\delta = 2a\rho^{ml}\sqrt{d}$ . Then

$$\mathcal{H}_{\delta}^{\beta}(U_{\mathbf{s}}) \leq \gamma \left( 2a\sigma_d(\mathbf{s})\sqrt{d} \right)^{\beta} = c_{\beta} |\det A_{\mathbf{s}}| (\sigma_d(\mathbf{s}))^{\beta-d},$$

where  $c_{\beta} = 2^{d-1} (2a\sqrt{d})^{\beta}$  is a constant depending on  $\beta$ .

For each singular matrix  $A_{\mathbf{s}}$ ,  $U_{\mathbf{s}}$  is contained in a hyperplane. So if  $\beta > d - 1$ , then  $\mathcal{H}^{\beta}(U_{\mathbf{s}}) = 0$  and hence  $\mathcal{H}_{\delta}^{\beta}(U_{\mathbf{s}}) = 0$  for any  $\delta > 0$ . Let  $\tilde{L} = L \cap \tilde{S}^*$ . Then for every  $\mathbf{s} \in L \setminus \tilde{L}$ ,  $A_{\mathbf{s}}$  is singular, and hence for  $\beta > d - 1$ ,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\delta}^{\beta}(F) \leq \mathcal{H}_{\delta}^{\beta} \left( \bigcup_{\mathbf{s} \in L} U_{\mathbf{s}} \right) = \mathcal{H}_{\delta}^{\beta} \left( \bigcup_{\mathbf{s} \in \tilde{L}} U_{\mathbf{s}} \right) \leq \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \tilde{L}} c_{\beta} |\det A_{\mathbf{s}}| (\sigma_d(\mathbf{s}))^{\beta-d}.$$

Since  $\sigma_d(\mathbf{s})$  is the smallest singular value of  $A_{\mathbf{s}}$ ,

$$\sigma_d(\mathbf{s}) = \frac{1}{\|(A_{\mathbf{s}})^{-1}\|} \geq \frac{1}{\|A_{s_{ml}}^{-1}\| \cdots \|A_{s_1}^{-1}\|} = \tau_{M+1}^{n_1} \cdots \tau_N^{n_{N-M}},$$

where  $n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_{N-M} = ml$  and  $\tau_i = 1/\|A_i^{-1}\|$  is the smallest singular value of  $A_i$ ,  $M + 1 \leq i \leq N$ . It is clear that  $0 < \tau_i \leq \|A_i\| < 1$ . Let  $\tau = \min \{\tau_i : i = M + 1, \dots, N\}$ ; then  $\sigma_d(\mathbf{s}) \geq \tau^{ml}$ . So for  $d - 1 < \beta < d$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\delta}^{\beta}(F) &\leq \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \tilde{L}} c_{\beta} |\det A_{\mathbf{s}}| \tau^{ml(\beta-d)} = c_{\beta} \tau^{ml(\beta-d)} \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \tilde{L}} |\det A_{\mathbf{s}}| \\ &= c_{\beta} \tau^{ml(\beta-d)} \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in L} |\det A_{\mathbf{s}}| = c_{\beta} \left( \tau^{m(\beta-d)} (1 - |\det A_{\mathbf{k}}|) \right)^l. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\tau^{m(\beta-d)} (1 - |\det A_{\mathbf{k}}|) = 1$ , i.e.,

$$\beta = d - \frac{\ln(1 - |\det A_{\mathbf{k}}|)}{m \ln \tau} < d.$$

Then  $\mathcal{H}_{\delta}^{\beta}(F) < c_{\beta}$ . Let  $l \rightarrow \infty$ ; then  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  and  $\mathcal{H}^{\beta}(F) \leq c_{\beta}$ . It follows that  $\dim_H(F) \leq \beta < d$ . Since  $\partial K \subset F$ , we conclude that  $\dim_H(\partial K) < d$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 1.3.* Let  $f_i(\mathbf{x}) = A_i\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a}_i$  be the similarities and let  $r_i$  be the contractive ratios. Then  $|\det A_i| = r_i^d$ . It follows from the assumption that  $\sum_{i=1}^N |\det A_i| = \sum_{i=1}^N r_i^d = 1$  and Theorem 1.2 applies.  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 1.4.* Let  $A = B^{-1}$ . Then  $f_i(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a}_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $K = \bigcup_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{S}^n} f_{\mathbf{s}}(K)$  and

$$f_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{x}) = A^n \mathbf{x} + A^{n-1} \mathbf{a}_{s_n} + \cdots + A \mathbf{a}_{s_2} + \mathbf{a}_{s_1}.$$

Suppose the eigenvalues of  $B$  are  $\lambda_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , with  $|\lambda_1| \geq \cdots \geq |\lambda_d| > r > 1$ . Then the eigenvalues of  $A^n$  are  $\lambda_i^{-n}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . Using Lemma 2.1 we can find  $n$  large enough so that  $\|A^n\| \leq c \cdot r^{-n} < 1$  for some constant  $c > 0$  independent of

$n$ . It follows that for each  $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{S}^n$ ,  $f_{\mathbf{s}}$  is an affine contraction with corresponding matrix  $A^{|\mathbf{s}|} = A^n$ . Note that  $\sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{S}^n} |\det A^{|\mathbf{s}|}| = N^n \cdot N^{-n} = 1$  and hence Theorem 1.2 implies the corollary immediately  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 1.5.* Let  $A = B^{-1}$ . Then  $f_i(\mathbf{x}) = w_i A \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a}_i$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $K = \bigcup_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{S}^n} f_{\mathbf{s}}(K)$ , where

$$f_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{x}) = w_{s_1} A \cdot w_{s_2} A \cdots w_{s_n} A \mathbf{x} + w_{s_1} A \cdots w_{s_{n-1}} A \mathbf{a}_{s_n} \cdots + w_{s_1} A \mathbf{a}_{s_2} + \mathbf{a}_{s_1}.$$

By  $B\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{W}B$ , it is easy to see that for any  $w_i \in \mathbb{W}$ ,  $Aw_i = w_j A$  for some  $w_j \in \mathbb{W}$ . Hence  $w_{s_1} A \cdot w_{s_2} A \cdots w_{s_n} A = wA^n$  for some  $w \in \mathbb{W}$ . It follows that  $\|w_{s_1} A \cdot w_{s_2} A \cdots w_{s_n} A\| \leq \left(\max_{w \in \mathbb{W}} \|w\|\right) \|A^n\|$ . Now the rest is the same as in the proof of Corollary 1.4.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.6.* To prove this theorem, we need to use a result in [12] and a similar proof as in Theorem 1.2. For  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let

$$U(\varepsilon, E) = \{\mathbf{x} : |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}| < \varepsilon \text{ for some } \mathbf{y} \in E\}.$$

For  $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{S}^*$ , define  $G_{\mathbf{s}} = U(\varepsilon r_{\mathbf{s}}, K_{\mathbf{s}})$ . Then from [12] we know that there exists a  $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{S}^*$  such that for some small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set  $O = G_{\mathbf{k}} \cup \left(\bigcup_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{S}^*} G_{\mathbf{j}\mathbf{k}}\right)$  is an OSC set for  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^N$ . Suppose  $|\mathbf{k}| = m$ . Let  $E = K \cap O$  and  $F = K \setminus E$ . For  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$J = \{\mathbf{s} = (\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_j, \dots, \mathbf{s}_l) \in \mathbb{S}^{ml} : \mathbf{s}_j = \mathbf{k} \text{ for some } j\} \text{ and } L = \mathbb{S}^{ml} \setminus J$$

as in the proof of Theorem 1.2. Then

$$F = K \setminus O \subset K \setminus \bigcup_{\mathbf{j} \in J} G_{\mathbf{j}} \subset K \setminus \bigcup_{\mathbf{j} \in J} K_{\mathbf{j}} \subset \bigcup_{\mathbf{j} \in L} K_{\mathbf{j}}.$$

Since  $\sum_{i=1}^N r_i^\alpha = 1$ , it is easy to see that  $\sum_{\mathbf{s} \in L} r_{\mathbf{s}}^\alpha = (1 - r_{\mathbf{k}}^\alpha)^l$ . Let  $\tilde{r} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \{r_i\}$ ,  $r = \min_{1 \leq i \leq N} \{r_i\}$  and  $\kappa = |K|$ . Then we have, for  $\mathbf{s} \in L$ ,  $r^{lm} \kappa \leq |K_{\mathbf{s}}| = r_{\mathbf{s}} \kappa \leq \tilde{r}^{lm} \kappa$ . Let  $\delta_l = \tilde{r}^{lm} \kappa$ . Then for  $0 < \beta < \alpha$ ,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\delta_l}^\beta(F) \leq \mathcal{H}_{\delta_l}^\beta\left(\bigcup_{\mathbf{j} \in L} K_{\mathbf{j}}\right) \leq \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in L} |K_{\mathbf{s}}|^\beta = \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in L} (r_{\mathbf{s}} \kappa)^\beta \leq \kappa^\beta \left(r^{m(\beta-\alpha)} (1 - r_{\mathbf{k}}^\alpha)\right)^l.$$

Hence by the similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we have  $\dim_H(F) \leq \beta < \alpha$  if

$$\beta = \alpha - \frac{\ln(1 - r_{\mathbf{k}}^\alpha)}{m \ln r}.$$

Now suppose  $i \neq j$ . Since  $K = E \cup F$ , it is clear that

$$f_i(K) \cap f_j(K) \subset (f_i(E) \cap f_j(E)) \cup f_i(F) \cup f_j(F).$$

But  $O$  is an OSC set and  $E \subset O$ , so  $f_i(E) \cap f_j(E) \subset f_i(O) \cap f_j(O) = \emptyset$ . Hence  $f_i(K) \cap f_j(K) \subset f_i(F) \cup f_j(F)$ . Since  $f_i$  and  $f_j$  are similarities,  $\dim_H(f_i(F)) = \dim_H(f_j(F)) = \dim_H(F) < \alpha$ . Therefore  $\dim_H(f_i(K) \cap f_j(K)) \leq \dim_H(F) < \alpha$ .  $\square$

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to thank Professor D. J. Hebert for many helpful discussions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bandt, *Self-similar sets 5. Integer matrices and fractal tilings of  $\mathbb{R}^n$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **112**(1991), 549-562. MR **92d**:58093
- [2] C. Bandt and S. Graf, *Self-similar sets 7. A characterization of self-similar fractals with positive Hausdorff measure*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **114**(1992), 995-1001. MR **93d**:28014
- [3] F. M. Dekking, *Recurrent sets*, Adv. Math. **44**(1982), 78-104. MR **84e**:52023
- [4] P. Duvall and J. Keesling, *The Hausdorff dimension of the boundary of the Levy dragon*, preprint 1998.
- [5] K. J. Falconer, "The Geometry of Fractal Sets", Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985. MR **88d**:28001
- [6] K. J. Falconer, "Fractal Geometry: Mathematical Foundation and Applications", Wiley, New York, 1990. MR **92j**:28008
- [7] W. J. Gilbert, *The fractal dimension of sets derived from complex bases*, Canad. Math. Bull. **29**(1986), 495-500. MR **88b**:28014
- [8] J. E. Hutchinson, *Fractals and self-similarity*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **30**(1981), 713-747. MR **82h**:49026
- [9] R. Kenyon, J. Li, R. Strichartz, and Y. Wang, *Geometry of self-affine tiles II*, preprint 1998.
- [10] J. C. Lagarias and Y. Wang, *Self-affine Tiles in  $\mathbb{R}^n$* , Adv. Math. **121**(1996), 21-49. MR **97d**:52034
- [11] W. Rudin, "Functional Analysis", McGraw-Hill, Inc. New York, 1991. MR **92k**:46001
- [12] A. Schief, *Separation properties for self-similar sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **122**(1994), 111-115. MR **94k**:28012
- [13] R. Strichartz and Y. Wang, *Geometry of self-affine tiles I*, preprint 1998.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, HONG KONG  
*E-mail address:* kslau@math.cuhk.edu.hk

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH, PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA  
 15260  
*E-mail address:* yoxst@pitt.edu